

From: Name
Sent: 08 July 2017 10:24
To: Op Motion
Subject: RE: Further information and attached letter

Hi **Name**,

In reply to the letter you attached to your e-mail for my **Parent** in Law (who was married to **N294**) to consider, the responses are as follows:-

1. **N294** did not talk much about **N294's** work, keeping most of it to **N294**. I knew **N294** worked undercover.
2. I do not remember but **N294** always assured me that we would be kept safe and private.
3. Yes, plus if **N294** were still alive, I am absolutely sure **N294** would object too in order to protect **the** family.
4. The potential impact is difficult to assess given that the activities **N294** undertook are unknown to **the** family. The obvious concern is that details released could be used to take 'revenge' against family.

It seems to us (**N294's child and child-in-law**) there is concern and the potential for considerable distress, including among **N294's** children (**those** consulted strongly felt that their **parent** ought to object).

I (**child-in-law**) appreciate it is neither of my **parent-in-law's** children nor myself that you are asking these questions, however we fail to see the need for **N294's** name to be in the public domain in order for an inquiry to proceed, and it would be re-assuring to know that there is some appreciation of the sacrifice in terms of social and family life that **N294** (and indeed other colleagues) made to protect perceived threats to the general public.

I and my **partner** do hope, from a personal viewpoint, that the inquiry takes a realistic approach based on the circumstances existing at the time, rather than simply carry out a witch hunt based on current thinking, technology and hindsight.

Please let me know if I can assist further - this has been challenging to extract personal responses from my **parent-in-law** with minimum distress and ensuring the thoughts and wishes of a frail 85 year old are represented correctly.

Kind regards

Name