1	Wednesday, 11 November 2020
2	(10.00 am)
3	MS PURSER: Good morning, everyone, and welcome to the first
4	day of evidential hearings in Tranche 1, Phase 1 of
5	the Undercover Policing Inquiry.
6	My name is Jacqueline Purser and I'm the hearings
7	manager. For those of you in the virtual hearing room,
8	please can I remind you to turn off both your camera and
9	video, unless you are invited to speak by the Chairman,
10	as Zoom will pick up on all noises and you will be on
11	the screen.
12	I will now hand over to our Chairman,
13	Sir John Mitting, to formally start proceedings.
14	Chairman.
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. We were today going to begin with
16	the opening statement of Dave Smith. He unfortunately
17	has contracted the Coronavirus. Naturally, I wish him
18	a full and speedy recovery, but it is obviously not
19	possible for him to make his opening statement today as
20	he had intended.
21	We will accommodate him when he is fit and ready to
22	do so.
23	One other person remains to make an opening
24	statement, Helen Steel. She, too, is, for different
25	reasons, not able to make her opening statement today.

1	Likewise, the Inquiry will accommodate her when she is
2	able to do so.
3	Today is going to be devoted to the evidence of
4	the core participant Tariq Ali. At 11 o'clock, there
5	will be a two minutes' silence. Because you can hear
6	Big Ben and I can't, I may not get the timing precisely
7	right, for which I apologise.
8	At the beginning of every session of evidence,
9	a recording which I made earlier is going to be played.
10	It lasts a little over three minutes. Could I ask you,
11	because I know you're going to listen to this for
12	the first time, to listen to it carefully. By the time
13	that you have heard it two or three times, you may be
14	able to speak it without me mouthing the words. But I'm
15	afraid it is something which you will have to listen to
16	time and time again. But now I'm going to ask that it
17	be played for the first time.
18	(pause)
19	MS PURSER: Sir, apologies for the slight delay whilst we
20	try to play your video. It will be on shortly.
21	Thank you.
22	(Pause)
23	(Video played)
24	"I am conducting this Inquiry under a statute,
25	the Inquiries Act 2005, which gives me the power to make

orders regulating the conduct of the Inquiry, including its hearings. In the exercise of that power, I have made a number of orders which affect what you may and may not do in the hearing rooms and after you leave them. Breach of any of the orders is a serious matter and may have serious consequences for you.

"If I am satisfied that a person may have breached an order, I have the power to certify the matter to the High Court, which will investigate and deal with it as if it had been a contempt of that court. If satisfied that a breach has occurred and merits the imposition of a penalty, the High Court may impose a severe sanction on the person in breach, including a fine, imprisonment for up to two years and sequestration of their assets.

"Evidence is going to be given live over screens in the hearing rooms. It is strictly prohibited to photograph or record what is shown on the screens or to record what is said by a witness or anyone else in the hearing rooms.

"You may bring your mobile telephone into

the hearings rooms, but you may not use it for any of

those purposes. You may use it silently for any other

purpose. In particular, you may transmit your account

of what you have seen and heard in a hearing room to any

other person, but only once at least 10 minutes have elapsed since the event which you are describing took place.

"This restriction has a purpose. In the course of the Inquiry, I have made orders prohibiting the public disclosure of information; for example, about the identity of a person for a variety of reasons.

These order must be upheld. It is inevitable that, whether by accident or design, information which I have ordered should not be publicly disclosed will sometimes be disclosed in a hearing.

"If and when that happens, I will immediately suspend the hearing and make an order prohibiting further disclosure of the information outside the hearing rooms. The consequence will be that no further disclosure of that information may be made by mobile telephone or other portable electronic device from within the hearing room, or by any means outside it.

"I am sorry if you find this message alarming. It is not intended to be. Its purpose is simply to ensure that everyone knows the rules which must apply if I am to hear the evidence which I need to enable me to get to the truth about undercover policing. You, as members of the public, are entitled to hear the same public

- 1 evidence as I will hear, and to reach your own
- 2 conclusions about it. The Inquiry team will do their
- 3 best to ensure that you can.
- 4 "If you have any doubt about the terms of this
- 5 message or what you may or may not do, you should not
- 6 hesitate to ask one of them and, with my help if
- 7 necessary, they will provide you with the answer."
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Can we now begin, please, with
- 9 the evidence of Mr Tariq Ali.
- 10 MR TARIQ ALI
- MR BARR: Sir, good morning. As you know, our first witness
- is Mr Ali. I'm just going to let Ms Purser take
- the affirmation.
- MS PURSER: Good morning, Mr Ali. Can you see and hear me?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MS PURSER: I understand that you would like to affirm?
- 17 A. Yes, please.
- 18 (Witness affirmed)
- 19 MS PURSER: Thank you, Mr Ali.
- 20 A. Thank you.
- 21 Questions by MR BARR
- 22 MR BARR: Mr Ali, could you confirm to the Inquiry, please,
- your full name?
- 24 A. Tariq Ali.
- 25 Q. You very kindly provided a witness statement to

- 1 the Inquiry. Are the contents of that statement true
- and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?
- 3 A. They are.
- 4 Q. You tell us at paragraph 16 of that witness statement
- 5 that you are a journalist, writer and broadcaster, and
- 6 that you have been all of your life and that you remain
- 7 politically engaged; is that right?
- 8 A. That is correct, yes.
- 9 Q. I'd like to take you back, if I may, a little more than
- 10 half a century to the days of the Vietnam Solidarity
- 11 Campaign.
- You tell us in your witness statement that you were
- a member of the Ad Hoc Committee, which organised
- 14 the March and October 1968 demonstrations.
- Did you also have a role organising the October 1967
- 16 demonstration?
- 17 A. Yes. And I was on the National Committee of the Vietnam
- 18 Solidarity Campaign itself, which we created after
- 19 Bertrand Russell and Jean Paul Sartre's tribunal trip to
- North Vietnam in '66. So, if my memory serves me right,
- VSC was set up in either '66, or at least '67.
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- 23 You've helpfully in your witness statement directed
- us to your book, "Street Fighting Years". I'm going to
- use your helpful book and read some passages from it,

1 before putting some questions to you.

account of 22 October 1967:

2 A. Could you give me the page number?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Yes, of course. I'm going to start in the copy

(indicates), if anyone else is following, the latest

edition, on page 233. And I'm looking under the heading

"22 October 1967". And I will read the passage, your

"It was a nice Sunday. No rain and not too cold. We had expected a few thousand people at most, given that none of the established groups, such as CND or various front organisations of the Communist Party, had supported our call. When I arrived in Trafalgar Square for the rally, I saw a much larger crowd which had virtually filled the square. A number of us spoke and then, carrying NLF flags and placards proclaiming 'Victory for Vietnam', 'Victory to the NLF', we began the march to Grosvenor Square. The plan had been to picket the American Embassy, hand in a petition, chant slogans, sing pro NLF songs and end the demonstration. The size of the crowd grew as we marched, and by the time we approached the embassy, there were about 10,000 people behind our banners, predominantly the young and largely students. The police, on their part, were equally surprised at our numbers. Their intelligence, which was usually based on ours, had let

1		them down.
2		"We marched right up to the steps of the embassy
3		before a thin blue line emerged to defend the citadel."
4		Do you stand by that account?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	I'll continue:
7		"A few shoves and we were through. We actually
8		reached the doors of the embassy before police
9		reinforcements dragged us back. There were hardly any
10		arrests and very little violence.
11		"We were amazed that we had got so close to
12		the enemy fortress. On the way back, we talked about
13		what we would have done if we had managed to occupy
14		the embassy. The most popular view was to open
15		the files and embarrass the Labour government by
16		publishing the list of MPs and journalists on
17		the payroll or otherwise involved with the more sinister
18		aspects of the embassy. This was a utopian hope but it
19		was very strong at the time.
20		"We also dreamed of using the embassy telex to cable
21		the US Embassy in Saigon and inform them that
22		pro-Vietcong forces had seized the premises in
23		Grosvenor Square."
24		Do you stand by that?

A. I do.

- Q. Would it be a fair assessment to say that at least in
- 2 your heart what you wanted to do was occupy the embassy
- 3 and send that telex to Saigon?
- 4 A. Well, I suppose. I mean, it's difficult now, looking
- 5 back nearly 50 years, but yeah, our aim was to try and
- 6 get into the embassy and occupy it. As a token gesture.
- 7 You know, we were not intending to stay there, or
- 8 anything like that. But yeah, we were thinking about
- 9 that, yes.
- 10 Q. Thank you.
- 11 I'll read on just a little bit further:
- 12 "The demonstration was judged to be a major success.
- Both its size and militancy were reward for all the hard
- 14 work of VSC activists and a vindication of our political
- 15 approach."
- Might I ask you in what sense you were using
- the word "militancy" there?
- 18 A. Well, mainly in the political sense, because within
- 19 the broad left there was a disagreement. CND for
- 20 instance or other anti-Vietnam War organisations had
- 21 the view that all they were demanding was peace in
- 22 Vietnam. This was not our opinion at VSC. We said:
- 23 yeah, we want peace, but not the peace of the graveyard,
- and the only way peace will come is if the occupying
- 25 armies in Vietnam are defeated by the national

- 1 liberation front.
- 2 So "militancy" referred to that. And that was quite
- 3 well known at the time, because this debate was pretty
- 4 much public, and we were denounced by quite a few,
- 5 you know, let me say, people close to
- 6 the Communist Party or their front peace organisations.
- 7 Q. And so just to explore that a bit further, having read
- 8 that account to you, that was the sort of militancy that
- 9 would involve shoving through a police line to get
- 10 closer to the embassy?
- 11 A. Well, that's not how I meant it, really. I mean, we
- really meant by "militancy" giving support to
- 13 the National Liberation Front. It was not particularly
- 14 connected with entering the embassy. Because that was
- something that was an accident anyway that happened in
- October '67. We weren't prepared to do that; the police
- 17 weren't prepared for it to happen. Some of us were
- 18 hoping we could just take the embassy for ten minutes,
- 19 as a token gesture. So it wasn't the central thing in
- our mind in October '67.
- Q. If I'm understanding you correctly, you're saying that
- 22 a central element of the militancy was essentially
- 23 taking a partisan stance --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- and supporting the NLF?

- 1 A. Yeah, this was a big issue. And this was very new,
- 2 actually, to -- to, you know, the press in the country
- at the moment, the radio, television, that there were
- 4 a group of people saying that they actually wanted what
- 5 was regarded by many in the British Government as
- 6 the enemy. And that is what created a stir, if,
- 7 you know, one has got clippings from that period which
- 8 demonstrate. And that was, of course, you know,
- 9 divisive on the left as well.
- But it was at the time a very sharp point of view to
- 11 espouse. I mean, we were amazed that a Guardian opinion
- poll roughly in that period showed that we were
- 13 supported by 25% of the British population, which was
- huge for us. And, you know, we used to say, "Well, why
- don't they come out? Why don't they come out?" But
- that was -- that was the meaning of "political"
- 17 militancy".
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 I'm now going to move to the March 1968
- 20 demonstration, and I'm going to go to page 251 of your
- book.
- 22 A. Okay, let me just get there. Okay.
- Q. I'm going to read from the paragraph that starts about
- a third of the way down the page with
- 25 the words "I had ...":

"I had returned from a nationwide speaking tour and reported to the committee that the size of the demonstration would be at least twice as big as that of last October, and probably even larger. We agreed a list of speakers for Trafalgar Square, and it was suggested that two of these, Vanessa Redgrave and myself, should hand in a letter to the American Embassy. That was decreed to be the official objective of the March. But what were to be our unofficial aims? These were not discussed at the larger meetings, since we had little doubt that informers were present and active. But amongst a smaller group, we spent a very long time discussing what was possible.

"I presented an analysis of the previous demonstration. If we had been prepared, we could have occupied the embassy, which would have had a tremendous propaganda value. However, we had been taken by surprise by the militancy and the extent of our own support. This time, the militancy would be greater as a result of the NLF's successors in Vietnam, and so would our size. A serious attempt should therefore be made to occupy the embassy.

"There was virtually no disagreement as to the desirability of such an outcome. Then Pat Jordan spoke and said that it would be extremely foolish to

- imagine that the state would be unprepared. We were
- 2 taken by surprise last October, true. But so were they.
- 3 This time they had been able to witness all our
- 4 pre-activities."
- 5 I'm just going to stop there, Mr Ali, to ask a few
- 6 questions about that passage?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. First of all, is it right that the VSC had unofficial
- 9 aims as well as official aims at this time?
- 10 A. Yes. I said so at the time and publicly the day after
- 11 the demonstration. So it wasn't a big secret. It
- wasn't a big secret the day after the demonstration. We
- did not advertise it beforehand.
- Q. I understand. And that's the secret planning, if I call
- it that, was kept to an inner circle?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. And was that the National Committee, or was that
- 18 a smaller circle?
- 19 A. It was mainly the National Committee. To be honest with
- 20 you, I cannot fully recall everyone who was present.
- 21 But it was probably no more than 7 or 8 people. That is
- 22 VSC National Committee members based in London, rather
- than the ones who were in other parts of the country;
- 24 because it was a National Committee, there were
- 25 representatives from Scotland, Wales, North of England,

l	all the big cities in the Midlands, etc. So this was
2	the London members of the VSC National Committee, as far
3	as I can remember.

Q. Thank you.

I'm going to resume reading. I'll read a little bit further:

7 "He ..."

8 That's Pat Jordan:

"... was convinced that every single one of my speeches on the recent tour had been noted and studied by Special Branch. I protested. I had not discussed any street fighting tactics at any of the meetings.

'That's not the point,' Jordan responded, 'It's your tone that matters. They're not fools.' Someone else asked me if I was prepared, during the course of my speech in Trafalgar Square, to state loudly that the objective of the demo was to storm the embassy.

I nodded in the affirmative. There was silence. Then the plan was vetoed. I could be charged with incitement or conspiracy and either locked up for a few years or, given that I had only been resident in Britain for four-and-a-half years, they could deport me out of the country.

"It was decided to make the decision at the last possible moment when we had been able to assess

1	the balance of forces on the ground. Everyone knew that
2	this was an unsatisfactory, neither-fish-nor-fowl
3	variant, but the majority of the 12 people present had
4	been insistent that there was no other realistic
5	possibility."

So can we take from that that the desire to storm the embassy still remained at that time?

A. Yeah, largely because of what I've said earlier to you because of the October '67 demonstration, a number of us felt it was a seriously missed opportunity, and that to storm the embassy and occupy it, even for five minutes, would send a message out to the world. As it was, senator Eugene McCarthy, the peace candidate in the United States, had publicly said, "We have reached a sad state of affairs that our embassy in the country of our main ally in Europe is permanently under siege."

And he had used this to stress the need to pull the troops out of Vietnam. So that encouraged us, the fact that there were lots of Americans -- mainstream Americans, you know, not people from the left but democrats in the main, both senators, congressmen, black leaders -- arguing now favour.

So that gave us a sort of -- if you like, it boosted our collective ego, that what we did outside the US Embassy in London actually mattered in

- 1 the United States, not to mention Vietnam.
- Q. And what, in the result, stopped you making a public
- 3 call for that to happen was the concern that others had
- 4 for you that you might be prosecuted or deported if you
- 5 did so?
- 6 A. Yeah, I mean, we have lots of -- this may surprise you
- but we had lots of friendly lawyers who attended these
- 8 events as well, and made sure in case there were arrests
- 9 to go immediately with the people arrested and get bail.
- 10 And there were some -- there was one lawyer present at
- 11 this meeting who made it very clear that they -- under
- no circumstances should I make any such appeal, because
- of my legal situation.
- 14 Q. And so the conclusion was that there would be an
- assessment of the balance of forces on the ground at
- the last possible moment; is that right?
- 17 A. Yeah, on the sort of Napoleonic principle: first engage
- and then see.
- 19 Q. Does that mean, then, that you were going to see on
- the day?
- 21 A. Yeah, yeah. I mean, we had no idea that, you know,
- 22 the numbers of police who would be there, leave alone
- 23 mounted police, etc, etc. So it was -- the decision was
- that clearly, if we were completely outnumbered by
- the police, it would be stupid to do anything. I mean,

1	that was a view also expressed quite sharply. With
2	which I agreed, I have to say, that you know, we wanted
3	a token occupation of the embassy, but if we couldn't.

4 we couldn't. There was no plan to do anything else.

Q. I'm going to now move to page 253 of your book, to
the paragraph that starts near the top. And it's
a passage that concerns the arrival of the German SDS
contingent:

"On the day before, the German SDS contingent arrived, or rather marched into Conway Hall, where we were ..."

