

## Costs of Legal Representation Awards Ruling 2

1. Following my rulings of this and earlier dates as to designation of core participants and recognised legal representatives the Inquiry has received further applications for costs awards in respect of legal representation at the Inquiry from: 'Lindsey', 'Andrea', Smash EDO and Ceri Gibbons, and Guy Taylor.
2. In considering these applications I have applied the principles set out in the first Costs Awards Ruling dated 16 December 2015, corrected on 22 December 2015, a copy of which can be found on the Inquiry's website. Particular reference should be made to paragraphs 6 – 34 and 48 – 53 of the Ruling.
3. I am satisfied that none of the applicants is in receipt of income or possesses capital that could properly disqualify them for representation at public expense.
4. I conclude that it is fair, reasonable and proportionate and in the public interest to make awards to each of the applicants under section 40(3)(b) of the Inquiries Act 2005, rule 20 and 21 of the Inquiry Rules 2006 and paragraph 2.a of the Secretary of State's Costs Determination.
5. The awards whose terms, qualifications and conditions will be notified to the applicants in separate decision letters issued by the Solicitor to the Inquiry are made on the understanding that the recognised legal representatives for each of the applicants will act in the co-operative manner with other non-police core participants referred to in paragraphs 27 and 30 – 34 of the first Costs Awards Ruling.
6. Recognised legal representatives should consult the revised timetables in respect of Undertakings and Restriction Orders issued by the Chairman on 27 January 2016. The current awards authorise work to be done up to and including 31 March 2016. They will be modified to accommodate the extended timetables for decisions on the issues of anonymity and deceased children in due course.

27 January 2016

Sir Christopher Pitchford  
Chairman, Undercover Policing Inquiry