

**IN THE MATTER OF THE PUBLIC INQUIRY INTO UNDERCOVER
POLICING**

**OPEN APPLICATION FOR A RESTRICTION ORDER (ANONYMITY)
RE: HN1361
SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE MPS**

Restriction Order Sought

1. The MPS apply for a restriction order over the real identity of HN1361 to last indefinitely in the following terms:
 - (1) No direct or indirect disclosure of HN1361's real name (including any description or image capable of identifying HN1361) beyond the Chairman and the Inquiry team;

The Commissioner reserves the right to make further submission as to the effective operation of this Restriction Order during the course of the Inquiry.

Legal Basis for the Application

2. The Application is made on the following statutory basis:
 - s.17(3) of the Inquiries Act 2005: the duty to act with fairness in the procedure or conduct of an inquiry
 - s.19(3)(a) of the Inquiries Act 2005 and Article 8 ECHR: the duty to act in a way that is not incompatible with the right to private and family life under Article 8 ECHR
 - s.19(3)(b) read together with s.19(4)(b)-(d) of the Inquiries Act 2005: conducive to the Inquiry fulfilling its terms of reference or necessary in the public interest, having regard in particular to the matters mentioned in subsection (4).
3. The applicable legal principles have been comprehensively set out in the Chairman's Restriction Order: Legal Principles and Approach Ruling ("the Principles Ruling") of 3 May 2016. Regard has also been had to the restriction order rulings and minded to notes issued since that date.

Evidence in Support

4. This application is supplemented by evidence which is not to be disseminated further than the Chairman and the Inquiry team, namely a closed Risk Assessment dated 19 August 2017 and addendum thereto dated 20 October 2017.

Reasons

Section 17

5. Application of the statutory and common law principles of fairness require that the real identity of HN1361 is not disclosed. The considerations which apply are highlighted below in relation to s.19(3)(a) and/or ss19(3)(b) and 19(4).

Section 19(3)(a) and Article 8

6. A restriction order protecting HN1361's identity is required in order for the Inquiry to meet its duty under the Human Rights Act 1998 not to act in a way which is incompatible with a Convention right. The Convention right in issue is Article 8 ECHR.
7. Disclosure of HN1361's real name would amount to an unjustified and/or disproportionate interference with his/her right to private and family life. In particular, the objective effect of disclosure is set out at §§8, 17 of the Risk Assessment. The level of risk posed by this interference with HN1361's private and family life is set out in the Risk Assessment at §19. It is reasonable to infer that there would be some public interest in HN1361 by virtue of HN1361's status as a former UCO.

Section 19(3)(b) and s19(4)

8. The Chairman is invited to find that a Restriction Order protecting HN1361's real identity is conducive to the Inquiry fulfilling its Terms of Reference or is necessary in the public interest having regard in particular to the factors set out at s.19(4) of the Act read together with the Chairman's approach at [152] of the Principles Ruling:

"...when considering whether to make an order restricting disclosure of any relevant particular piece of information on public interest grounds I will be required to:

- (1) identify the public interest in non-disclosure;*
- (2) assess the risk and level of harm to the public interest that would follow disclosure of that information;*
- (3) identify the public interest in disclosure;*
- (4) assess the risk and level of harm to the public interest that would follow non-disclosure of that information;*
- (5) make in respect of that information a fact sensitive assessment of the position at which the public interest balance should rest".*

The public interest in non-disclosure of real identity

9. The following public interest factors are pertinent:

- (a) HN1361 was deployed as a UCO *in the late 1970s and early 1980s against groups some of which were based in England and which* [REDACTED] [REDACTED] are now either largely inactive or entirely defunct, albeit some of whom were involved in those groups at the time of HN1361's deployment remain active in other fields of protest [REDACTED].
- (b) HN 1361 accepted the role as a UCO on the understanding that he would be entitled to lifelong anonymity. For his part, HN1361 has respected the confidentiality of his deployment: Risk Assessment §3.4.
- (c) There is a public interest in preventing harm to HN1361 including physical harm. The nature and level of that harm is set out in the Risk Assessment at §§ 15, 19.

The public interest in disclosure of real identity

10. The MPS appreciates that the public interest in openness is a factor which weighs against the making of a Restriction Order in HN1361's case. However, MPS submits that the real name will not assist the Inquiry in fulfilling its Terms of Reference or assist Core Participants or witnesses who would not have known HN1361 by their real name.

Where the public interest balance lies

11. The MPS has considered the Chairman's Principles Ruling and has had particular regard to the importance of openness in the Public Inquiry.
12. In all the circumstances, the MPS makes this application for a Restriction Order over HN1361's real name on the bases of fairness, and to avoid a risk or of unjustified and/or disproportionate interference with HN1361's right to private and family life. The MPS submits such an application is in the public interest and conducive to the Inquiry's terms of reference.

MPS, Department of Legal Services

23 April 2018