I think it should say:

"... were in the middle of preparing last-minute plans, with their familiar chant of "Ho, ho Ho Chi Minh". They were warmly welcomed and joined in the proceedings. They had come well prepared with helmets and their own banner. They had also brought me an SDS helmet as a gift. They insisted on hearing our battle plans. We said there were none. They expressed anger and shock. Were we not planning to occupy the embassy? I was chairing the meeting and spelt out our official position, but the SDS came straight to the point. 'We understand what you say but we now ask you another question: if the masses spontaneously decide to storm the American Embassy, will you stop them or

- join in?'
- 2 "Feeling that this questioning was getting too close
- for comfort, I declared the meeting closed, came down
- 4 from the platform and dragged the leaders of the SDS
- 5 contingent to another room. Here, I explained what
- 6 the position of VSC was and why we could not publicly
- 7 call for an occupation. They argued long and hard.
- I was sympathetic to what they said, but I insisted
- 9 strongly that the view of the majority had to be
- 10 upheld."
- 11 Can I clarify there, please. There you explain what
- the position of the VSC was to them when speaking to
- them in private?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- Q. Was that the official position, the unofficial position
- or both?
- 17 A. Well, the official position of the VSC you already know.
- 18 The unofficial position was that it would be -- what we
- 19 did would be determined by the relationship of forces on
- 20 that particular day. And that is the position I -- I
- 21 explained both positions to them in fact. So they were
- aware of that.
- 23 Q. Did you discuss demonstration tactics with them at all
- 24 beyond the strategy?
- 25 A. No. We had no -- we said to them, in fact, pretty

- 1 clearly, that there was no plan, there was no particular
- group that had been allocated to do A, B or C, in terms
- 3 of provoking or undertaking violence. It would either
- 4 happen collectively, the takeover of the embassy, or it
- 5 wouldn't happen at all.
- 6 The Germans -- SDS, were mainly from Berlin. And
- 7 they had had a lot of experience on street
- 8 demonstrations, which we hadn't. And during
- 9 a demonstration against the Shah of Iran,
- Benno Ohnesorg, if I remember his name correct, one of
- 11 the German in SDS had been killed, shot dead. So they
- were very organised, precisely to prevent any such
- 13 things happening. We weren't, because we said
- 14 the police here -- we did say to them, "This is not
- the Berlin police". You know, we explained what had
- 16 happened in October the previous year. And that was
- 17 that.
- 18 They finally -- you know, they said, "We will accept
- 19 your discipline." Which they did.
- 20 Q. And how were you anticipating that they would behave on
- 21 the day?
- 22 A. I was, you know, pretty convinced that they would do --
- they would accept leadership decisions, and they would
- not act on their own.
- 25 Q. And does that mean, then, if the balance of forces on

- 1 the ground on the day favoured an attempt on
- 2 the embassy, they would join in --
- 3 A. Without any doubt.
- 4 Q. And otherwise they would not?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. Can I now ask you about the "Come Armed" stickers. You
- 7 have described that -- this, I'm not referring to your
- 8 book here. But you've described in your witness
- 9 statement that they were "childish nonsense". I think
- 10 a number of sources describe that somebody put "Come
- 11 Armed" stickers on some of the flyers for
- 12 the demonstration.
- 13 Did you consider that such stickers might have
- 14 encouraged some hotheads to follow that advice?
- 15 A. Not really. That was not the mood at all. And to be
- 16 perfectly frank, when I first saw these stickers, I did
- wonder whether there were agent provocateurs around,
- 18 because no one in the VSC, or any other of
- 19 the mainstream anti-war groups, behave in this way.
- 20 And, you know, the -- Burnett writing in The Economist,
- 21 the quote I gave from him in the book, accused American
- groups of doing it. We had no evidence of that,
- 23 actually, whether it was -- there were groups of
- 24 Americans here at the time who were active in
- 25 the anti-war movement, which included -- who included,

- by the way, Bill Clinton, who later became the president

 of that country.
- So there were a lot of Americans resisting 3 the draft, avoiding conscription, who were here. And 4 5 none of those groups, I'm absolutely convinced, because I knew them, most the leaders of these groups, would 6 7 have put "Come Armed". It's just a nonsense and 8 designed to provoke. But who organised them or who put these stickers on is a question which I think some of 9 10 the people on whose behalf you are acting might be better able to answer. None of us had anything to do 11 12 with that.
- Q. I think it's right to say, in your book you express
 the concern that they may have actually put people off
 attending the demonstration?
- A. That is also the case, because some of the other

 mainstream groups were saying, "Don't go on this

 demonstration; these people are too militant," etc, etc.

 And this was just designed to pander to that.

20

21

22

23

24

25

But, you know, just think about it. Even in those times -- I mean, it would never happen now. But even in those times in Britain, or most parts of Europe, to have a sticker saying "come armed", you'd have to be pretty nutty to do that. And honestly, there were a few fringe types who were like that. No one would have a sticker

- 1 printed and then put it on. No, I'm absolutely
- 2 convinced about that.
- Q. Did the VSC do anything about those stickers?
- 4 A. We denounced them, actually. And, you know, when we
- were asked by the press at the time, we said we had
- 6 nothing to do with them and they could only be
- 7 provocateurs. I remember very well saying that myself
- 8 a number of times. Because you, know, it was far
- 9 removed from anything we believed in.
- 10 Q. Now, there were various different groups who had an
- interest in campaigning (inaudible). I'd just like to
- 12 ask you about some of them.
- 13 First of all, the Maoist groups. What was your
- 14 feeling, having dealt with them, about their attitude to
- violence at public demonstrations?
- 16 A. There were one or two Maoist groups, if my memory serves
- me right. I personally didn't have too much contact
- with them. Other members of the committee did, to try
- and persuade them to come under a common umbrella and to
- 20 accept the discipline of the march as a whole. And
- 21 usually they refused. I think in most cases they
- refused and said, "We'll come under our own banners."
- 23 So we said, "You can still come under your own banners,
- 24 but just accept the discipline of the march," which
- 25 sometimes they grudgingly did. But the big clash with

- them was not prior to March '68, if my memory serves me
- 2 right, it was in October, which, you know, we will come
- 3 to. October '68.
- 4 But I had no knowledge that they were for
- 5 a different tactical approach, or strategic approach.
- I never saw them being that active.
- 7 There were -- there was an Irish Maoist group, if my
- 8 memory serves my right, led by a person called Ed
- 9 Davoren. But I don't recall him ever saying anything
- 10 particularly outrageous. Maybe they did, I don't know.
- But I'm saying I didn't have any personal encounter.
- 12 Q. This is very much the opportunity to hear what you know
- and what you saw and heard, so that's --
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. -- absolutely understood.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- Q. You qualify -- you described that sometimes they would
- 18 grudgingly accept the discipline of the VSC. Can I take
- 19 it from that that their willingness to do so and their
- 20 actual commitment to doing so was something that you
- 21 couldn't entirely rely upon?
- 22 A. No, we couldn't, because, I mean, given that they
- 23 regarded most of the VSC National Committee as
- 24 revisionists and class traitors, and whatever else they
- 25 called us, we realised it would be difficult for them.

1		But within the VSC, and certainly on the mass
2		demonstrations, they were outnumbered. So, I mean
3		hugely outnumbered, that they wouldn't have dreamt of
4		doing anything to challenge the discipline. We had very
5		effective stewarding. Certainly of the March
6		demonstration, and more so during the October
7		demonstration. So we made sure that nothing happened
8		that we weren't aware of within our ranks.
9		If people then left these ranks, went to
10		the pavement and decided to do something on their own,
11		that was between them and the police, it had nothing to
12		do with us. We were very clear about that.
13	Q.	Okay. Can I move now, please, to the anarchist groups.
14		What can you tell us about their attitude to public
15		disorder?
16	Α.	It varied. Like most other groups, they were divided.
17		There were the softer anarchists who were largely
18		theoretical anarchists, I would say, who liked the ideas
19		but were not so active. And they came on the marches
20		like anyone else, like most other people.
21		There were some small anarchist groups I can't
22		recall their names now who were constantly denouncing

us as being lily-livered and scared of the cops, and

this, that and the other. And what we used to say to

them was, "You can do what you want, we can't stop you,

23

24

- but this is not our policy."
- 2 So on many occasions they didn't even join
- 3 the demonstrations, they would stand at traffic islands
- 4 as the demonstration marched from either
- 5 Trafalgar Square or the Embankment and hurl abuse at us.
- 6 They were not that strong, it has to be said. At the
- 7 time they had a newspaper, an own newspaper called
- 8 "Freedom". But in terms of numbers, they weren't that
- 9 strong.
- 10 Q. And when they did join your marches, were you concerned
- about how they might behave?
- 12 A. No, because there weren't enough of them to do anything.
- I mean, they could be seen. They, you know, dressed
- 14 quite similarly and wore T-shirts proclaiming their
- 15 views, so it was very easy to identify them. And we
- weren't particularly worried by them. Or if anyone was,
- it never came to my ears, that there's a big problem
- from anarchist group -- posed by anarchist group X or Y,
- 19 I never heard anything like that. That doesn't mean it
- 20 didn't -- you know, that something didn't happen, but
- 21 not to my knowledge.
- 22 Q. Thank you. Can we go back to your book, please. We're
- going to go to the March demonstration itself. So I'm
- 24 going to go to page 254 and going to start reading at
- 25 the bottom paragraph of page 254:

"As we led the march towards Oxford Street, the main VSC contingent was in the lead, with a marvellous display of red flags and banners, flanked with gigantic NFL emblems. Just behind us were the German SDS with their banner. It was an impressive sight and the mood was one of optimism. If the conversations of those who came that day had been recorded, I am sure that the overwhelming majority wanted more than just a victory in Vietnam. We wanted a new world without wars, oppression and class exploitation, based on comradeship and internationalism. The wealth of the first world, if properly utilised, could help transform the third world.

"Moreover, if a meaningful socialism was successful in the West, it would not just be the City of London and its state that would tremble, but also the bureaucrats in Moscow, who were equally scared of change from below. We were aware that a new spring had arrived in Prague and that many exciting discussions were taking place at Charles University and in the Czech Communist Party. But it was not just talk. It was also a feeling that change was possible. That was what Vietnam had taught all of us."

Now, I'll stop there and ask you first about the German SDS.

- 1 A. Mm.
- 2 Q. So when the march is proceeding towards Grosvenor Square
- 3 but has not yet reached it, is it right that the German
- 4 SDS contingent was not very far behind you?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And during that phase of the march, are you able to
- 7 describe to us how they behaved?
- 8 A. They were like everyone else. What they brought to that
- 9 demonstration that we hadn't done ourselves before --
- for instance in the -- before October '67, or on
- 11 CND marches -- was a linking of arms in solidarity.
- This was, you know, not something violent, it was just
- that if arms were linked, the demonstration would be
- 14 able to defend itself in case it was attacked by
- 15 whoever. And that was a sort of tactic that they had
- 16 brought -- you know, brought with them from Berlin. And
- as they did it themselves, you know, we could see people
- 18 behind them, rows and rows of demonstrators actually
- 19 beginning to do that, so that was the main contribution,
- 20 as far as I know.
- 21 Q. Did you -- were you aware at any stage whether they
- 22 deliberately slowed down such that the protesters behind
- 23 them pressed up against them?
- A. Not really, no.
- Q. Were you aware -- did you ever become aware of a time

- when they suddenly moved forwards into the marchers and demonstrators in front of them?
- A. I can't recall that, actually, no. I mean, there was
 the attempt to break through the police lines, took
 place, I think, twice or thrice. And it wasn't just
 the German SDS, it was large numbers of other people as
 well, you know, nice English students, who joined in
 that.

But once that had failed, then we began to fall

back. And had we not done so, you know, I mean, there

-- there was enough police there to actually arrest

several hundred people. But what made a retreat

necessary and, you know, had to be done, was the charge

of the mounted police that happened, after two attempts

had been made to break through the police lines.

16

17

18

- Q. I was going to say, I'd just like to be clear about what stage we're talking about. Are we talking about the stage of trying to enter Grosvenor Square, or are we talking about once inside Grosvenor Square?
- A. Well, it was, you know, our figures for
 the demonstration were that it was anything between
 25,000 and 30,000 people. I don't think police figures
 were that different, but they may have been, because
 they tended to underestimate it and the press followed
 their lead. But it was a pretty large demonstration.

- And the streets round the square were blocked by
 the demonstrators trying to get in.
- And at one point, we just decided to go into

 the centre of the square, not just march past

 the embassy but actually go into the square itself and

 occupy that square as well.

7 And then, that also released more room for 8 the others to come in. And after some stoppages and a few very minor scuffles, I would say, the bulk of 9 10 the demonstration was in Grosvenor Square. I mean, there's lots of images of it. I mean, news reels and 11 12 programmes were made about it later, so one can judge. 13 My own memory, as you can imagine, on every single 14 detail now is a bit hazy, you know. I can't recall every detail, but there's enough material there to form 15 16 an estimate of what was going on.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Well, I won't press you if you're unable to assist. But are you able to tell us what role the German contingent played in getting into the square in the first place?
- A. I have no memory of that, actually. They -- you know, if they were caught in one of the side streets -- which they wouldn't have been because they were behind us, so they came probably with us. But I don't think they did anything on their own as Germans. They were part of a large demonstration. And lots of other people had

linked arms with them as well, people from, you know,
Britain, so to speak.

And there was some of the tabloid press gave a lot of importance to the Germans, because, you know, they weren't used to seeing Germans joining demonstrations in Britain. But we joined -- in February '68 there had been a big VSC contingent at the big march in Berlin, where, you know, about 10,000 people had marched through the streets of Berlin, you know, demanding peace in Vietnam, etc, to chants of "to the gas chambers, take them to the gas chambers", from the Germans who hadn't learned much about the Second World War. So we had done that and observed that.

So the Germans coming -- there was a French contingent as well, led by the French National Committee of Vietnam, CVN, I think, and others. No one mentioned them. But the Germans, because they wore helmets and arms were linked, gave this impression of a very militant force. But they were really not that -- not so different, and quite a few of them later became Members of Parliament, leaders of the Green Party, publishers, etc. I still run into them sometimes. So it's -- I don't totally get the point about trying to emphasise their presence. We didn't feel it like that.

Q. Thank you.

- 1 I'm going to move to the second limb of the passage
- 2 that I read out, which was about your ultimate aims.
- 3 Would it be fair to say that your ultimate
- 4 aspirations were revolutionary?
- 5 A. Not in Britain, no.
- 6 Q. Well, in the sense that -- I mean, there are a number of
- 7 places where you address this issue. What I -- what
- 8 I wanted to understand is where -- what your ultimate
- 9 aims were. I was going to come to suggest that your
- 10 view at the time was that you were not expecting this
- 11 demonstration itself to precipitate a revolution; is
- 12 that fair?
- 13 A. That is absolutely accurate. I mean, you would have to
- be slightly deranged to imagine that a VSC demonstration
- would trigger off a revolution in Britain, where,
- 16 you know, a majority of the people had elected a Labour
- government, 60%/70% of the population, whether they now
- 18 want to believe it or not, supported the American war in
- 19 Vietnam. We always knew we were a minority, a sizeable
- one, but that we were a minority. So the notion that
- 21 there was any hope for a revolution here was nonsense.
- 22 Of course, in France it had been very different in
- 23 May '68. And that had added to the general hubbub, if
- 24 you like. Also, the Vietnamese had launched the Tet
- 25 Offensive in 1968. And then in August 1968, the Czechs

- 1 had come out for socialism with a human face, and Soviet
- 2 tanks had moved in to crush them. So there was talk
- 3 about different forms of socialism; how close were
- 4 the French to a revolution or not, etc, etc. But
- 5 I promise you, no one in Britain, no one serious on
- 6 the left in Britain thought that anything similar was
- 7 going to happen here.
- 8 Q. Yes, you're quite clear in your book that London was not
- 9 Paris.
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. But with that now understood, I was really directing my
- 12 first question to what your long-term aspirations were,
- 13 that ultimately what you want and wanted then was world
- socialism; is that fair?
- 15 A. I suppose, yeah. But that also included the toppling of
- the regimes in the Soviet Union and in Eastern Europe,
- 17 and their replacement with something like the Czech
- 18 model, which was socialism with a human face.
- I mean, people now forget, but if you compare
- the Czech newspaper, the Czech media, from, I would say,
- 21 May to August 1968, with anything in the West, anything,
- it was much, much freer. The debates, the diversity,
- 23 the discussions, the confrontations on one television
- 24 programme in Czechoslovakia, where prisoners were
- 25 allowed to question those who had ordered them to be put

in prison who were communist party apparatchiks.

All this was very exciting, because the one thing that the new left, our left had learned was that no socialism would ever -- no serious socialism could ever be possible in Western Europe without democracy. Very firmly attached to it. So that was what we believed in.

And, I mean, the other thing is, quite honestly, that some of us -- I certainly did -- that -- you know, that a state like Britain or virtually every European state, what defines and characterises it is a total monopoly of legitimate violence within its territory. And to topple that by alternative violence couldn't work.

The only process could be -- particularly in this country, was a huge Parliamentary majority in favour of something close to socialism, an attempt by the elite to break that down; that would then divide the police, the apparatuses of the state, and something else might happen. But that was so far-fetched that, you know, it was foolish to even think about it as such. Not so in the case of France, or in Portugal, which of all the European countries came very close to a socialist revolution in 1975.

