

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

15 November 2017

PRESS NOTICE

Further generic evidence relied on by the Metropolitan Police Service in support of applications to restrict names of officers: Documents in advance of the November 20-22 November 2017 Hearings

The Inquiry is today publishing a series of documents that the Metropolitan Police Service have submitted or wish to rely upon when making anonymity applications in support of former Special Demonstration Squad officers. The publication of these documents follows submissions made by the non-police, non-state core participants and comes in advance of the hearings commencing 20 November 2017. The documents published are:

Eight exhibits to the 'Cairo' statement

The Inquiry is publishing an additional eight documents supplied by the Metropolitan Police Service as supporting evidence to the ['Cairo' statement](#) dated 20 July 2017¹. Cairo has provided one further exhibit that is not being published at this time as the information relates to an officer whose anonymity application is yet to be determined.

Two annexes to the Risk Assessment Briefing Note – Annex A and Annex E

The Inquiry is publishing two documents (annexes [A](#) and [E](#)) that have been submitted by the Metropolitan Police Service as annexes to the [Open Risk Assessment Briefing Note](#). The Inquiry is not publishing annex B as it relates to a risk assessment process that the Metropolitan Police are no longer using. The material part of Annex C is already contained within the Risk Assessment Briefing Note, published on 3 August 2017. The Inquiry is not publishing annex D because it relates to two officers, 'Jaipur' and 'Karachi' who are no longer part of the risk assessment process.

Extracts from a witness statement made by Chief Constable Pughsley dated 27 September 2017, submitted by the National Police Chiefs' Council.

The Metropolitan Police Service has indicated its intention to rely on parts of a [statement by Chief Constable Pughsley dated 27 September 2017](#), provided by the National Police Chiefs' Council to the Inquiry. The Inquiry has extracted those parts

¹ [Exhibit 1](#), [Exhibit 2](#), [Exhibit 3](#), [Exhibit 4](#), [Exhibit 5](#), [Exhibit 6](#), [Exhibit 7](#), and [Exhibit 8](#)

UNDERCOVER POLICING INQUIRY

and is publishing what can be published now, in advance of the forthcoming hearing on 20-22 November 2017.

Witness Statement from Louise Meade produced by the College of Policing dated 20 October 2017

The Inquiry is also publishing a [witness statement from a College of Policing witness \(Louise Meade\)](#) dated 20 October 2017. The Inquiry takes the view that some of the content of the statement is relevant to the issues due to be considered at the hearings on 20-22 November.

Tables detailing further information on redactions made to documents published 3 August 2017

On 3 August 2017 the Inquiry published revised redacted versions of generic evidence submitted in support of applications for anonymity made by former undercover officers, consisting of

- i. [the Risk Assessment Briefing Note](#)
- ii. [the 'Cairo' statement dated 20 July 2017](#) ; and
- iii. [the Mosaic Report](#).

Following submissions made on behalf of non-police, non-state core participants, the Inquiry is providing further information about the reasons why redactions have been made to the above documents. These are presented in the following three tables:

- i. [Redaction table: Risk Assessment Briefing Note](#)
- ii. [Redaction table: Cairo statement](#)
- iii. [Redaction table: Mosaic report](#)

Background

The purpose of the Undercover Policing Inquiry is to investigate and report on undercover police operations conducted by English and Welsh police forces in England and Wales since 1968. The Inquiry will examine the contribution undercover policing has made to tackling crime, how it was and is supervised and regulated, and its effect on individuals involved - both police officers and others who came into contact with them.

The work of the Inquiry ranges across the full scope of undercover policing work and will look at the work of the Special Demonstration Squad, the National Public Order Intelligence Unit and police forces in England and Wales. The Inquiry will also examine whether people may

UNDERCOVER POLICING INQUIRY

have been wrongly convicted in cases involving undercover police officers, and refer any such cases to a separate panel for consideration. The work of the Inquiry will fall into three modules:

1. Module one will look at what happened in the deployment of undercover officers in the past, their conduct, and the impact of their activities on themselves and others.
2. Module two will look at the management and oversight of undercover officers, including their selection, training, supervision and care after the end of an undercover deployment. This section will also look at the law and other rules covering undercover policing.
3. Module three will make recommendations about how undercover policing should be conducted in future

ENDS

NOTES TO EDITORS

1. The generic evidence documents are to be found under the Anonymity applications tab, sub tab [‘state/police, generic evidence’](#) .
2. The Undercover Policing Inquiry is constituted under the [Inquiries Act 2005](#).
3. The Inquiry’s [terms of reference](#) were announced by the Home Secretary on 16 July 2015.
4. The Inquiry’s [‘frequently asked questions’](#) document provides more information on the Inquiry more generally, as do [four published update notes](#).
5. The Inquiry’s website is www.ucpi.org.uk and the Inquiry can be found on Twitter @ucpinquiry

For further information please contact the Inquiry’s press officer, Jo Coles:

Email: press.queries@ucpi.org.uk

Tel: 07827 818 460