

## Pyemont Ellie - Public Inquiry Team

---

**From:** Hutchison Neil - Public Inquiry Team  
**Sent:** 29 January 2016 09:52  
**To:** Pyemont Ellie - Public Inquiry Team  
**Subject:** INFORMATION RISKS , ACCOMMODATION AND IT systems

**Attachments:** SO\_CT\_Records system Options Updated.doc; RRD Table.doc; SO\_CT\_Records system Options Updated.doc

BAND A1

---

**From:** [REDACTED] NCTPHQ  
**Sent:** 22 June 2015 16:03  
**To:** Hewitt Martin - Professionalism PO [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Hutchison Neil - Public Inquiry Team [REDACTED] Masters Kirstie E - Operation Herne [REDACTED] Walton Richard W - SO15 [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** INFORMATION RISKS , ACCOMMODATION AND IT systems



SO\_CT\_Records RRD Table.doc (75  
system Options ... KB)

Sir.

The attached documents set out a number of information risks held by SO15 and propose the start of a drive to improve our information management. The proposals include a number of areas for deletion of records. I have discussed these issues at length with Mr Walton and in principle he is in support of the suggested approach.

However, uniquely, there are many other external interests in relation to older SO15 records; in particular the files within the file library called IMOS and intelligence summaries from the IT system called BRS which were transferred to the current NSBIS.

These records are of interest to :

Operation Herne.  
Public Enquiry Team,  
Operation Midland ( and related enquiries)  
Hillsborough inquest.

My advice to Mr Walton with which he agrees, is that it would be inappropriate of SO15 to sign off the destruction of these older files. We have a number of competing pressures within SO to be proactive in the management of our records in order to improve compliance levels. Furthermore, the decant from NSY means that we need to find accommodation for the IMOS library ( almost 25% of the [REDACTED] unless it can be deleted) . As you know the CRE programme is creating a number of accommodation pressures and we cannot justify retaining IMOS from an operational basis.

Mr Walton has asked that I seek your views about how we balance the need to be held to account for the purposes of Operation Herne / Public Enquiry with the other competing pressures.

Regards

[REDACTED] BAND A1  
Head of Compliance and Assurance  
NCTP HQ

BAND A1

---

**From:** [REDACTED] NCTPHQ  
**Sent:** 19 June 2015 12:33  
**To:** Walton Richard W - SO15  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] SO15 BAND D6  
**Subject:** INFORMATION RISKS , ACCOMMODATION AND IT systems

Sir

I am being pushed, to give a steer on the Storage solution for IMOS given the decant from NSY.

Can I have 30 minutes of your time to discuss the attached report.

Regards

[REDACTED] BAND A1

---

**From:** [REDACTED] NCTPHQ  
**Sent:** 11 June 2015 18:54  
**To:** Walton Richard W - SO15  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] SO15; Nicholson Terri J - SO15; [REDACTED] NCTPFC; [REDACTED] - SO15 BAND B4  
**Subject:** INFORMATION RISKS , ACCOMMODATION AND IT systems  
DSU 4

Sir

Please see attached draft report with Appendix. The report and table needs a good read. These are issues which have been mentioned in various forums before but the decant from NSY provides a catalyst for decision making and action. A similar report was submitted previously through Duncan to Jeremy Burton, but it did not find its way to AC Hewitt, whom you might think need to approve some of the recommendations. I have some reservations about bringing all these risks together into one document, but it does give us the opportunity to put a long term strategy in place.

Please let me know if you require a verbal briefing.

Regards

[REDACTED] BAND A1



SO\_CT\_Records  
system Options ...