Q. So does that mean, given the air of change that was in the wind, or the possibility of change, that you saw

- 1 the March demonstration as not likely to cause
- 2 a revolution here but as a step towards a piece of
- 3 the jigsaw that would be put together eventually as part
- 4 of a worldwide revolution with the ultimate aim of
- 5 worldwide socialism?
- 6 A. Not really. Let me be frank. I mean, the whole --
- 7 the idea of a world revolution in itself was pretty
- 8 schematic, and these things never happen in any
- 9 particular way. But I don't -- I mean, our main purpose
- in these demonstrations was to help the Vietnamese,
- 11 because we knew that during the Spanish Civil War, there
- 12 had been international brigades, from all over Europe,
- 13 to help the Spanish Republic; that Attlee, who later
- 14 became Britain's prime minister after the Second World
- War, had led a delegation to the Spanish Republic; that
- 16 people like Orwell -- others had gone and fought; that
- 17 young lives of poets and young intellectuals of the left
- 18 had been taken.
- 19 And when I was in Vietnam, in North Vietnam, I had
- 20 a long talk with the prime minister at one point. And
- 21 I said, "Would you like international brigades to come
- from all over the world?", I said, "It could be done."
- And he answered me very bluntly. He said, "Thank you
- 24 very much, we appreciate the solidarity, but the answer
- is no." So I said "why?" He said, "Because this is not

1	the Spanish Civil War, the level of technology and
2	armaments being used against us is so horrific that
3	the last thing we want is people like you to come and
4	die here, we'd have to spend more time keeping you alive
5	than actually fighting our enemy, so not that way."
6	"Secondly," he said, "allowing international
7	brigades might make the Russians and the Chinese feel
8	that we're slapping them on the face, saying that they
9	are not helping us enough."
10	"And the third thing," he said, "is, all we want
11	from you is to build movements abroad, especially," he
12	said, "this very important for us in the United States,
13	that help to bring the war to an end. That's all we ask
14	of you." And that's what we did
15	Q. Thank you very much, Mr Ali. I'm going to stop you
16	there whilst we observe the silence for two minutes.
17	(Two minutes' silence observed)
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
19	MR BARR: Thank you, sir.
20	Just resuming the theme of your political philosophy
21	and your political aims in the long term,
22	I completely understand, not the short term.
23	You describe in your witness statement how you
24	disagreed that Parliament should survive, and that you
25	thought that Parliament should be replaced by workers'

councils; is that right?

Α. Well, workers' councils is -- we did believe that at the time. But, you know, our own views kept changing. wanted a more direct parliament, like the one that had been demanded by the Chartists in the 19th century. one of the demands of the Chartists had been annual parliaments. And at a time when there wasn't adult suffrage, some of the Chartist leaders had discussed the possibility of an elected parliament on the basis of adult franchise, male adult franchise, electing representatives to sit in a parliamentary -- alternative parliament in Manchester.

So we were, you know, exploring all these ideas, both from English history and elsewhere. Because we found that the entire way Parliament was assembled, the way it functioned, in Britain in particular, at that time, too, I felt -- I still feel this quite strongly -- that the antiquated system which -- on which the British rulers rested really needed drastic reform.

I mean, to give just one example, proportional representation, which would be the best possible way of mirroring the mood of the people at a particular time, ie the time an election takes place. Instead, the first-past-the-post system completely distorts the election results. I mean, results we are now used

1	to claiming as huge triumphs, both in the case of
2	Mrs Thatcher and later in the case of Tony Blair, were
3	actually governments based on a minority of the popular

So we were thinking about all these things. 5 that is why -- that is what expressed our 6 7 dissatisfaction with Parliament. Not the need to get rid of Parliament, but actually to have a more 8 9 democratic, more direct parliament. We were certainly 10 in favour of abolishing the House of Lords; that goes 11 without saying. But Parliament we wanted, you know, 12 a better version, so to speak.

- Q. Can I now go back to March 1968. And I'm going to resume reading from paragraph -- from page 255.
- 15 A. Mm-hm.

4

13

14

21

vote.

16 Q. "We filled Oxford Street then turned into
17 South Audley Street and marched into the square.

The police tried to hold us back, but we were many and
they were few, and their first lines crunched,
permitting us to enter Grosvenor Square and occupy

Just to stop there. I mean, it may be a statement of the obvious, but it follows that essentially the demonstration forced its way into Grosvenor Square

the area directly in front of the embassy."

25 against the police?

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. "They were not going to let us deliver a letter. And so
- 3 we asked stewards to escort Vanessa Redgrave to safety.
- 4 Then we saw the police horses. A cry went up that
- 5 "The Cossacks are coming," and an invisible tension
- 6 united everyone. Arms were linked across the square as
- 7 the mounted police charged through us to try and break
- 8 our formation. A hippy who tried to offer a mounted
- 9 policeman a bunch of flowers was truncheoned to
- 10 the ground. Marbles were thrown at the horses and a few
- 11 policemen fell to the ground. But none were surrounded
- and beaten up. The fighting continued for almost two
- hours. An attempt to arrest me was prevented by a few
- 14 hundred people coming to my rescue and surrounding me so
- that no policemen could get very near. We got close to
- the imperialist fortress, but by 7 pm we decided to
- 17 evacuate the square. Many comrades were badly hurt and
- one pregnant woman had been beaten up severely."
- 19 So, arising from that, the use of marbles by
- demonstrators, you say thrown at the horses. Do you
- 21 mean thrown directly at the horses, or thrown under
- 22 their feet?
- 23 A. Honestly, I didn't observe that myself. I was later
- 24 informed of it by others who were in the area where it
- 25 happened. But I assume they were thrown at the horses

- to make them throw the policemen, you know, off the mounts. Though, later, a sort of very aristocratic lady who was quite sympathetic to us said, "I should have been consulted about that, because that's not the way you replace -- you displace a rider. Basically you have to carry -- take a string from one side and just let the string touch the horse's knee." So we said, "Right".
 - There were -- you know, what -- the impression is sometimes created that our support was largely the young. It was, but not exclusively. We had a number of people from all social classes actually, who came on these demonstrations and were very supportive, both by attending and giving us money to carry on.

So, as far as the marbles are concerned, they obviously were thrown, because it was reported to -you know, people were talking about it later that evening, and then it was a big thing in the press. But I assume they were thrown at the horses, which is why I wrote that down. I mean, they weren't thrown at the police.

- Q. Did the National Committee do anything to deter people bringing marbles?
- A. It was a handful of people who did that actually, so we didn't know that this was happening. You know, if -- if

- it had been an instruction from the VSC, everyone would
- 2 have had marbles --
- Q. I'm not suggesting for a moment there was any
- 4 instruction, I'm asking really --
- 5 A. No, it was a -- it was a spontaneous thing. And it --
- 6 we didn't even know there was going to be mounted
- 7 police, to be honest. So these guys -- you know,
- 8 I don't want to cast aspersions -- were likely to be
- 9 anarchists who were always better prepared. But who did
- 10 that, thought that there might be a mounted police
- 11 charge, clearly.
- 12 Q. Yes, but presumably that was one of the -- certainly
- a possibility that there might be mounted police at the
- 14 demonstration --
- 15 A. Yeah, I guess. We had not thought about that, to be
- 16 perfectly frank.
- 17 Q. So, had you seen marbles used before at a demonstration
- 18 or not?
- 19 A. No, nor since.
- 20 Q. Can I ask you about other objects which may be brought
- 21 to demonstrations. First of all, fireworks.
- 22 A. Mm.
- 23 Q. Did you see any fireworks at the March demonstration?
- A. I think there were some, yeah. But again, it was
- a handful of people who let them off. I mean, you know,

- it was a very small number of people, just basically to
- 2 increase the excitement rather than injure anyone.
- Q. Had you seen fireworks used before at mass
- 4 demonstrations?
- 5 A. No, only on Guy Fawkes Night.
- 6 Q. Smoke bombs? Did you see smoke bombs in March?
- 7 A. I can't remember it. I really can't remember whether
- 8 there were any. There might have been some. But again,
- 9 this was not -- certainly not a national decision.
- 10 Q. I'm --
- 11 A. (inaudible) for that march, for the March '68 demo, from
- 12 all over the country -- there was buses and coaches
- 13 booked from virtually all the major cities in England,
- 14 Scotland and Wales. So, you know, it was impossible for
- 15 stewards to know who carried what in their pockets.
- Q. Yeah, I'm not -- I'm not suggesting that there was any
- 17 call by the VSC to bring such objects to
- 18 the demonstration. What I'm asking you is whether
- 19 the VSC did anything to deter people from doing that.
- 20 A. I think, by the time the -- sort of this two-hour clash
- 21 was taking place, with demonstrators fighting back,
- 22 police dragging them away, etc, etc, no one on the VSC
- 23 side, stewards or non-stewards, were in a position to do
- 24 anything. Everyone was immersed in this battle that was
- 25 taking place in the centre of the square, until

- the order was given by about 7, as I wrote, to get out,
- enough, the demonstration's over.
- 3 Q. Was any thought given one way or the other to what
- 4 the VSC's position should be as to what to say about
- 5 bringing things like fireworks, smoke bombs or marbles
- 6 to the demonstration?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Presumably, given your balance of forces strategy, if
- 9 the balance of forces favoured an attempt on
- 10 the embassy --
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. -- it might not have been unwelcome if there were
- 13 the sort of devices which might -- I think you used
- 14 the phrase "increase the excitement"?
- 15 A. I don't know. I mean, why marbles, though? What would
- they do to the embassy glass? By the way, I mean, I'm
- 17 pretty pleased we didn't manage to occupy the embassy
- 18 then, because later, in a documentary made in
- 19 the United States, Marines who were serving guard duty
- at the embassy told interviewers that, "We didn't care
- 21 what the British police did or didn't, if they had come
- into the embassy, we had orders to open fire."
- 23 So, you know, that became clear some years later
- 24 when people were still talking about these things.
- 25 Q. Yes. Thank you.

- 1 Would it be fair, then, to say that the VSC neither
- 2 encouraged nor discouraged the bringing of objects such
- 3 as fireworks, smoke bombs or marbles to
- 4 the demonstration?
- 5 A. I think that would be fair. It didn't occur to us that
- 6 people were going to bring these, to be frank. But it
- 7 -- it -- so it -- yeah, technically what you say is --
- is accurate, yeah.
- 9 Q. And would it be fair to say that the judgment on
- 10 the ground at the last moment was that the balance of
- 11 forces did favour an attempt on the embassy?
- 12 A. No. That -- and on this my memory is pretty strong --
- was not a decision taken by us, neither by me or
- 14 the other VSC leaders who were on the demonstration.
- The decision to try and break through to get to
- the embassy from the square was pretty spontaneous. And
- 17 that was brought about by seeing the number of police,
- 18 seeing there had been scuffles already. Thinking --
- 19 people thinking they could break through the police
- 20 lines decided to try it -- the three or four rows in
- 21 the front, and then people followed suit. At which
- 22 point the mounted police came into the square.
- 23 I'm not sure -- it certainly wasn't a decision, it
- 24 was a spontaneous attempt which failed, and led to
- the scuffling and, you know, clashes that took place.

- 1 And that is why we decided at 7 o'clock to tell
- 2 the stewards the march is over and to try and take
- 3 people in as orderly a fashion as possible out of
- 4 the square.
- 5 Q. Can I take you back to the point when spontaneously
- 6 the crowd did start moving towards the embassy?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. From your point of view at that time, was that a welcome
- 9 move?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 MR BARR: Thank you.
- I think that's probably an appropriate time to stop,
- sir, if you agree?
- MS PURSER: Sir, please could you unmute your microphone.
- 15 A. It's unmuted.
- MS PURSER: The Chairman, sorry. The Chairman, Mr Ali.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: This is entirely my fault. My own microphone
- 19 was muted. I was listening with careful attention to
- 20 what you had to say. All I wanted to say to you was
- 21 that if at any time you feel the need of a break in your
- 22 evidence, other than the 15 minutes we're now going to
- 23 have, all you need do is say so. But so far you seem to
- 24 me to be doing admirably.
- 25 15 minutes' break.

- 1 A. Thank you. Is that you don't need me anymore today?
- 2 THE CHAIRMAN: No, I'm sorry, we will need you -- I'm afraid
- 3 your evidence is not over --
- 4 A. Okay. No, no, that's fine.
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm grateful to you, but could you be back in
- 6 15 minutes.
- 7 A. Sure. Okay.
- 8 MS PURSER: Thank you, everyone. We will now take
- 9 a 15-minute break. We will be back at 11.30.
- 10 (11.17 am)
- 11 (A short break)
- 12 (11.30 am)
- 13 MS PURSER: Welcome back, everyone. I will now hand over to
- the Chairman to continue proceedings.
- Chairman.
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Barr, Mr Ali.
- 17 MR BARR: Thank you, sir.
- Mr Ali, we dealt with the March 1968 demonstration.
- 19 I'd now like to just ask you a question about
- 20 the atmosphere in the immediate aftermath of that. For
- that we'll need to go to a document.
- 22 Could we have, please, MPS0733 -- sorry, wrong
- reference.
- 24 Could we have {MPS-0730911/4}, please.
- A. Are you saying this to me?

- Q. It will be brought up on the screen for you, Mr Ali.
- 2 A. Okay, thank you.
- 3 Q. Could we have that -- thank you.
- 4 Now, this is, on its face, a report on a press
- 5 conference --
- 6 A. Mm.
- 7 Q. -- at which you were present. And it says, about
- 8 halfway down the page:
- 9 "The three spokesmen for VSC issued the following
- 10 statement ..."
- 11 And if it's an accurate document, then you were one
- of the three people who were making that statement.
- 13 The passage I'd like to take you to is at the bottom
- of the page. So could we scroll down, please.
- So the passage that is underlined, perhaps you'd
- 16 like to take a moment to read the whole of that
- paragraph, please.
- 18 A. Mm-hm. (Pause)
- 19 Yeah.
- 20 Q. Is that a statement to which you were associated?
- 21 A. I must have been. I can't remember it, but they
- 22 couldn't have put it out without my having seen it,
- obviously, yeah.
- Q. And does it accurately calibrate --
- 25 A. Yeah.

- Q. -- the level of militancy that you were seeking to adopt?
- 3 A. Yes. The reference to the suffragettes in particular.

I mean, you know, we weren't going to go and stop horse

5 races. But we did stop, I think, before the March

6 demonstration, about -- I can't remember now, but eight

or nine top theatres, where we went up onto the stage in

the middle of the play and said, "We need to talk to you

9 about Vietnam."

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

By and large, interestingly, the actors were quite hostile, as you can imagine. The audiences were sympathetic. The only performance where the actors were very friendly was the Black and White Minstrel Show, where they all came and joined us and said, "We agree with these young people; we should go on the demonstration."

So that sort of disruption is basically what we favoured.

And this was -- you know, that was it, really.

I mean, breaking windows we didn't actually carry out,

ever. But yes, you're right, the gist of that is an

accurate reflection.

Q. Thank you.

I'm going to move now to some of the -- the period between the March and the October demonstration. I'd

- 1 like to start by taking you to a newspaper article which
- I'll have called up, please.
- 3 Could we have {MPS-0738678}, please. And if you
- 4 could zoom into the text. Thank you very much.
- 5 I'll give you a moment, Mr Ali, to read that.
- 6 A. Why -- why -- I mean, I can't remember saying that.
- 7 I mean, why the hell would I -- if we decide to occupy
- 8 the Bank of England. Maybe it was intended as a joke
- 9 really. I can't see that as a serious statement.
- 10 Q. What I wanted to ask you about this, Mr Ali, it's an
- 11 article from The Guardian. It's said to be dated
- 12 31 May 1968.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. So in terms of preparations for the October
- demonstration, it's quite early on.
- 16 A. It's very early off, but it's after May '68 in France,
- don't forget.
- 18 But we did start preparing for the October
- demonstration many months before. I mean, I literally
- 20 visited almost every university in the country, speaking
- about it and what we planned, and -- etc, etc. I mean
- 22 this -- and don't be surprised if people decide it is
- 23 a joke really.
- Q. Whether or not you --
- 25 A. Maybe a bad joke, but it is that.

Q. Whether or not you meant it seriously, Mr Ali, 2 the question I have for you is this: would it be fair to say that at the early stages of preparations for 3

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- the October demonstration, there was uncertainty and 4 5 debate about what form it would take and what its objectives might be? 6
- 7 There wasn't uncertainty on my part. I was very clear, Α. 8 and so were Ernie Tate and Pat Jordan and other people I worked with closely, that going back to 9 10 Grosvenor Square was a foolish thing to attempt. would be clashes, meaningless, because the objective of 11 12 going there could never be achieved. And this was 13 debated. Some people -- there was a big debate about 14 the location, which actually was a cover for what going

to the location meant.

And we decided fairly early on, I think by late August, early September, a decision had been made that we were going to march to Hyde Park and that the aim was to get as many people as possible in the park. And I remember saying to a press conference and to meetings all over the land that: this is not a test of strength, that idea should be put out of your heads; it's a show of strength, to see what -- how many people we've got, what our numbers are, and to try and win more and more people over to the campaigns. That was the debate.

- 1 Here, the Maoists and anarchists differed with us
- 2 and denounced us as sellouts and all that, and they did
- 3 go to Grosvenor Square in October, with virtually no
- 4 impact at all. And we had over 100,000 people, nearly
- 5 120,000 people in Hyde Park, which was a very large
- 6 assembly for its time. And for the first time, large
- 7 numbers of Labour Members of Parliament showed up as
- 8 well.
- 9 So, that's what the October mobilisation for
- 10 the October demonstration meant.
- 11 Q. If I may stop you there, Mr Ali.
- 12 A. Yeah.
- Q. I mean, I was going to come to it. I think you may have
- 14 been referring to the decisions taken at the Sheffield
- 15 conference in early September?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. The article that's on the screen at the moment is from
- 18 some months earlier. I'm understanding you to be saying
- 19 that if you did say this, then you said it tongue in
- 20 cheek?
- 21 A. Without any doubt.
- 22 Q. Would you accept, though, that once an idea like this
- has been published in the national press, those with
- 24 responsibility for policing the event might be being
- 25 misled or sent on a wild goose chase?

