

IN THE MATTER OF THE INQUIRIES ACT 2005

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE INQUIRY RULES 2006

THE UNDERCOVER POLICING INQUIRY

ANONYMITY APPLICATION ON BEHALF OF EN808: GIST OF

TWO PERSONAL STATEMENTS BY EN808

Personal statement dated 10 March 2017

This is a signed and dated witness statement comprising 44 paragraphs.

The statement sets out EN808's police career history.

In the 1990s, EN808 qualified as an advanced undercover operative. EN808 was deployed on undercover operations, the subjects of which were capable of significant levels of violence and criminality. During EN808's career within undercover policing, EN808 has used different cover names. From 1999 to 2011 EN808 was employed as a cover officer by a provincial police force and from 2011 to 2013 EN808 performed a similar role when seconded to the successor unit to the National Public Order Intelligence Unit. EN808's duties required EN808 to make use of a cover name. From 2016 to date, EN808 has been employed by a provincial police force to undertake duties under a cover name which put safety at risk. EN808 explains the damage that EN808 believes would be caused to the public interest if either real or cover names are published.

EN808 explains why he/she has significant concerns for their safety and their family's safety should his/her real name or cover names be disclosed. EN808 has taken great care to protect their identity and does not disclose their role publically. EN808 does not disclose what police work he/she is engaged in to people in his/her personal life. EN808 does not have any social media accounts in their name. EN808 states that the people who are subject to undercover operations can be extremely dangerous. They may have access to firearms and other weapons and can pose a

serious and immediate danger to police officers and members of the public. There is clear evidence that organisations seek to identify undercover police officers who operate against their organisations. EN808 is also well aware that these organisations target the individuals and/or their families.

EN808 explains how if his/her identity becomes known, it would seriously compromise protracted and dangerous operations that EN808 has been involved in. EN808 states that not only would he/she be subject of reprisal but EN808 honestly believes that their family would also be at risk from such reprisals. This includes the risk of death and/or serious injury.

EN808 and EN808's family expect that the force and judicial system will ensure anonymity and that the safety of the officers will be paramount.

EN808 respectfully urges the Chairman to the Inquiry to grant EN808 the following anonymity and protective measures:

- a) Anonymity of both name and address and to be allowed by the court the use of a pseudonym throughout the proceedings.
- b) To give evidence from behind a screen shielding EN808 from the view of the public gallery.
- c) Not to be asked questions that might lead to EN808's identification.
- d) Secure entry to and exit from the court shielding EN808's identity and appearance from the public and media.
- e) The selection of a suitably equipped court to enable the above mentioned anonymity and protective measures.
- f) A restriction on the publication of EN808's image or identifying material.

EN808 confirms that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief the facts stated within this statement are true.

Second personal statement dated 25 May 2017

This is a signed and dated witness statement comprising 15 paragraphs.

This statement addresses the following questions:

- a) Which cover name(s) (or nicknames) did this officer use during the NPOIU deployments?
- b) What risk(s) would arise specifically in the event of publication of those names?

- c) Were the cover names (or nicknames) used by the officer during the NPOIU deployments the same as the names used by the officer in the context of the non-NPOIU criminal deployments cited?
- d) If different names were used, please explain how release of the NPOIU-related cover name(s) will lead to the revelation of the different cover names used in non-NPOIU criminal deployments, such that the risk is created?

EN808 sets out the different cover names that EN808 has used. EN808 explains why he/she believes that identification of EN808's NPOIU name or cover identity would not only compromise EN808 and put EN808 and his/her family at risk but also the undercover officer(s) involved. There would also be risk to members of the public inadvertently involved.

EN808 reiterates the anonymity and protective measures that EN808 feels are required, as set out in the first statement.

EN808 confirms that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief the facts stated within this statement are true.

ENDS