

## **Recognised Legal Representatives Ruling 18 Costs of Legal Representation Awards Ruling 17**

### **“Ellie”**

1. I have today designated “Ellie” as a core participant in category [H]. She applies for the designation of Harriet Wistrich as her recognised legal representative. I shall so designate her.
2. Harriet Wistrich has other clients in this category and is familiar with the Inquiry’s work. “Ellie” does not have the means to fund the legal representation which she requires to participate in the Inquiry. She satisfies the statutory test set out in rule 5(2)(b) of the Inquiry Rules 2006. I make a costs award in her favour under section 40(2) of the Inquiries Act 2005. The basis of assessment will be the same as that adopted in the case of Harriet Wistrich’s other core participant clients in this category.

### **Liisa Crossland and Mark Crossland**

3. I have today designated Liisa and Mark Crossland as core participants in category [F]. They apply for the designation of Jules Carey as their designated legal representative. I shall so designate him.
4. Jules Carey has other clients in this category and is familiar with the Inquiry’s work. Neither applicant has the means to fund legal representation which they require to participate in the Inquiry. They satisfy the test set out in rule 5(2) of the Inquiry Rules 2006. I make a costs award in their favour under section 40(2) of the Inquiries Act 2005. The basis of assessment will be the same as that adopted in the case of Jules Carey’s other clients in this category.

20 September 2018

Sir John Mitting  
Chairman, Undercover Policing Inquiry