- 1 A. I don't think so. I think most of the, you know,
- Special Branch people were sent to these meetings. If
- 3 they had reported on where I said this, at
- 4 Warwick University, they would have known it was tongue
- 5 in cheek and the students started laughing. That's --
- 6 you know. And it's a sort of two-paragraph report.
- 7 Clearly, whoever The Guardian reporter was didn't report
- 8 on the main speech or what was said, but picked on
- 9 the most sensational things, which one expects really.
- 10 But that's all I have to say about it.
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- 12 Could we have -- could we take that down, please.
- 13 I'm going to return to your book now, Mr Ali, to
- 14 page 293.
- 15 A. Mm.
- Q. And this is a passage about the preparations for
- 17 October 1968.
- 18 A. Mm.
- 19 Q. I'm going to start at the second paragraph down, the one
- 20 that begins:
- 21 "Not that we were isolated ..."
- "Not that we were isolated. There were large
- 23 numbers of Americans visiting Europe and from the SDS
- 24 militants who came to the Black Dwarf officers to
- 25 exchange experiences. We got a very clear idea as to

1 the intensity of the struggle against the war.

I remember an SDS woman who stated in a matter of fact way one afternoon that the only way to defeat the war machine in her own country was to emulate the NLF guerillas who had attacked the US Embassy in Saigon. What she was suggesting was a campaign of bombings in the United States, directed at military installations and the headquarters of Dow Chemicals, the manufacturers of napalm, and other corporations who were maximising profits at the expense of the dead in Vietnam.

"I argued against this course very strongly.

Perhaps I was tougher than I might have been because we were in the middle of plans for the VSC's October demonstration and ideas not completely dissimilar to what the SDS-er was saying had been publicly aired.

Such a course was not simply wrong on principle and foolish, it was suicidal in every sense of the word.

I must confess that whenever something like this was suggested, I had to think very hard whether the person who wanted to embark on such a course was somewhat deranged or a straightforward provocateur. This was not paranoia. We knew full well that phones were tapped, mail was opened, and there was Special Branch infiltrators in VSC. This was part of the routine

- 1 functioning of a capitalist democracy. In fact, on one
- 2 occasion, a postman had dragged me out of the office and
- 3 told me that our letters were opened every day before
- 4 being delivered."
- Now, what I'd like to ask you about that is, is it
- f right, as you've written there, that you were very
- 7 strongly against the use of violence of the magnitude
- 8 proposed by the SDS-er.
- 9 A. This was an American SDS, by the way, not a German.
- 10 Yeah, I was, yes.
- 11 Q. And now the next questions are about what you knew about
- 12 infiltration. What Special Branch infiltration of
- the VSC did you know about at this stage?
- 14 A. Well, we had no evidence, obviously. How could we? But
- we were not in any doubt that, especially after March --
- it's difficult to imagine now, but after the March '68
- 17 clashes in Grosvenor Square, there was hysteria in
- 18 a bulk of the press, with all sorts of things being
- 19 said, etc, etc. So, if they hadn't been in there prior
- 20 to March, which was also unlikely, there's no doubt they
- 21 would have tried to get in after the March '68
- 22 demonstration. So one just -- I assumed this.
- We knew we were being spied on by the Post Office,
- the postal worker, and we knew phones were tapped
- 25 because occasionally mistakes were made. Sometimes even

- in the letters we got, the wrong letter had been put
- 2 into the letter which we should have had, completely
- 3 unrelated to the VSC or the Black Dwarf. So we had
- 4 a pretty good idea that this was going on, yeah.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- Now, we're going to come to the decision that you
- 7 talked about earlier in September which cemented
- 8 the aims for the October demonstration. What I'd like
- 9 to ask you next is, in the period before that decision
- 10 was taken, were there meetings of the inner circle that
- 11 you described to -- as having met before the March
- 12 demonstration, discussing an unofficial aim for
- 13 the march?
- A. No, we were -- there was, within the inner circle,
- complete agreement with the view I had put forward very
- strongly, that the October demonstration should be
- 17 a show of strength and not a test of strength. That
- 18 was -- that was accepted.
- 19 Q. Can we now go back to your book, please, to page 294 and
- the bottom paragraph of 294.
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. That reads:
- 23 "Our officers had now become a regular port of call
- for visiting revolutionaries from all around the world.
- One night, a group of hippy anarchists slept in our

- distribution office. While eating lotuses that night,
- 2 they painted a large diagram of how to make a Molotov
- 3 cocktail on the wall."
- 4 I'm going to skip the next passage and pick up,
- 5 about six lines down on page 295:
- 6 "We covered up the offending drawing on the wall
- 7 with a large poster and it was agreed to paint it out as
- 8 soon as possible. The very next day, our offices were
- 9 raided by Scotland Yard. A team of Special Branch men
- and a woman went straight to the poster covering
- the drawing, removed it and photographed the crudely
- 12 drawn diagram."
- 13 Could you just help us, please. Which officers are
- 14 we talking about?
- 15 A. I -- I don't know. I'm not a big expert on this, but
- I named the officer. Maybe, you know:
- 17 "Chief Inspector Elwyn Jones then interviewed me at
- 18 length and warned me that they would be preparing
- 19 a report for Sir Norman Skelhorn,
- 20 Director of Public Prosecution ..."
- 21 Q. Forgive me, Mr Ali, I didn't say --
- 22 A. That's why I'm saying that I don't know what -- whether
- 23 Chief Inspector Elwyn Jones was an ordinary policeman or
- 24 a Special Branch.
- 25 Q. Forgive me. That's not my question. I was asking you

- which "offices"?
- 2 A. Ah, sorry, I didn't get that.
- 3 The offices were the offices of the Black Dwarf in
- Soho, the newspaper I edited at the time. And,
- 5 you know, lots of visiting sort of foreigners of all
- 6 hues would often come in and not move and say, "We have
- 7 nowhere to say, can we stay the night?" So we were
- 8 rather lax on that front. We were, you know, quite
- generous, and said, "Well, we're not going to be here at
- 10 night, let them use it." After that event we became
- 11 very strict, as you can imagine.
- Q. On the topic of Molotov cocktails, was a Molotov
- 13 cocktail ever used at any VSC demonstration, to your
- 14 knowledge?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Did you or your colleagues in the organising committee,
- 17 the National Committee, ever come to know of anyone who
- 18 was proposing or intending to take a Molotov cocktail to
- a VSC organised demonstration?
- 20 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 21 Q. I now would like to turn to the meeting of the National
- 22 Committee in Sheffield, which was held on 8 and
- 23 9 September 1968. You cover that in your book at
- 24 page 297. You describe, at the top of page 297:
- 25 "There was one important tactical question facing

1	the Vietnam Campaign: should we or should we not march
2	to the American Embassy in Grosvenor Square. There were
3	differences of opinion on this question and it was
4	agreed to take a decision at our National Committee
5	meeting in Sheffield on the weekend of the 8 and
6	9 September."

A. Mm-hm.

Q. You then describe how difficult it was to actually find somewhere to meet, and you eventually met in a bomb crater near Ringinglow, a dozen miles from Sheffield.

And if I pick up the narrative there, you say:

"The discussion commenced, and it soon became obvious that an overwhelming majority was of the view that 27 October should be a show rather than a test of strength. We discounted the possibility of occupying the US Embassy, even with 100,000 people. The police were now well prepared. The Labour government had engendered a national hysteria. The media were still very strong on their line of subversive plans to seize the Stock Exchange, and any real attempt to take the embassy would, we were convinced, lead to bloodshed. None of us was prepared to play with anyone else's life. We did nonetheless agree to take over the streets and not tolerate any heavy police presence."

Now, that is very much what you said a moment ago,

- 1 Mr Ali. What I would like to ask you is, after that
- decision was taken --
- 3 A. Mm.
- 4 Q. -- did the strategy ever change?
- 5 A. No. That was the last of the big Vietnam --
- 6 anti-Vietnam War demonstrations in this country. There
- 7 were a few others when Nixon and Kissinger invaded
- 8 Cambodia, but not large ones. The movement -- that
- 9 demonstration marked both the peak of the movement and
- 10 the beginning of its decline.
- 11 Q. And so the tactic was encapsulated -- or the strategy is
- 12 encapsulated in a show rather than a test of strength?
- 13 A. Very much so.
- Q. And that was, is it fair to say, reflected in the choice
- of route?
- 16 A. Yeah, without any doubt.
- Q. I'd like to take you now to a police report about that
- 18 National Committee meeting. Could we have up, please,
- 19 $\{MPS-0730063\}$. Could we have page 6, please
- $\{MPS-0730063/6\}.$
- This is a report of Chief Inspector Dixon's. It's
- 22 dated 10 September 1968. Mr Ali, could I ask you to
- 23 read the top paragraph.
- 24 (Pause)
- 25 A. It's false. It's completely false --

- 1 Q. -- (overspeaking) --
- 2 A. -- violent confrontation planned at Australia House and
- 3 Whitehall or anything remotely resembling that. I mean,
- 4 it's fantasy land.
- 5 Q. The assessment that the "talk about excluding militants
- and strict stewarding", was there talk about excluding
- 7 militants and strict stewarding?
- 8 A. There was talk about excluding those who wanted to come
- 9 and drag demonstrators away to Grosvenor Square, yeah.
- 10 We never described them as "militants". We said if
- 11 there's an attempt to disrupt the demonstration at any
- 12 stage -- this is the October demonstration -- people
- should be gently removed by our own stewards.
- 14 And we, you know, spoke to the police at the time,
- saying it would be fair better if they were not seen on
- the demonstration, that the policing was very low level
- 17 and low scale. And they agreed.
- So if you look at images, I think ITV actually
- 19 filmed that demonstration from the Embankment to
- 20 Hyde Park. The whole thing was broadcast live on
- 21 British television. Very, very few policemen on it, and
- 22 very few incidents leave -- you know, there was no
- violent confrontation at Australia House by
- 24 the Australians and New Zealanders against the war, who
- 25 were very angry because Australia had sent in troops.

- 1 And certainly we never discussed it. And this
- 2 "breakaway movements against other buildings ..." this
- is not true. Really, this is fantasy land and trying
- 4 to -- I mean, I don't know what he's talking about.
- 5 Q. Were there any -- was there any discussion about
- 6 the possibility that there might be violent
- 7 confrontation, whether expressed as a fear, or in any
- 8 other respect? Can you recall that?
- 9 A. No, I cannot recall that at all. I mean, this is --
- 10 you know, we were -- once we had decided on the tactics
- 11 for the October '68 demonstration, we were very clear
- and said it publicly, privately to each other, to groups
- who disagreed with us. We said, "This is a decision
- 14 that's been taken and we're going to stick with it."
- Q. Now, there's one thing that does appear to be common
- 16 ground is the route of the demonstration that you
- 17 decided upon.
- 18 A. Yeah. That was -- yeah, that was a route we'd agreed
- 19 with the police in advance, yeah.
- Q. You anticipated my next question.
- 21 How quickly did that route become public, can you
- 22 recall?
- 23 A. I don't think it did become public until the week of
- the demonstration, and then we said, "This is the route
- 25 we're marching on and all VSC supporters should come

- down this route." And we made it very clear we were not
- 2 going to Grosvenor House. There was an attempt made --
- 3 where was it made now? -- as we were going down on
- 4 the way to Hyde Park, near Piccadilly, if my memory is
- 5 right, but it may be wrong, by some Maoists to come and
- 6 agitate and say, "Don't go down to Hyde Park, come with
- 7 us to Grosvenor Square." And they were gently -- very
- 8 gently talked to by our stewards and they left. And
- 9 no one followed them. The discipline on that march
- 10 was -- was quite amazing, actually.
- 11 Q. We will come to some very interesting documents to that
- 12 effect in a little while, Mr Ali.
- 13 Here -- back here in September --
- 14 A. Mm.
- Q. -- it might be said from a police point of view that
- knowing as early as September that the VSC's strategy
- for the march and the route it was going to take was
- 18 valuable intelligence which enabled it better to prepare
- 19 to police the demonstration. What would you say to
- 20 that?
- 21 A. I don't fully understand your question. That if who
- 22 knew? That the police knew that --
- 23 Q. This is a police report. They know within -- this
- 24 report was written on the 10th. They know within a day
- of the conference finishing what the decision that had

- 1 been taken was. You've helped explain, it wasn't made
- 2 public until somewhat later.
- 3 A. Yeah.
- Q. The proposition I'm putting to you is: would you agree
- 5 that that might be seen, from a police point of view, as
- 6 valuable intelligence to help them prepare in good time
- 7 to police the demonstration?
- 8 A. Not necessarily, really, because, I mean, virtually
- 9 every demonstration that we had organised, we had
- 10 discussed -- even March '68 -- we had discussed
- 11 the route with the police. We always did that.
- 12 Whatever else happened or didn't happen, the route was
- 13 always known to the police. So, to infiltrate a member
- 14 onto the VSC National Committee just to get information
- like this was a waste of resources, in my opinion. It's
- not something that was necessary at all. The normal
- 17 police force would know and make the decisions they did,
- 18 which they did.
- 19 Q. It might be said, Mr Ali, that knowing that this was
- 20 a decision taken by the National Committee, which might
- 21 be thought to go some way to rule out there being any
- 22 hidden agenda, was itself valuable intelligence. Would
- 23 you agree with that proposition?
- 24 A. I wouldn't particularly refer to it as either "valuable"
- or "intelligence" really, because the minute all these

- 1 National Committee members got back to their home towns 2 and discussed with local VSC committees, it would have been known. And also, I'm slightly -- slightly -- I'm 3 just sort of thinking aloud now, that the actual route 4 5 of the march hadn't been agreed at the time that VSC meeting took place. That would only be agreed with 6 7 Scotland Yard once we had told them that we were going 8 to march from the Embankment to Hyde Park. And often the police suggested the best route, to be fair. So, 9 10 there wouldn't have been so much detail -- detail about it, leave alone anything else. 11
- So I would challenge the view that this intelligence was all that vital or necessary.
- Q. I think it's fair to say there was quite a large public meeting not long after this, at which the anarchists proposed a different route, and so the route was being debated publicly not long after this.
- A. Yeah. Maybe, yeah. I mean, I see the reference to

 the -- the anarchist conference. And there were others,

 the Maoist groups, etc, who didn't want this to happen,

 and there were lots of people disagreed with us, because

 what they wanted was a repeat punch-up in

 Grosvenor Square, which we were opposed to.
- 24 O. Thank you.
- 25 I'm going to move on to a completely different event

- 1 now, and that is an article that was published in
- 2 the publication Black Dwarf --
- 3 A. Mm.
- 4 Q. -- on 15 October 1968. Could we have up {MPS-0728406}.
- 5 {MPS-0728406}. This is a long document. It's a police
- 6 report about whether or not an offence may have been
- 7 committed.
- 8 Could we go to page 13, please.
- 9 A. This is after the October demonstration?
- 10 Q. No, this is 15 October. The report post-dates
- 11 the demonstration.
- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. About the publication of the edition of Black Dwarf
- 14 which is being considered predates it.
- Now, this is, I understand, an article that was
- 16 published on the back page of Black Dwarf, according to
- 17 your witness statement, and it's the bottom paragraph
- that's material. I'll just give you an opportunity,
- 19 Mr Ali, to refresh your memory from the document.
- 20 A. Is this the paragraph starting:
- "Clearly, any demonstrators ..."
- Q. Yes, please.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 (Pause)
- 25 It's very badly written. Anyway ...

- 1 Yeah, I read it. I honestly have no memory
- 2 whatsoever of who wrote that article. And these --
- 3 you know, I mean, I see the reference to "razor blades"
- 4 and "fireworks", "under plain cover" and all this. It's
- 5 just stupidity. I mean ... yeah. Not something I would
- 6 have supported, and it's basically choosing a round
- 7 about way of saying: do not bring any silly things on
- 8 the coaches, which was a VSC instruction, that
- 9 the coaches -- you know, nothing should be brought which
- 10 could be considered as something to be used violently.
- 11 Q. At the time --
- 12 A. Black Dwarf, lots of people wrote for it. I can't
- remember who wrote this.
- Q. It may not matter who wrote it. Am I right in thinking
- that you were the editor of Black Dwarf at this time?
- 16 A. I certainly was, yes.
- 17 Q. Was this something that would have crossed your desk,
- then, before it was published?
- 19 A. Not necessarily, because since I was travelling a great
- 20 deal and the Black Dwarf's appearance was not regular,
- 21 because printers, under government pressure, were not
- 22 publishing us. We had, sort of, 400 printers refusing
- 23 to publish the paper. It had to go to -- that
- 24 particular issue, I remember, I took to a beautiful lake
- 25 town in Wales called Bala, where we found an old

- 1 printer, an old print workers, who printed that for us.
- 2 Either the demo issue or the one -- yeah,
- 3 the demonstration issue.
- 4 So, often, other people working in the office,
- 5 edited stuff that was coming in, but usually I cast an
- 6 eye on almost everything that went into the paper. This
- 7 I can't even remember, really, but it clearly was
- 8 printed, otherwise it wouldn't be --
- 9 Q. In your witness statement you describe this as being --
- 10 the gist of what you say is that it was lighthearted.
- Do you stand by that?
- 12 A. Well, it looks to be, you know. It's a sort of way of
- not saying "hide these things or send them in advance",
- it is light hearted. But, you know, whether that was --
- I can't say more than that, really. Basically,
- the point being made is: do not bring anything with you,
- 17 because, you know, you'll get into trouble and that's
- 18 not our policy.
- 19 Q. Yes, I mean, the bit in -- the bit in block capitals is
- 20 quite obviously directed to, as it says "the coaches
- 21 must get through".
- 22 But do you agree that it suggests that bringing
- objects such as fireworks or marbles, or possibly even
- 24 razor blades, to a demonstration was far from an unknown
- occurrence amongst demonstrators?

