

# UNDERCOVER POLICING INQUIRY

## PENAL NOTICE

**IF ANY PERSON DISOBEYS THIS ORDER, THE MATTER MAY BE CERTIFIED TO THE HIGH COURT WHICH MAY HOLD THAT PERSON TO BE IN CONTEMPT OF THE INQUIRY AND THAT PERSON MAY BE IMPRISONED, FINED OR HAVE THEIR ASSETS SEIZED**

**ANY PERSON WHO KNOWS OF THIS ORDER AND DOES ANYTHING WHICH HELPS OR PERMITS ANY PERSON TO BREACH THE TERMS OF THIS ORDER MAY ALSO AFTER CERTIFICATION TO THE HIGH COURT BE HELD TO BE IN CONTEMPT OF THE INQUIRY AND MAY BE IMPRISONED, FINED OR HAVE THEIR ASSETS SEIZED**

---

---

## RESTRICTION ORDER UNDER SECTION 19 OF THE INQUIRIES ACT 2005

---

---

UPON the Chairman having considered:

- an application dated 19 August 2016 by the Metropolitan Police Service for a restriction order in respect of a witness here described as '**Jaipur**';
- submissions by the non-police, non-state core participants dated 19 September 2016;
- supplementary submissions by the Metropolitan Police Service dated 5 October 2016; and
- supplementary submissions by the non-police, non-state core participants dated 11 October 2016.

AND UPON the Chairman being satisfied, for the reasons given in his 'Minded to' Note dated 25 October 2016, that at present the weight of public interest falls in favour of a order restricting:

- the publication of Jaipur's real identity; and
- the disclosure of Jaipur's real identity beyond that which is necessary to ensure the fulfilment of the Inquiry's terms of reference.

## UNDERCOVER POLICING INQUIRY

AND UPON no further submissions having been received.

AND UPON the Chairman considering his power to make a restriction order under section 19(2)(b) of the Inquiries Act 2005 read with section 19(3) thereof.


IT IS ORDERED THAT until further order

1. This order binds all persons (whether acting by themselves or by their servants or agents or in any other way) and all companies (whether acting by their directors or officers, servants, agents, or in any other way).
2. There shall be no disclosure or publication made of any evidence or document given, produced or provided to the Inquiry which discloses Jaipur's true identity (including any description or image capable of identifying Jaipur).
3. There shall be no disclosure or publication made of any evidence or document given, produced or provided to the Inquiry which has the effect of disclosing Jaipur's true identity, or contributing to such disclosure, and which the person responsible for the disclosure or publication knows or suspects will have that effect.
4. This order does not prohibit any disclosure to:
  - a. Members of the Inquiry team;
  - b. The Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis ('the Commissioner');
  - c. The legal advisers to the Commissioner;
  - d. Current employees of the Commissioner to whom disclosure is required during the course of their employment and in order to fulfil the terms of their employment; and
  - e. Current or former employees of the Commissioner to whom disclosure is required to enable their participation in the Inquiry.
5. For the avoidance of doubt, any person to whom disclosure of the true identity of Jaipur is made in accordance with paragraph 4 above remains subject to the

## UNDERCOVER POLICING INQUIRY

prohibitions at paragraphs 2 and 3 in relation to any onward disclosure or publication, save insofar as, and only to the extent that, such disclosure is made to another person or persons identified within paragraph 4.

6. Any person affected by any of the restrictions set out in paragraphs 2 and/or 3 above may apply to the Chairman to vary this order.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'C. Pitchford', is written over a faint, circular official stamp.

Sir Christopher Pitchford  
Chairman, Undercover Policing Inquiry  
27 February 2017