

## **EN1001 – GISTED SUMMARY OF GROUNDS OF APPLICATION**

1. EN1001 seeks a Restriction Order precluding disclosure of EN1001's real and cover names.
2. EN1001 spent several years working in low level undercover operations before taking on a different role in connection with undercover policing in the late 2000s, a role which EN1001 continues to hold.
3. EN1001 has never been seconded to the National Public Order Intelligence Unit and has never applied for a role within that organisation. EN1001 performed a peripheral supporting role in one operation in the late 2000s. EN1001 does not know if any risk arises to EN1001 or anyone else involved in this operation if EN1001's real or covert identities are revealed.
4. The application sets out details of EN1001's other undercover work, primarily as a test purchase officer in which role EN1001 gave evidence at court under a pseudonym. EN1001 used the same pseudonym as he/she used when seconded to the National Public Order Intelligence Unit in some of these operations.
5. The application sets out details of EN1001's current work in connection with undercover policing. EN1001 provides details regarding his/her cover identity. There must be a significant risk that if EN1001's pseudonym were to be published, EN1001 would be identified as a current serving officer and in doing so jeopardise EN1001's career, unit, the unit's officers and current live operations.
6. Given the nature of the operations EN1001 has been involved in whilst working as an undercover officer or otherwise, EN1001 believes there is a risk of retribution if his/her real name were to be disclosed or if it were possible to link EN1001's cover name to his/her real identity. EN1001 is unable to state whether any of the suspects EN1001 has interacted with whilst working as an undercover officer could pose a risk to EN1001 should EN1001's true identity be disclosed as EN1001 does not have access to documentation and has no knowledge of the broader picture.
7. EN1001 submits that there are Article 2 risks arising from EN1001's identities being disclosed. The obvious and immediate risk would be to the undercover officers currently deployed within EN1001's unit. However EN1001 is also concerned about the potential risk to EN1001's life and his/her family given the nature of the work EN1001 has carried out.
8. EN1001 submits that his/her Article 3 and Article 8 rights are also at risk of being infringed. EN1001 has never spoken to his/her family about the work EN1001 undertakes. EN1001 has legitimate grounds for concern about the impact on his/her partner's welfare if there is disclosure of EN1001's undercover policing activities.
9. EN1001 intends to work as a police officer until he/she retires and to continue in his/her current role which he/she thoroughly enjoys.

10. EN1001 always believed that his/her identity would never be revealed and he/she would not have undertaken the work if he/she considered that there was any prospect of it being revealed.
11. EN1001 submits that there would be a substantial and unjustifiable interference with the Article 2, 3 and 8 rights of EN1001 and EN1001's family if EN1001's real or cover identity were to be revealed in this Inquiry.

Dated: 15 August 2017