- 1 A. It can't be far from unknown because it had happened in
- 2 March '68. And that is why whoever wrote that
- 3 particular diary piece was saying, "Don't do this
- 4 again, " to those who intended to do it, which were
- 5 a tiny group anyway, even in March '68. The razor
- 6 blades surprises me, because there's no incident with
- 7 razor blades in March.
- 8 But anyway, I think it is -- basically the message
- 9 being given is, don't do it, really.
- 10 Q. It might be said that it's not unequivocal, it's
- 11 equivocal on the question of whether or not, however
- they arrive at their destination, fireworks, marbles, or
- even razor blades should be brought to
- the demonstration; would you agree with that?
- 15 A. I wouldn't agree with that. I don't think anyone who
- 16 read it at the time would take it like that. They would
- 17 basically see it as a very, sort of, not as clear as it
- 18 should be but as an injunction saying: don't travel with
- 19 these things.
- 20 Q. You've used the phrase "not as clear as it should be".
- 21 A. Well, you know, that -- a previous jokey phrase "for
- your nephew" stuff shouldn't have been in there,
- 23 clearly. But, you know, it wasn't totally irrelevant
- 24 given that these things had been brought to
- 25 the March '68 demonstration. So it was meant clearly in

- a jokey way. But in hindsight, of course, it should
- 2 have been removed, we can say that.
- 3 Q. So it wasn't entirely on message, was it?
- 4 A. It wasn't?
- 5 Q. It wasn't entirely on message?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Right.
- 8 Can we go now to a Daily Telegraph article. That's
- 9 -- if we could take this document down, please. And can
- we go to $\{MPS-0742224\}$. Thank you.
- I'd like you just to have a look at that, please,
- 12 Mr Ali. And I'm particularly interested in
- the paragraph which begins:
- "The demonstrators also plan ..."
- 15 A. " ... to stage a mass Vietnam 'teach in' in Whitehall."
- Q. The suggestion is that you've been speaking in Glasgow,
- and essentially you are saying something to the effect
- of: force will be used in self-defence. Can you recall
- saying something like that?
- 20 A. I can't recall saying that, because our whole strategy
- 21 for the demonstration was the opposite of that. And
- just looking at the telegraph report, it's in-- it's
- inaccurate, to put it mildly. It might be worth
- 24 comparing that, I mean, if the demo was reported -- if
- 25 the talk was reported in the Scottish press -- which it

1	was, because all my talks in those days were reported,
2	to see how they they reported it. I have no memory
3	of saying anything remotely resembling that. "During
4	the weekend revolution". The "weekend revolution" is
5	never a phrase I would use in my life. It's sort of,
6	you know, offensive to my ears leave alone anyone else.
7	It's sort of meaningless.

Q. Perhaps -- I'm going to show you another article from a different editorial perspective. If we take that down and if we could have up now, please, {MPS-0742222}.

Now, it's very difficult to read. If we could -- thank you very much.

Now, Mr Ali, you may or may not be able to read that. It's from the Morning Star on 22 October, and it's quoting you. The copy I've got, which I shall read into the transcript, the material paragraph reads:

"Mr Ali, representing the Black Dwarf and speaking for the October 27 Committee, added: 'We don't want mindless militancy, we don't want confrontation with the police.

"'As far as we are concerned, we want this to be a politically militant demonstration of solidarity not only with Vietnam but with each other.

"'But if someone kicked us in the crutch we would defend ourselves.'"

- 1 So in that, the message is very strongly that you're
- 2 seeking a peaceful demonstration but there is still
- 3 a reference to what amounts to self-defence. Having
- 4 been reminded from two articles, might this have been
- 5 the line that you were taking publicly?
- 6 A. Well, I mean, this -- if someone kicks us in the crutch,
- 7 defend ourselves, yeah, I mean, it is a sort of
- 8 defensive thing that if you're attacked, you defend
- 9 yourselves. But it doesn't at all contradict what we
- 10 are saying. And once the police had agreed that there
- 11 would be very few police on the demonstration, this
- thing became completely irrelevant anyway, since it was
- very unlikely that the police were going to attack
- the October 27 demonstration.
- 15 Q. Thank you. I'm not trying to make a wider point, I'm
- 16 simply trying to establish --
- 17 A. Yeah, yeah.
- 18 Q. -- what was being said?
- 19 A. -- (overspeaking) -- said that. I'm sure I said that,
- as reported here.
- 21 Q. If we go now, please -- if that document can be taken
- down. Can we have $\{MPS-0733981\}$. If that can be blown
- up, please.
- 24 This is a telegram from Chief Inspector Dixon to
- 25 the chief Superintendent of Special Branch. It's dated

- in the very early hours of 27 October, at 1.15 am. And
- 2 this is directly concerning information reported by two
- 3 SDS undercover officers known by the ciphers "HN331"
- 4 and "HN68".
- 5 A. Mm.
- 6 Q. It reads -- in fact, the material bit I think is about
- you, about halfway down the page:
- 8 "The meeting was divided as to whether they should
- 9 go to Grosvenor Square during the demonstration ..."
- That's "they" being students who were congregating
- at the London School of Economics.
- 12 A. MM.
- 13 Q. And then it reads:
- "... and Tariq ALI made a strong plea for that area
- being avoided. The mood of provincial students is that
- they do not want any violence to take place during
- 17 the demonstration."
- Is it fair to take from that that you were indeed
- 19 maintaining strongly the VSC's line that
- 20 Grosvenor Square was to be avoided?
- 21 A. Yeah, it would appear to be the case, yeah.
- 22 Q. And seeking to persuade students to the peaceful show of
- 23 --
- 24 A. Strength.
- 25 Q. -- strength that you were committed to?

- 1 A. Yeah. There weren't too many people, if -- if my memory
- is right -- it was a huge meeting at the Old Theatre at
- 3 the LSE -- who were in favour of going to
- 4 Grosvenor Square either. I mean, once I had explained
- 5 the official VSC position. That was also the position
- 6 of the LSE Socialist Society, many other groups inside
- 7 the LSE, and it's possible a few Maoists may have raised
- 8 the question of going to Grosvenor Square. But it
- 9 wasn't a big thing by that stage. But yeah.
- 10 Q. And was this a meeting that a plain-clothed officer from
- 11 Special Branch could have attended, or not?
- 12 A. The LSE meeting?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. Yeah, obviously.
- 15 Q. Thank you.
- Now, I'd like to move to the demonstration itself.
- 17 A. Mm.
- 18 Q. Would it be fair to characterise the main demonstration
- as essentially peaceful?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. There was a flag burning outside the Australian embassy,
- 22 wasn't there?
- 23 A. Yeah, but that is still a peaceful act; it's just
- 24 expressing discontent in a very strong way, given
- 25 Australia's role in that war.

- 1 Q. And there was some use of fireworks?
- 2 A. That I can't remember, but there may have been. I mean,
- 3 there was a very strong contingent of Australian and
- 4 New Zealand citizens in Britain and they had their own
- 5 group. I think ANZAW, Australians and New Zealanders
- 6 Against the War, and they must have organised that.
- 7 Q. I'd like to ask you, from your personal experience of
- 8 being on that march, about the role of the stewards.
- 9 First of all, who provided the stewards?
- 10 A. The stewards were provided by all the organisations
- 11 affiliated to the Vietnam Solidarity Campaign. They
- included the Communist Party,
- 13 the International Socialist Group, the International
- 14 Marxist Group, the Transport and General Workers' Union,
- or some of it. I remember I was always being
- 16 accompanied by two very burly shop stewards from the --
- from the TNG, who were dockers, and they said, "We're
- 18 just going to make sure no one comes near you." I said,
- 19 "I'm very touched". But there were people like that.
- 20 And they made sure that the demonstration passed off
- 21 peacefully.
- 22 Q. Thank you. Could we take the document down please, now.
- 23 Forgive that slight interruption, Mr Ali. My fault.
- 24 The role of the stewards. Were they all briefed
- 25 together or were they each briefed separately by their

- 1 relevant groups?
- 2 A. Well, they had already been briefed, because each area,
- 3 like the group that came from Manchester or Birmingham
- 4 or Glasgow, always brought with them stewards on
- 5 the same coaches or trains. And they had already been
- 6 briefed by VSC National Committee members in their home
- 7 towns. But I think there was a general briefing just
- 8 before the demonstration, actually at the Embankment, to
- 9 make sure that everyone had understood, which didn't
- 10 last too long.
- 11 Q. And from what you saw on the day, what role did
- the stewards play in maintaining order and discipline
- during the march?
- 14 A. To be frank, my memory of that march is that there was
- not much order and discipline to be maintained. I mean,
- I think the new statesman had a piece by Mervyn Jones,
- 17 describing us as The New Model Army, ultra-disciplined
- 18 and very determined. And that was generally the mood of
- most people.
- 20 So I think the only time the stewards came and
- 21 turfed the Maoists off the march was when they were
- 22 being a bit disruptive, before the march went on its
- final lap to Hyde Park. But even that was done with
- the minimum of force. I don't think any force was used,
- 25 they were just told "Go".

- 1 Q. There is a media article from the time -- I'm moving now
- 2 to the behaviour of the marchers themselves -- where you
- 3 spoke about the marchers' revolutionary self-discipline.
- 4 To what extent do you think that the marchers were
- 5 self-disciplined?
- 6 A. I think they -- 99% of them certainly were.
- I mean, we were so relaxed that we forgot we were
- 8 passing downing at one point. And in fact, it was
- 9 a police officer who reminded me, "Aren't you going to
- 10 deliver a letter to the Prime Minister?" So I just
- 11 scribbled on a -- we had forgotten to take it. So
- I scribbled on a piece of paper:
- "Dear Harold, 100,000 people marched by today to
- tell you to stop supporting the Americans in Vietnam."
- 15 And it was accepted as such, a scrap -- a scrap --
- a back of an envelope in my pocket.
- So it was a very -- there was no tension on
- the march at all.
- 19 Q. Having been there, could you help us with your -- what
- 20 you saw about the role of the police during that
- 21 demonstration. What tactics did they deploy?
- 22 A. They were in fact excellent on that day. I said so at
- 23 the time. Because they had come to an agreement with us
- that there shouldn't be a show -- even a show of
- 25 strength on their part, let alone a test of it. We

1		said, "The best thing you can do is stay off the main
2		streets, let us occupy the entire street as an assertion
3		of our political rights, and our stewards will will
4		maintain the discipline of the march." And a senior
5		police officer asked me, "Are you a hundred per cent
6		sure you can do this?" I said, "Yeah, we are, and so do
7		just accept our advice and not be seen on the march."
8		And they said, "Well, we won't be totally
9		disappeared." I said, "No, obviously, but you hear what
10		I'm saying and I hope you understand." And they said,
11		"If any acts of violence break out" I said, "Well,
12		you know, obviously you'll do what you have to do, but
13		we are pretty sure our demonstration is going to be very
14		self-disciplined."
15		And that is what happened. I mean, we did occupy
16		the entire Whitehall streets around Whitehall as we
17		marched.
18	Q.	I'm going to ask you now about some of the policing
19		activity in the lead-up to the October demonstration.
20		You may or may not be aware of these documents, but
21		could we have up $\{MPS-0739150\}$, please. Thank you.
22		Now, this is a police report, dated February 1969,
23		of a trial at which a person was convicted of inciting

riot, and I'll read the paragraph 1:

"Inciting to riot in that on the 9th day of October,

24

25

1968, unlawfully incited certain persons whose names are
unknown, exceeding two in number, riotously to assemble
together on the 27th day of October, 1968 (against
the peace)."

And I'll read out the second charge as well of which the defendant was convicted. Perhaps we could move the image so the whole of paragraph 2 is showing, please. Thank you:

"Distributing threatening writing at a public meeting in that on the 9th day of October, 1968 unlawfully incited certain persons whose names are unknown without lawful authority or reasonable excuse to have with them in diverse public places on the 27th day of October, 1968 certain offensive weapons, namely catapults, metal ballbearings, poles, bricks, Molotov cocktails and home-made grenades. (Against the peace)."

Now, the background to this was a Special

Demonstration Squad officer, Sergeant Helen Crampton,
had been given a leaflet at a meeting of a branch of
the VSC. It was one of the branches that I think had
been publicly distanced by the National Committee, one
of the Maoist influenced groups, and the document itself
was not a VSC document. Did you ever become aware --

A. (inaudible) remember this -- this episode, actually. I clearly made no -- I mean, had it been in any way of

- significance, but I didn't even know this was -- that
- 2 this had happened or who the guy was who did it, or
- 3 whatever. I mean, clear -- you know, it sounds -- it's
- 4 a sort of a Maoist provocation, if it was then.
- 5 Q. The document wasn't from Maoists, the document is said
- by police to have come from the Black Power movement.
- 7 A. Ah.
- 8 Q. Whether that's right or not is another matter, but
- 9 that's where they think it came from.
- 10 A. Yeah. I mean, there were lots of things happening at
- 11 that time. You know, prior to the demonstration,
- 12 literally on the eve of the demonstration, an attempt
- 13 was made to kidnap me off Carlisle Street, not far from
- the Black Dwarf offices. And a group of pretty
- brutish-looking guys grabbed me and were trying to put
- me in the car. And had not some ordinary people,
- 17 including some people from the office following me, seen
- 18 that -- the plan was to keep me away from
- 19 the demonstration, kidnap me and God knows, keep me.
- I have no idea who they were.
- 21 We reported this to the police at the time, but they
- 22 didn't seem that interested. Add to that the fact that
- The Times had published, by two of its less reliable
- 24 correspondents, let's put it at its mildest, horrific
- 25 reports saying that there were plans to occupy London,

- capture the Ministry of Defence, that the Ministry of
- 2 Defence had tanks waiting on the ready in case
- 3 X happened or Y happened. Just building up an
- 4 atmosphere of fear.
- 5 And this -- whether this was part of that, I don't
- 6 know. It wouldn't totally surprise me if it were. But,
- 7 you know, we ignored all that really. If I mean, if you
- 8 look at that Times front page, it's quite shocking.
- 9 Q. If I could ask you, Mr Ali, about this specific event.
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 O. It might be said that it was important for preparations
- for the demonstration to be policed in order to catch
- and bring to justice people like this, who were seeking
- 14 to incite a riot and promoting the use of offensive
- 15 weapons. Would you agree with that?
- 16 A. Well, I mean, there were some police on
- 17 the demonstration. We'd agreed to that. We just said
- 18 we didn't want them in large numbers, you know, which
- 19 can sometimes have the opposite effect --
- Q. If I may stop you there, Mr Ali. I'm not talking about
- 21 policing of the demonstration itself, which --
- 22 A. Ah.
- 23 Q. -- you already --
- 24 A. Ah, I see --
- 25 Q. -- (overspeaking) -- I'm talking about this person who

- was convicted as a result of giving a leaflet to an
 undercover police officer. It might be said that that
 shows the utility of having undercover police officers
 inside branches of the VSC during the preparatory
 phases.
- A. But, you know, most branches of the VSC were open to

 anyone really. You don't need to be too secretive

 about it. Let's say you went -- you know, walked into

 the Swiss Cottage or whatever, the Bermondsey branch of

 VSC and said, "Hi, I want to support VSC," you were

 allowed in. It wasn't a problem at all.

So, I personally don't feel that it was -- it was that necessary. And what they happened to have discovered was pretty convenient and who this guy was we don't know. But there is another question, which is, it's one thing to write some nonsense like this fellow did, it's a completely different thing to actually carry it out. And surely there is a difference between the two legally as well.

But by and large, you know, just to be precise, even though you sentence(?), it wasn't forbidden to write stuff like this. I mean, we are living in a different world today and I know what the laws are, etc. But at that time people were writing all sorts of things.

Q. I don't think we need to get into a debate about

- 1 the precise parameters of the law.
- 2 Could we take that document down, please.
- I'm going to take you to a police report now,
- 4 Mr Ali, about further policing relating to
- the demonstration. Could we have {MPS-0730093}, please.
- 6 And can we start -- this is -- I think the document
- 7 we've got at the moment is 0739150. Could that be taken
- 8 down, please. That isn't, I don't think, the right
- 9 document. That's come from the open grounds folder.
- The document I would like, please, is {MPS-0730093}.
- If there's going to be a technical issue with this
- document, I'll move on for the moment.
- 13 A. It's coming. It's coming.
- Q. It's in tab F, if that helps.
- 15 I'll move on and we'll come back to that document
- once it can be found.
- 17 Some overview questions about the October
- demonstration, Mr Ali.
- 19 A. Mm-hm.
- Q. In your view, had it gone to plan?
- 21 A. Yes, very much so. We were very pleased with the --
- 22 with the outcome.
- 23 Q. And would it be right to say that there were some within
- 24 your movement who were less happy with the outcome and
- 25 would have preferred a more militant demonstration?

1	Α.	Well, you know, if by "militant" you mean violent, my
2		answer is I don't know. But clearly, for some very
3		few to my knowledge I mean, given that we had planned
4		it this way, given that it had passed off peacefully
5		despite press hysteria, given that the crowd was pretty
6		large the theatre critic of the Observer, who was on
7		the demonstration, counted it as 120,000 people; he had
8		a group of people with him just counting the numbers
9		we were very pleased.

No doubt there were some who would have preferred, you know, a revisit to Grosvenor Square. But they had the chance; we didn't stop people from going if they wanted to go. They could have gone and then ended up playing football with the police, as the Maoists did, which is quite a nice ending for them, too, I guess.

Q. Shall we try again with the document.

Can we have, please {MPS-0747100}. Thank you. That's fine.

Now, this is, Mr Ali, the document which prompted that question. It's entitled "Proposals for Discussion by the National Council, VSC", and under the heading "Assessment of Demonstration", the second paragraph, it reads:

"On the demonstration itself a latent power was manifest but never given expression. The debate on

1	violence, which led to a simplified polarisation between
2	Grosvenor Square and passivity, resulted in
3	a demonstration well within the tolerance threshold of
4	bourgeois society, for which we were duly applauded by

I know it's very obviously not your view, but the phrase "though not by many demonstrators". Is that factually accurate?

the establishment, though not by many demonstrators."

A. No. Had it been factually accurate, many demonstrators had it perfectly within their power to disregard our instructions and to go and run riot. I mean, our authority was a moral and political authority. There was no force to prevent them doing whatever they wanted. I mean, apart from the police. We couldn't have stopped it. So this was a bit of moaning. I can't -- you know, I don't know who did that particular assessment.

But -- and the other point, I mean, the only demonstrations that don't fall within the tolerance thresholds of bourgeois society are usually in pre-revolutionary times. By and large, if you look at the huge Chartists, one of the last big Chartist demonstrations that took place in Hyde Park in the 19th century, very similar things were said about it, whether they were going to make a revolution, they were going to storm X, Y and Z. And this didn't happen, and some

- 1 people accused the Chartist leaders of having sold out,
- 2 when it had not been their plan in the first place to do
- 3 what many assumed they wanted to do. And this sort of
- 4 criticism, we did get some of it and we ignored it,
- 5 you know?
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- 7 Let's try again with the document I was hoping to
- 8 display earlier. It's {MPS-0730093} from tab F. Thank
- 9 you very much.
- 10 Could we go first of all to page 11
- 11 $\{MPS-0730093/11\}$. This is a report by Chief
- 12 Superintendent Cunningham the day after the October
- demonstration.
- 14 A. Which October? '68, or ...?
- 15 Q. October '68.
- 16 A. Okay.
- Q. I would like to take you to the paragraph which starts
- 18 right at the bottom of the page. Could that be moved up
- 19 slightly. It will have to be up a little bit. It's
- the paragraph which begins "prior to ..." Thank you.
- 21 I'll read that into the transcript:
- 22 "Prior to the march, 26 persons were arrested and
- 23 three summoned for various offences committed on their
- 24 way to the demonstration. During the demonstration, 17
- 25 persons were arrested (all during the Maoist/anarchist

- fracas in Grosvenor Square) and one person was arrested
- 2 following the demonstration for throwing a bottle at
- 3 the American Embassy. Apart from three fascists, none
- 4 had hitherto come to notice."
- 5 And the arrests are listed in an appendix.
- 6 Do you have any reason to question the accuracy of
- 7 those figures, Mr Ali?
- 8 A. I have no reason to. I mean ...
- 9 Q. We can see at a glance it's right that the number of
- 10 arrests on this occasion is vastly lower than the number
- of arrests in March 1968, isn't it?
- 12 A. Yeah. And these 26 persons who were arrested and three
- 13 who received summonses was prior to the march --
- Q. Yes -- (overspeaking) --
- 15 A. It doesn't specify which march, because it says "on
- their way to the demonstration" --
- 17 Q. This is about the 27 October demonstration. If these
- 18 figures are correct, Mr Ali, it's suggesting that nobody
- 19 on the main demonstration was arrested during the course
- of the demonstration. The only people arrested were
- 21 before, after or as a result of going to
- 22 Grosvenor Square. Is it your recollection that
- 23 nobody -- or to the best of your knowledge, is it right
- that nobody on the main demonstration was arrested
- 25 during the march?

- 1 A. To the best of my knowledge, that is accurate, yeah.
- 2 Q. Would you accept that so far as there was disorder at
- 3 Grosvenor Square, that it was necessary for that
- 4 demonstration to be policed, and insofar as it was
- 5 necessary to deal with people before and after
- 6 the demonstration, it was necessary for
- 7 the demonstration to be policed?
- 8 A. Well, that was obvious. I mean, it's the principal
- 9 reason why we decided not to go to Grosvenor Square. So
- I wasn't there, I didn't observe it. I only got
- third-hand reports, largely from the press. So I can't
- really comment on what exactly happened there. But
- 13 yeah, it was obvious that if people wanted to go and
- have -- to Grosvenor Square, the police would be there.
- That's not a big surprise. We said it publicly,
- 16 everyone knew that. So those who went, went for that,
- 17 yeah.
- Q. Could we go, please, in the document to page 4,
- 19 {MPS-730093/4}. And if you could scroll down, please,
- 20 so that we can start with the paragraph that begins,
- 21 "During the 26th October ..." Thank you.
- 22 I'm going to read a passage to you about events,
- 23 according to the police report, the day before:
- "During the 26th October and that part of
- 25 the following day prior to the start of

the demonstration, a number of intending demonstrators
were arrested for various offences in the [Metropolitan
Police District] (see Appendix 'E'). Most were for
possessing offensive weapons but the follow were of
particular interest:

"[privacy] a German national, was arrested at St

Pancras Railway Station when he was found in possession

of a gas pistol, ammunition and cannabis reference.

"[privacy] was arrested when he was found trying to gain entry to the stables at Hammersmith Police Station.

It is probable that he intended tampering with the police horses stabled there.

"On the evening of 26th October two youths why stopped by police in Green Street, W1, and found to be in possession of radio-jamming equipment and perspex eye shields. Correspondence which they had made it clear that they were Maoists from Nottingham and Derby respectively and that they had intended taking part in the demonstrations on the following day. Their aim seemed to be the jamming of police radio communications. Both were arrest and charged with conspiracy to cause a public mischief."

So, some further details on the pre-demonstration arrests we saw referred to a moment ago.

And would you agree that it was necessary for

- 1 the police to be policing to detect and deal with people
- 2 such as these?
- 3 A. Yeah, but from these reports, this was ordinary policing
- 4 that was responsible for this. I mean, the guy who was
- 5 arrested on -- the two youths arrested on Green
- 6 Street -- I didn't realise, by the way, there were any
- 7 Maoists in Nottingham, so this is an eyeopener. But
- 8 anyway -- yeah, I mean, what -- what -- what's your
- 9 exact question?
- 10 Q. I'm just putting the proposition that there needed to be
- 11 policing and seeking -- giving you an opportunity to
- 12 deal with that. A very straightforward proposition,
- 13 I recognise.
- 14 A. Yeah, I mean, obviously if people are carrying weapons.
- 15 Although I think the amount of cannabis resin hasn't
- been specified, and that may be the real answer to that
- 17 question of what this guy was doing. A gas pistol with
- 18 ammunition.
- 19 Q. I think that's the more serious part of it. Righto.
- I just want to take you, finally, to one final
- 21 passage of your book, please, Mr Ali; and this is going
- to be my final question about the march itself.
- 23 Page 329 of your book, about halfway down.
- 24 A. Mm-hm?
- 25 Q. There's a paragraph which begins, "In Britain

1		itself":
2		"In Britain itself, the October 1968 demonstration
3		had represented the last big assembly of revolutionary
4		forces. The failure of the left groups to transcend
5		their own divisions and provide the levies of VSC with
6		meaningful political activities led to a certain
7		atomisation and dispersal."
8	Α.	Mm-hm.
9	Q.	Do you stand by that description of events?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Thank you.
12		Can we move now to $\{MPS-0730768\}$.
13		This is a police report, an SDS police report, dated
14		12 November 1968, about a meeting of the VSC exactly
15		52 years ago today, at the Conway Hall. It's one of
16		the events that took place after the demonstration
17		itself. You are recorded as having chaired the meeting.
18		If we could go to page 2, please, $\{MPS-0730768/2\}$. If
19		that could be expanded. Thank you.
20		Could we look first of all at the bottom of
21		the page. From the signature block we can see that
22		a number of police officers were present, including
23		Chief Inspector Dixon and three officers who are known

to have been serving in the SDS at the time: HN329,

24

25

 ${\tt HN326}$ and ${\tt HN321}$.

1		If we could go up, please, to the middle of that
2		page. And it's the paragraph which begins, "Tariq Ali
3		introduced " that I'd like to draw your attention to,
4		please.
5		Thank you.
6		Mr Ali, I'll give you a moment to read it and then
7		I will read into the transcript the key passages that
8		I wish to ask you about.
9		(Pause)
LO	А.	This is it doesn't sound like me at all. I mean,
L1		there is no way I could have said that "if
L2		the engineering strike had taken place, a more militant
L3		demonstration could have occurred and revolution was
L4		a real possibility". Honestly, that was never my
L5		position. So there's no way I could have said that. At
L6		the best it's a distortion and at worst an invention.
L7		Nor would I have said used the phrase that
L8		"the State had 'backed down' from a confrontation with
L9		militant revolutionaries", because we knew that not
20		everyone on that march were militant revolutionaries as
21		such.

And so I think it's very exaggerated, that

particular report. I mean, it's much better these days,

so everything is recorded, then we can listen to it and

see whether it's accurate or not. But this phase of

- 1 policing, where people write reports down and then they
- 2 are typed up, was always -- it was never very
- 3 satisfactory; and this is exactly an example of that.
- 4 Q. What --
- 5 A. (inaudible) anyway. You know, it's not a big --
- 6 anything secret. But I just don't recall saying that.
- 7 Q. Yes, okay.
- 8 What was your position as to the future of the VSC
- 9 after --
- 10 A. Well, I thought that what needed to be done in Britain
- at that time, at the end of the year '68, was that, if
- possible, a unified left youth movement which united
- 13 young people belonging to all the different left
- organisations, including some young socialists who had
- been booted out of the Labour Party, and that that would
- have probably been the best outcome.
- I didn't think that, at the time or in '69, that we
- could mobilise so many people again. In that sense,
- it's quite interesting, the comparison with
- 20 the anti-Iraq War detention under the Tony Blair
- 21 government, which all of the figures are that there were
- 22 over a million people. And my attitude after that
- demonstration was exactly the same. It was
- 24 a fantastically important demonstration in British
- 25 history; the largest political demonstration. But it

1 would be well nigh impossible to repeat that again.

So, you know, these are political instincts. Having studied a bit of history, you know what can be repeated and what can't. And so I thought it best to broaden the movement rather than restricting it to a single issue movement related to Vietnam.

Q. If you'll bear with me for a moment, I've been asked, for those who are following the transcript, to read the passage that we've just been considering into the transcript.

It reads:

"Tariq Ali introduced [privacy], who took the line that the State had 'backed down' from a confrontation with militant revolutionaries, and that the demonstration had been an enormous success. He was followed by [privacy] who gave a historical account of the Vietnam War and attempted to link Vietnam with racism. Tariq Ali then said that complacency was to be deplored, and that if the engineering strike had taken place a more militant demonstration could have occurred and revolution was a real possibility."

Could that document be taken down, please.

Could we now have {UCPI-0000005797}, please.

This is a report of the VSC's 1969 National Conference.

- 1 A. It's barely readable, actually. Can you read it? It's
- difficult on the screen.
- 3 Q. Yes, it's very difficult. My questioning on this is
- 4 very short. But the paragraph I'm interested in is
- 5 the second paragraph down, under the heading "Saturday
- 6 1st February 1969", and it reads:
- 7 "Admission to the conference was restricted to
- 8 delegates and accredited observers, and credentials were
- 9 checked at the door by Jess McKenzie and
- 10 [privacy] [privacy] who issued voting cards to
- 11 the delegates only."
- Now, I think it's right that you were at this
- 13 conference; is that correct?
- 14 A. It's written here, so I must have been. I mean,
- 15 you know, I don't remember that conference at all. But
- I must have been there, yeah.
- 17 Q. And is it right that access was restricted, as described
- in the report?
- 19 A. I suppose so. I mean, if it was a closed conference,
- 20 that's what it would have been, but I'm just wondering
- 21 now why. But anyway. Yeah, it's perfectly possible.
- 22 Q. And would it follow that a plain-clothed police officer,
- as opposed to an undercover police officer, would not
- have been able to gain entry to it?
- 25 A. Yeah. If it was a closed conference, he or she wouldn't

- 1 be able to gain entry to it, no.
- 2 Q. Thank you.
- 3 Could we go to page 15 of the document, please
- 4 $\{UCPI-0000005797/15\}$. This is one of the documents
- 5 that's been submitted with the report. It's said to be
- 6 a paper by Geeff Richman, entitled "The State of
- 7 the War". I'm taking you to that just to see what
- 8 the document is.
- 9 If we now go to page 21, please
- 10 $\{UCPI-0000005797/21\}$. And if we could focus on
- 11 the paragraph that begins, "What emerges from the ...
- 12 account ..."
- 13 Mr Richman concludes his paper with the words:
- "What emerges from the above account is the extent
- to which power, though it grows out of the barrel of
- a gun, depends on the unity and political consciousness
- of the people who hold the gun. It is this which has
- 18 defeated the US and which makes the Vietnamese victory
- 19 our victory, if we can learn through it the nature
- of our own oppression and the source of our own power."
- 21 A. Mm-hm.
- Q. Now, I think it's right, isn't it, that the saying
- 23 "power grows out of the barrel of a gun" is one of
- 24 chairman Mao's --
- 25 A. Chairman Mao Zedong's statements, yeah.

- Q. And so there's emphasis here on unity, political
- 2 consciousness, that a recognition that power grows out
- of the barrel of a gun.
- 4 My question to you is how widely was this view of
- 5 revolution and the trinity referred to in this
- 6 paragraph, how widely shared was it amongst members of
- 7 the VSC, to your knowledge?
- 8 A. I don't know, is the honest answer to that. But I would
- 9 point out that the author of this document, Geoff
- 10 Richman, and his wife Marie Richman, were both doctors,
- 11 part of the Medical Aid to Vietnam Committee, and both,
- if my memory is correct, were loyal members of
- 13 the Labour Party. So I'm -- you know, what he's saying
- is pretty banal, to be perfectly frank. You know,
- 15 I mean, it's just: learn the lessons of Vietnam.
- I mean, the situation in Britain couldn't have been more
- 17 different than that in Vietnam, or many other countries
- 18 at the time.
- So, it's just a -- what can we call it? A bit of
- 20 posturing. It's a statement of fact, partially. But
- 21 can't attach too much importance to it. And the "power
- grows out of the barrel of a gun" was being used by both
- 23 sides, you know -- MPs on both sides accusing each other
- jokingly.
- 25 I mean, there's another statement of Mao which was

- 1 very popular at the time, "all reactionaries are paper
- 2 tigers", which was also used a great deal at the time.
- I don't think one can read too much into it really.
- 4 Q. My final question on this topic, Mr Ali, is, in your
- 5 view --
- 6 A. Mm.
- 7 Q. -- how far did the United Kingdom get down
- 8 the revolutionary path to global socialism during 1968?
- 9 A. To what degree, did you say?
- 10 Q. Yes, how far?
- 11 A. Not very far at all, as was obvious even at the time and
- is -- became even more obvious later. I mean,
- 13 basically, the Labour Party has held the monopoly of
- 14 working class representation in this country. No other
- political party to its left, small or big, has been able
- 16 to dent that until very recently -- in Scotland by the
- 17 way. But -- so, I don't think it's even a question
- 18 worth asking. There was nothing like that happening at
- 19 all.
- I mean, the only thing I'd say is there were more
- 21 left Labour MPs than there are now, and many of them
- 22 were extremely friendly with us, turned up on our
- 23 demonstrations, shared platforms, including Michael
- Foot, Ian Mikardo, many, many others. So it was not
- 25 that we were hostile to the Labour Party as such. We

Τ	were hostile to the Labour government, but we tried to
2	have many allies in the Parliamentary Labour Party. And
3	lots of Labour Party branches did come on VSC
4	demonstrations. You can see their banners.
5	So, how far we advanced to revolution is I think
6	the answer to that is nil. Not very far at all.
7	MR BARR: Sir, would that be an appropriate time to break
8	for lunch?
9	THE CHAIRMAN: It would indeed. And can we resume at 2.05.
10	MS PURSER: Thank you very much, everyone. We will now
11	break for lunch and we will resume at 2.05.
12	(1.03 pm)
13	(The short adjournment)
14	(2.00 pm)
15	MS PURSER: Good afternoon, everyone, and welcome to
16	the afternoon session of today's evidential hearing. I
17	will now hand over to our Chairman, Sir John Mitting, to
18	continue proceedings.
19	Chairman.
20	If we could please take a short pause whilst we
21	resolve an issue with the Chairman, I would be very
22	grateful. If we could move to our break-out rooms.
23	Thank you.
24	(2.00 pm)
25	(A short break)

Τ	(2.05 pm)
2	MS PURSER: Good afternoon, everyone, and welcome to
3	the afternoon session of today's evidential hearing.
4	For those of you in the virtual hearing room, please can
5	I remind you to turn off both your camera and
6	microphone, unless you are invite to speak by
7	the Chairman.
8	I will now hand over to our Chairman,
9	Sir John Mitting, to continue proceedings.
10	Chairman.
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. As I announced this morning,
12	everyone will have to listen, most of you again, to
13	the three-minute recording made earlier this year:
14	"I am conducting this Inquiry under a statute,
15	the Inquiries Act 2005, which gives me the power to make
16	orders regulating the conduct of the Inquiry, including
17	its hearings. In the exercise of that power, I have
18	made a number of orders which affect what you may and
19	may not do in the hearing rooms and after you leave
20	them. Breach of any of the orders is a serious matter
21	and may have serious consequences for you.
22	"If I am satisfied that a person may have breached
23	an order, I have the power to certify the matter to
24	the High Court, which will investigate and deal with it
25	as if it had been a contempt of that court. If

1	satisfied that a breach has occurred and merits
2	the imposition of a penalty, the High Court may impose
3	a severe sanction on the person in breach, including
4	a fine, imprisonment for up to two years and
5	sequestration of their assets.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Evidence is going to be given live over screens in the hearing rooms. It is strictly prohibited to photograph or record what is shown on the screens, or to record what is said by a witness, or anyone else in the hearings rooms.

"You may bring your mobile telephone into the hearing rooms, but you may not use it for any of those purposes. You may use it silently for any other purpose. In particular, you may transmit your account of what you have seen and heard in a hearing room to any other person, but only once at least 10 minutes have elapsed since the event which you are describing took place.

"This restriction has a purpose. In the course of the Inquiry, I have made orders prohibiting the public disclosure of information, for example about the identity of a person, for a variety of reasons. These orders must be upheld. It is inevitable that, whether by accident or design, information which I have ordered should not be publicly disclosed will sometimes

Т	be discrosed in a hearing. If and when that happens,
2	I will immediately suspend the hearing and make an order
3	prohibiting further disclosure of the information
4	outside the hearing rooms.
5	"The consequence will be that no further disclosure
б	of that information may be made by mobile telephone or
7	other portable electronic device from within the hearing
8	room, or by any means outside it.
9	"I am sorry if you find this message alarming; it is
10	not intended to be. Its purpose is simply to ensure
11	that everyone knows the rules which must apply if I am
12	to hear the evidence which I need to enable me to get to
13	the truth about undercover policing.
14	"You, as members of the public, are entitled to hear
15	the same public evidence as I will hear and to reach
16	your own conclusions about it. The Inquiry team will do
17	their best to ensure that you can.
18	"If you have any doubt about the terms of this
19	message or what you may or may not do, you should not
20	hesitate to ask one of them and, with my help, if
21	necessary, they will provide you with the answer."
22	Thank you, Mr Barr.
23	MR BARR: Thank you, sir.
24	Mr Ali, we're going to move now to some of the other
25	canacities in which you were active and spied upon

- 1 A. Sorry, there's something -- messages coming on my
- 2 screen. They've gone now. I didn't get your ...
- 3 unmuting and stuff.
- 4 Q. Can you hear me now?
- 5 A. Very well.
- 6 Q. Good.
- 7 I'm going to now explore some of your other activism
- 8 that was spied upon by the SDS, starting first with your
- 9 membership of the International Marxist Group.
- 10 A. Mm-hm.
- 11 Q. Can we have, please, {UCPI-0000015673}.
- Mr Ali, because people are following this on
- a transcript, I'm going to read out the material parts,
- 14 and then I'll ask you a question about it.
- This is a report signed by HN321 and countersigned
- by Acting Chief Inspector R Wilson. It's dated
- 17 25 August 1969. It's a special report, and the first
- three paragraphs read:
- "1. Submitted is a recent list of people known to be
- 20 members of the International Marxist Group, the total
- 21 membership of which now stands at about 100 people.
- 22 "2. The International Marxist Group has branches in
- 23 the following areas, although many of them consist of
- only one person.
- 25 "3. Birmingham, Leicester, Canterbury,

- 1 Chesterfield, Crewe, Manchester, Reading, St Helens,
- London, Nottingham, Hull, Oxford, Folkestone, Norwich,
- 3 Glasgow, Edinburgh and York."
- 4 Mr Ali, your name is one of those that was in
- 5 the list attached to this report. Can you tell me,
- first of all, is it right that at about this period,
- 7 the IMG numbered about 100 people?
- 8 A. Yeah, it may be a slight exaggeration, but I think that
- 9 was roughly the number. And I think it's also accurate
- 10 to say that in some of these towns, there was just
- 11 a single -- a single member. It's a bit
- Monty~Python-esque, but that's how it was.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 Could you briefly explain the scope of the IMG's
- 15 activities in this period.
- 16 A. Yeah. The IMG was essentially a section, the British
- 17 section, of an international organisation known as
- 18 "The Fourth International" that was created by Leon
- 19 Trotsky in 1938 after he broke completely with Stalin
- and Stalinism.
- 21 And it grew slowly. It never achieved a mass status
- 22 anywhere in the world, except in Bolivia and Sri Lanka,
- 23 where they became mass parties. Elsewhere they remained
- 24 largely at the level of -- at its peak in France they
- 25 had I would guess about 50,000 members, something like

- 1 that, or maybe a bit under.
- 2 In Britain, the membership of the IMG, at its
- 3 maximum, grew to a thousand. But that was the maximum
- 4 figure.
- 5 And the aims were to create left-wing, Marxist
- 6 parties. Basically, as I said earlier, to challenge
- 7 Labour for working class loyalties. And even
- 8 the largest of these parties, the Communist Party, was
- 9 never able to do that. So neither the IMG or other
- 10 Trotskyist groups got anywhere near it.
- 11 And in the absence of this actually coming to
- fruition, we did the normal activities, public meetings,
- 13 usually very critical of the Labour government, or
- 14 whatever government was in power, backing strikes,
- helping with picketing, selling newspapers, organising
- 16 conferences. Very much really a propagandist
- organisation.
- 18 Q. Just so we're clear, did the IMG use violence at all?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. And did the IMG, through its members, commit serious
- 21 criminal offences to advance its aims?
- 22 A. Well, not to my knowledge. I mean, if the odd member
- 23 did, it's perfectly possible. But certainly not to my
- 24 knowledge. And certainly not -- it wasn't the aim of
- 25 the organisation in -- in any way.

1 Q. Thank you. 2 Could the document be taken down, please. Could it be replaced by {UCPI000008923}, please. 3 This is a special report. It's signed by an 4 5 undercover police officer, HN340, countersigned by the chief inspector of the time, Mr Saunders. And it is 6 7 a North London Red Circle -- it's about the North London Red Circle. 8 Could you scroll down, please, so we can see 9 10 the bottom of paragraph 3. It's a document reporting on a discussion of 11 12 the industrial dispute at the Upper Clyde Shipbuilders. 13 The material passage that I'm going read into 14 the transcript is going to be the last sentence of paragraph 3, Mr Ali, but I'm going to let you 15 familiarise yourself with the whole of paragraph 3. 16 17 The last sentence reads: 18 "To that end, it was important that IMG activity within the unions ensured that they could better exploit 19 any future potential revolutionary situation." 20 Well, so, according to this report, the IMG's position 21 Α. 22 is that a strike now is not in the interests of

the workers, that it would be won by the capitalists and

appear as a defeat for the UCS workers. Right, okay.

25 Q. Yes, I think --

23

24

- 1 A. Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah.
- 2 Q. The background is that there had been a -- unusually,
- 3 there had been a work-in at the Upper Clyde
- 4 Shipbuilders.
- 5 A. A very famous one, yeah.
- 6 Q. And as you say, the discussion is about where it's all
- 7 going to go and the feeling at the meeting was
- 8 pessimistic.
- 9 A. Yeah. I wasn't at that meeting, since I never -- I very
- 10 rarely went to Red Circle meetings. These Red Circles
- 11 were initially discussion groups set up by the Red Mole
- 12 newspaper, to attract support for the ideas of
- the paper. And they were the very first contact which
- 14 people made with the IMG. And it's -- it would be --
- 15 would have been very unusual for me to attend them.
- It's possible I was there, but I can't remember it --
- 17 Q. Well, Mr Ali, I do appreciate I'm asking you to recall
- 18 something from half a century ago, but if you read on
- 19 a little bit to paragraph 4, that might help refresh
- your memory.
- 21 A. Yeah.
- I honestly -- I mean, I read it, but it -- it rings
- 23 no bells for me. My memory by and large is not bad, as
- even you'll agree. I mean, I do remember -- but this --
- 25 attending this particular meeting of the Red Circle

- I can't remember. You know, as I said, I may well have
- 2 attended it and I may well have been doing what
- 3 the report says, but I can't -- I can't recall it.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 For the transcript, the material part of paragraph 4
- 6 is:
- 7 "A short discussion followed which Tariq Ali kept
- 8 brief."
- 9 But I don't think that matters too much, Mr Ali,
- 10 because the purpose of me showing you this document is
- 11 to ask you about how the IMG related to trade unions and
- how it saw the trade unions as a means of advancing its
- aims and spreading its ideas.
- 14 A. Well, that was the case with all the left groups at the
- time; the IMG was no different from them. I mean, all
- the groups to the left of the Labour Party which took
- 17 trade union support for granted. But -- so it's nothing
- unusual there, really, it's a sort of everyday
- 19 banalities, to be honest -- (inaudible) you know,
- writing it.
- 21 Q. Was the -- was the involvement of IMG members in trade
- 22 unions done openly or covertly?
- 23 A. Totally openly.
- Q. Thank you.
- 25 Could we now look, please -- could we take that

1	document down and can we have, please, {UCPI0000017347}.
2	Now, this is a Special Report dated 18 April 1977.
3	The subject is the International Marxist Group, and
4	there are various respects in which it may be of
5	interest and which I'm going to take you to.
6	I'll read, first of all, the opening paragraph:
7	"The following information has been received from
8	a secret and reliable source:
9	"'As part of their GLC election campaign, the IMG
10	held a public meeting at Southall Town Hall on Thursday
11	14th April between 8 and 10.30 pm. The Chairman was
12	Bob"
13	I think it says "Singh". I may be wrong about that:
14	" an AUEW member and thought to work at
15	the Trico factory, Brentford. The speakers were
16	Tariq Ali of the IMG Political Committee, Gerry Hedley
17	the IMG candidate for Ealing and Southall, who is well
18	known in West London ultra-left circles, he works as
19	a lecturer at the Courtaulds Institute, and Ahmed Shuja
20	described as editor of 'Jio-o-Jehad'. About 50 persons
21	attended the meeting, of whom about 16 were Asians.
22	The impression was gained that the majority of the white
23	persons present were not from the immediate vicinity of
24	Southall."
25	So in that paragraph, Mr Ali, we have reporting on

- 1 you apparently at an election campaign event. Can you
- 2 recall taking part in the event?
- 3 A. Yeah, I can.
- 4 Q. What is your reaction to the police attending, recording
- 5 and filing away a report about your work in support of
- 6 a democratic election campaign?
- 7 A. Unnecessary. I mean, there was no big secret about it.
- 8 The secret and reliable source didn't have to be so
- 9 hush-hush. It's a public meeting; anyone could come and
- 10 go.
- I mean, obviously, for a long, long time, there have
- been informers present at political meetings of one sort
- or another, from the 18th century onwards. So there's
- no big surprise there. But I would have thought it was
- sort of, you know, totally unnecessary. And to write up
- a completely trivial thing, a waste of time and energy.
- But that's all I have to say about that. I mean, what
- else can one say? It's ...
- 19 Q. I'm going to read another passage from the middle of
- 20 the second paragraph:
- 21 "Tariq Ali tended to concentrate on the anti-racial
- aspects ..."
- 23 A. I can't see that myself, but you know ...
- Q. It's halfway down the second paragraph, and it's in
- 25 the context of what you are recorded as having said at

- the meeting. Thank you very much for zooming in on
 that:
- 3 "Tariq Ali tended to concentrate on the anti-racial
- 4 aspects, such as a spirited attack on the careerist
- 5 blacks and Asians within the race relations industry,
- 6 and the presence of strong National Front groups in
- 7 almost all areas surrounding Southall."
- 8 Is it right that you spoke against racism at this
- 9 meeting?
- 10 A. Very likely.
- 11 Q. And what is your reaction to your campaigning against
- racism being recorded and filed away by the police?
- 13 A. Again, I would say unnecessary. It's hardly the most
- dramatic thing. I wasn't the only person who said it.
- 15 It's just a bit incomprehensible why they bothered with
- this really. But anyway ...
- 17 Q. There are -- I'm going to move now from IMG and
- 18 Red Circle to the Irish Civil Rights Solidarity
- 19 Campaign. Could we take the document, down, please.
- 20 We may be able to do this without calling
- 21 the documents up, Mr Ali, but if you want to see them --
- A. No, no, that's fine, that's fine.
- Q. -- we can call them up.
- There are two reports in the bundle, each of which
- 25 submit leaflets about events at which you are recorded

- 1 as being one of the people who are going to speak at an
- 2 event organised by the Irish Civil Rights Solidarity
- 3 Campaign.
- 4 A. Mm-hm.
- 5 Q. Would it be right to understand that you were not
- a member of that organisation but you were a speaker at
- 7 their events?
- 8 A. Yes. I would have been a member if I'd been asked to
- join, but, I mean, I wasn't. But whenever Irish groups
- asked me to speak, I did, for political reasons. And
- also the fact that, when I was growing up in Lahore in
- 12 Pakistan, the school I want to was a school run by Irish
- 13 Catholic brothers, some of whom were incredibly
- intelligent and very stimulating to engage in debate and
- 15 conversation. And I first learnt about Irish history
- from not all of them, but one or two. And the principal
- 17 himself, Brother Henderson, had been badly beaten up
- 18 during the Black and Tan period in Ireland. So I had
- 19 a natural affinity for Irish affairs and knew quite
- a bit about it even before I came to this country.
- Q. Thank you.
- Now, you've set out more about your political
- 23 beliefs on the Irish question in your witness statement,
- so I don't need to ask you about that. But what I would
- 25 like to ask you about is your activities in relation to

- 1 your political views on the Irish question.
- 2 Did they go any wider than speaking and/or attending
- 3 demonstrations?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Can we move now to the Anti-Nazi League. And perhaps we
- 6 can start -- it would be helpful if you could just
- 7 explain briefly what the Anti-Nazi League was and how it
- 8 advanced its cause.
- 9 A. Well, the Anti-Nazi League was essentially not set up by
- 10 the IMG, it was set up by the Socialist Workers' Party,
- 11 and it was a united front which invited all people --
- 12 all sorts of different people to join, liberals, Labour
- supporters, anyone who was hostile to what was thought
- 14 at that time to be a growing threat from fascist
- organisations in this country, of which
- 16 the National Front was the most prominent.
- 17 And as such, you know, most people who were
- interested in anti-fascist activities, or took them
- 19 seriously, felt it was a useful thing to do.
- 20 More important than the Anti-Nazi League in this
- 21 aspect was a sort of sister organisation called Rock
- 22 Against Racism, which brought in large numbers of rock
- 23 bands and famous stars, to organise concerts in
- 24 different parts of the country for young people in
- 25 particular, to wean them away from any attraction to

- fascist notions. And that did have an impact, I have to say.

 Q. Thank you.

 We're going to come back to that in just a moment.

 But first of all, can we call up, please,

 UCPI0000016579}.
- 7 This is a report on the Anti-Nazi League, in 8 particular on the Anti-Nazi League's 1981 National 9 Conference.
- 10 A. Mm-hm.
- 11 Q. There is -- if we start, please, at paragraph 3, it
 12 says:
- "The pre-lunch session was chaired by Ernie Roberts,

 MP, who, after a brief welcoming address, introduced

 [privacy] from Birmingham ANL who spoke about

 the fire-bomb attack on the Socialist Workers' Party

 bookshop in that city on the previous evening."
- That is the fist mention in this document of

 a Member of Parliament. There are other references to

 John Tilley MP and Martin Flannery MP. You are also

 recorded as present. Do you recall attending the 1981

 ANL National Conference?
- A. I don't, actually. I mean, I'm sure I did if the report
 says I did, but I can't remember -- I can't remember
 the event at all.

- I remember speaking, talking about Labour MPs, with
- Neil Kinnock at an Anti-Nazi League meeting in Cardiff,
- in which he made a very good and strong speech. But
- I don't remember the conference at all.
- 5 Q. Yes. Strictly speaking, you're listed under
- 6 the words "the undermentioned were elected to the new
- 7 steering committee", and as people who had either been
- 8 mentioned or who had attended. So it's possible you
- 9 weren't there.
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. But what is -- you certainly are -- you're recorded in
- the report, though, at a number of places, including
- being elected to the steering committee.
- 14 What is your reaction to a report which records your
- 15 election to the steering committee of
- the Anti-Nazi League at a conference attended by
- 17 democratically elected Members of Parliament for
- the purposes of policing?
- 19 A. I can't see the relevance at all, quite honestly. It's
- a sort of unnecessary, time-consuming, money-wasting
- 21 exercise.
- 22 Q. Could we now move, please, to page {UCPI0000016579/9} of
- 23 this document. I'd just like to focus on
- the penultimate paragraph, please. This is, Mr Ali,
- 25 part of the 1981 National Conference Declaration. It

- 1 reads:
- 2 "In engaging in 'defence' activity it is important
- 3 to remember two things. Firstly, all activity should be
- 4 located within the general framework of going on
- 5 the offensive against the Nazis, isolating them publicly
- 6 and developing mass opposition on the streets.
- 7 Secondly, 'tit-for-tat' exercises should be avoided, as
- 8 they are rarely effective, often increase the spiral of
- 9 violence, and always make it more difficult to wage open
- 10 public activity with mass mobilisation."
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. Could I ask you how representative that was of the ANL's
- 13 strategy in combating racism?
- 14 A. Pretty accurate, I think. Obviously, when black and
- 15 Asian people were attacked, the Anti-Nazi League's
- 16 position was that they had -- that they had the right to
- 17 defend themselves. And the ANL often harked back to
- 18 the big battles that had taken place in the East End of
- 19 London during the 30s and the Battle of Cable Street,
- 20 where there had been violence on quite an astonishing
- scale compared to later, for instance.
- 22 So, yeah, it's -- it's perfectly in keeping with
- that.
- Q. Thank you.
- 25 Could that document be taken down, please. Could we

- 1 have up instead {UCPI0000021653}, please.
- This is a police Special Branch Special Report.
- 3 Could we zoom in. It's dated 17 May 1978. It's from --
- 4 it's an SDS report. Could we zoom in, please, on
- 5 paragraph 2 and the text immediately below that.
- 6 Paragraph 2 reads:
- 7 "The undermentioned persons were identified as being
- 8 present on Sunday 30 April 1978 at the Anti-Nazi League
- 9 carnival."
- 10 Then there's a gist:
- "A list of 229 names, including Tariq Ali,
- 12 Peter Hain, Dave Morris and Colin Clark."
- 13 Is this the carnival to which you were referring
- 14 earlier in your evidence?
- 15 A. Yes, I was. And I remember going to it. But what is
- there to say about it? Nothing much really.
- Q. Well, can I ask you this. As far as you are aware, was
- there any violence at that carnival?
- 19 A. I can't remember. None from our side. I don't think
- 20 the fascists attacked it, or anything like that. But
- 21 it's -- it's -- if they did, I can't recall it.
- Q. Can you recall there being any crime?
- A. At that carnival?
- 24 O. Yes.
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Was it disordered?
- 2 A. No. I -- what I remember of it, if it is the same one
- 3 -- and I think it is -- it was very well attended.
- 4 Does it give the location of it? That would help clear
- 5 my mind a bit. Is it in one of -- is it in Victoria
- 6 Park in the East End?
- 7 Q. I'm afraid the report doesn't disclose that.
- 8 A. Okay, I mean, why hide the location?
- 9 Anyway, it's -- if it is the one I'm thinking of,
- 10 the atmosphere was very pleasant and relaxed, people
- 11 enjoyed the music. Lots and children and families were
- on that demonstration from the localities.
- 13 Q. What is your reaction to the knowledge that the police
- 14 recorded your attendance at that carnival along with
- that of hundreds of other people?
- 16 A. Unnecessary, irrelevant, of no use whatsoever to anyone.
- 17 Q. Thank you.
- In similar vein, could we take down this document
- and put up, please, {UCPI0000021699}.
- This is an SDS report dated 24 May 1978.
- 21 Paragraph 1 reads:
- 22 "The following persons were identified as having
- 23 attended the Anti-Nazi League rally and march from
- Aldgate to Hyde Park, on Sunday, 14 May 1978."
- 25 A list of 69 names follows, and that list includes

- 1 your name and that of Dave Morris. This report does
- 2 include a location.
- 3 Can you recall this event, Mr Ali?
- A. I can't really. You know, I -- it happened, I probably
- 5 was on it, but I have no memory of it whatsoever.
- 6 Q. I'm going to move on now to another event which I know
- 7 that you will recall. Could we replace that document
- 8 with the one numbered {UCPI0000021699} -- sorry, I beg
- 9 your pardon. Could we replace it with {MPS-0733404}.
- 10 This is entitled "Index of Appendices to Report of
- 11 the Protest Demonstration Against the National Front
- Meeting in Southall on 23 April 1979". And
- 13 the appendices include appendix "A", "Persons
- identified" at that. You appear in appendix "A" as
- a person identified at that meeting.
- You also appear in appendix "B", "Persons arrested
- 17 who had previously come to notice in Special Branch
- records".
- You deal with this event, I believe, in your witness
- 20 statement; is that right, Mr Ali?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- Q. And you remember it well?
- A. Yes, very well.
- Q. And for the record, although you were arrested, and
- 25 although the document records a public order charge,

1 yo	our e	vidence	is	that	you	never	were	in	fact	charged	?
------	-------	---------	----	------	-----	-------	------	----	------	---------	---

A. No. And not only that, I was the Parliamentary candidate for Socialist Unity in that constituency in -for the '79 elections. And I was doing a lot of constituency work at the time. I mean, we knew we were not going to win. For left groups fighting in these elections against Labour is really like ploughing the sea. But we did it nonetheless.

And during that day when the National Front were in Southall, the local organisers in Southall said they might attack us, there might be clashes; and they'd got a special house to keep some of us. And me as the Parliamentary candidate of the left was taken to that safe house just to be out of the way of any action. And so was a group -- a rock group, a reggae group, "Misty". And we were there, as was some women and children.

And I recall very clearly at some stage in
the proceedings -- that was the same day that
Blair Peach was killed -- that the police charged into
this house, dragged us out, made us go run a gauntlet.
And as we were running the gauntlet, they were belting
us with batons. It was like a baton charge. Hitting
one on the head mainly. It seemed like a leaf taken out
of a colonial police manual in India during the days of

- the British Raj. That's what it seemed like. And I was
 basically knocked unconscious.
- When I came to, I was sitting in a police bus with 3 others, and we were taken to a prison I think somewhere 4 5 in Victoria, in that region. And not charged at all, but at 3 am in the morning put out on the street. That 6 is my memory of that event. And people -- and put out 7 8 from the back door of the police station so lawyers and others who were waiting to try and find me in the front 9 10 of the police station couldn't be in touch. And I --God knows how I made my way back home that day, but 11 12 I did. I think I walked all the way back from Victoria 13 to North London. But that is my clear memory of -- of that event. 14
 - Q. And what is your reaction to your presence at that event being reported and filed away since 1979?
- A. Well, you know, I'm tired of repeating myself really.

 It's bizarre. This was a public thing. What the police

 did was in public view, in public gaze. I mean, what

 exactly was the point of sending a special report back

 when they could have got one from the police force that

 was active that day?
- Q. Thank you.

15

16

Could we take that down, please. Could we have UCPI0000020990 . Thank you.

1		This is an SDS report dated 22 June 1979 about
2		a meeting of the Camden Anti-Nazi League. It was
3		a public meeting attended, according to the report, by
4		about 45 persons.
5		Could we go to paragraph 4, please, and could we
6		scroll down so we can see paragraph 3 as well.
7		From paragraph 3 I'll read it we can see:
8		"The meeting was entitled, 'Who killed Blair Peach?'
9		and began with an emotional speech from Joe Leonard of
10		the East London Teachers Association, who had been
11		a personal friend of peach. He spent some time in
12		highlighting the virtues of his dead comrade."
13		Paragraph 4:
14		"Tariq Ali then called for support for
15		the 'independent enquiry' into the circumstances of
16		Peach's death. This enquiry was to be made by a team of
17		left-wing experts specially chosen for the job and he
18		disclosed that a full page advertisement in an edition
19		of the 'Guardian' newspaper would give details."
20		Can you recall this meeting, Mr Ali?
21	Α.	Vaguely. I can recall attending a meeting for
22		Blair Peach, and there was a big demand for an
23		independent inquiry. And I think we there was
24		a full-page ad which went into The Guardian. But
25		I think I mean, Blair Peach's death really shocked

- 1 people, because, as the evidence emerged, it was clear
- 2 he was cornered on a small street in Southall after
- 3 the demo and beaten to death by a group of Special
- 4 Patrol Group officers. That's what happened. No one --
- 5 I mean, that was reported in all the press without
- 6 exception, since a death on these demos is very
- 7 uncommon. And that's what did send a shock wave down,
- 8 everyone saying, "What the hell is going on? Why
- 9 did they do this?" etc, etc, "He's a New Zealander."
- 10 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, the justice campaign
- 11 that followed Blair Peach's death used what methods to
- 12 advance its cause?
- 13 A. Who used?
- 14 Q. There was a campaign following Blair Peach's death.
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 O. What methods did it use to advance its cause?
- 17 A. I honestly don't know. I think the main activities were
- 18 carried out by the Anti-Nazi League, even though it
- 19 wasn't the fascists who'd caused his death. But there
- 20 were lots of support groups and committees on local
- 21 levels set up. The National Council of Civil Liberties
- 22 took up the case. It was taken up at quite a high
- level.
- Q. And so far as your role is concerned, you've explained
- 25 that you spoke. Did your role go any further than that?

- 1 A. Not really.
- 2 Q. I'm sorry I'm being very repetitive but I feel I do need
- 3 to ask you.
- 4 What is your reaction to the fact you were speaking
- 5 at an event following the death of a man at
- 6 the demonstration in circumstances where there was
- 7 a campaign about the police role in that death?
- 8 A. I don't know. I mean, what is the point of having
- 9 a report like this, except to keep people in work, which
- 10 I'm all in favour of, and to make my file heftier than
- it was, for absolutely no rhyme or reason? I can't
- think of any other real purpose.
- Q. Could we take that document down, please.
- 14 Could we have now {UCPI0000013868}. Thank you.
- 15 This is an SDS report dated 24 March --
- 16 A. Ah.
- 17 Q. -- of 1980. On the left-hand margin, the subject is
- 18 recorded as the "Labour Coordinating Committee 'Debate
- of the Decade'". Paragraph 1 reads:
- "On Monday, 17th March 1980 at 7 pm at the Methodist
- 21 Central Hall, Westminster, SW1, the Labour Coordinating
- 22 Committee held a 'Debate of the Decade' on
- 23 the subject 'The Crisis and the Future of the Left',
- 24 chaired by Peter Hain. About 2,500 persons were
- 25 present. The debate never materialised as a discussion

- and comprised six speakers explaining their personal
- 2 politics and dissecting the politics of their fellow
- 3 speakers."

agreed.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 Mr Ali, could you help us first of all with what
 5 the Labour Coordinating Committee was.
- It was a grouping inside the Labour Party, a left-wing 6 Α. 7 grouping, that basically backed Tony Benn. It was 8 a Bennite group, demanding, apart from other things, more democracy inside the Labour Party, etc, etc. And 9 10 it included quite a few Members of Parliament, Audrey 11 Wise was one, Eric Heffer was one. I think both of them 12 spoke at that debate as well, and others. And 13 the debate was their -- and Peter Hain was very involved 14 with it. And the debate was their initiative. And they approached me and others to speak and, you know, we 15

It wasn't our personal politics we were explaining, it was -- basically, the aim of the discussion was that Labour had been defeated in the '79 elections, Mrs Thatcher was now the new prime minister, and what was the left going to do. I mean, my own memory of that debate, including my own speech, is that Mrs Thatcher was barely mentioned. And there was no real understanding of what this change meant for Britain and British politics in the future. It was largely a debate

- 1 within the left really.
- 2 Q. The document itself records Tony Benn and Stuart Holland
- 3 as being the Members of Parliament --
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. -- amongst the speakers on that occasion, along with you
- and a number of other prominent left-wing activists.
- 7 But the long and the short of it was this was an
- 8 occasion -- a large public event at which you were
- 9 sharing a platform with Members of Parliament.
- 10 A. Mm-hm.
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- 12 Could we now take that document down and could we
- have, please, {UCPI0000014082}. This is an SDS report
- 14 dated 2 July 1980. The subject heading is "Anti-Cruise
- 15 Missile Demonstration". Paragraph 1 reads:
- 16 "The undermentioned persons were identified
- 17 participants in the Anti-Cruise Missile demonstration,
- organised by the Labour Party, which was held in Central
- 19 London on 22.6.80."
- 20 Amongst the list of names recorded underneath that
- 21 paragraph is your name. Can you recall the event,
- 22 Mr Ali?
- A. I can't recall it. I can't remember speaking at it.
- I probably did attend it. That's about it really.
- Q. Thank you.

1		Could we take that down, please, and could we have
2		up next {MPS-0018070}.
3		This is a document which bears only the title "Hog"
4		and then the subheading, "Intelligence Stop the War
5		Stop the War Coalition Steering Committee". It then
6		reads:
7		"There has been an amount of movement in recent
8		weeks on the national Stop the War Coalition steering
9		committee. The newly updated list of the entire
10		committee as of Saturday 15th March 03 is as follows."
11		There's then a list of names. Your name appears in
12		that list, along with a number of others, including
13		those of Jeremy Corbyn MP and George Galloway MP.
14		Is it right, Mr Ali, that you were a member of
15		the steering committee of the Stop the War Coalition?
16	А.	Yeah, I was one of the founder members of the Stop the
17		War Coalition, together with Jeremy, Lindsey German and
18		others. And so it's perfectly normal that my name
19		should appear there.
20	Q.	And then the document continues recording some of
21		the details of people's positions, and so on.
22		Many of us will recall 2003, Mr Ali, but could you
23		please, just in a nutshell, explain what the purpose of
24		the Stop the War Coalition was and what methods it used
25		to advance its aims.

A. The Stop the War Coalition was a very broad coalition.

It didn't -- not simply restricted to the left. Its aim

was to oppose the new wars that the United States were

launching in Afghanistan and subsequently in Iraq as

5 being unjust wars and that could only lead to further

6 disasters on every level.

And in particular, in the run-up to the Iraq War, there was a general feeling, not by any means confined to the left but I would say to the country at large, including many former British ambassadors to the Middle East, many people who had worked at a very high level in the intelligence services, that we were being lied to, that the politicians were concocting facts to suit their needs, and the fear was that Britain would be rushed into an American war on -- based on total false propaganda. This, as we know -- I mean, the famous case of the "weapons of mass destruction" was the most famous aspect on this. But there were lies told on many a level, including the preparation of dodgy dossiers inside the Foreign Office.

I mean, at that time, just for the record, I was invited to go and speak at the Foreign Office to its employees and, you know, officers, civil servants, which I did, pointing out what this war was likely to lead to, that it was going to be a disaster for this region, etc,

1 etc.

And I was also asked to speak at -- I think just after the war I was invited by the British Council to give an address in Damascus, which I did. And during that period in Damascus I received an invitation from the British ambassador, who asked me for lunch. And at lunch he explained to me that it wasn't a free lunch, that he'd invited every representative of Syrian civil society and government to hear a discussion on Iraq.

And at that lunch, in public, the ambassador said to me, "I heard you reported somewhere or the other saying that Blair should be arrested as a war criminal." It wasn't a subject I was myself going to introduce in the British embassy, but since he asked me, I replied, "Yeah, I did, because this war was illegal, it was wrong, it was criminal." And to my astonishment, the ambassador said, "I couldn't agree with you more."

Now, when you have that degree of hostility to a war, what is the point of reporting on who was attending which meeting, when there's nothing that's a big secret.

- Q. And what was the result of the Stop the War Coalition's --
- A. Stop the War then organised the largest demonstration in
 British history, as everyone admitted. A million-plus

people. And what was quite amazing about that
demonstration, I have never been to such a broad-based
demonstration in my life. I mean, every sphere of
society, including many Conservatives who I ran into on
that demonstration, including senior police officers who
came up and chatted to me and said, "It's just wrong,
isn't it, this war," and I said, "I think it is," they
said, "You're not alone." There was a gut feeling that
something rotten had happened.

So the only purpose was to try and stop the war.

What we couldn't, because Blair had set his -- these are all demonstrations that took place just before the war -- then people got very demoralised and depressed and, you know, went their ways. The Stop the War carried on, you know, with quite large demonstrations subsequently. But nothing on that scale again. Nor was this confined to Britain. Every big city in the United States, despite 9/11, had huge demonstrations. Every big city. New York, LA, Chicago. I spoke at some of these events. Europe, apart from France. You had a quarter of a million people out on the streets in Madrid. Nearly a million in Rome. It was just a big revulsion against the politicians having dragged the West into these wars in the Middle East.

And as we see today, these wars are still going on

- in one way or the other; and they have lasted longer
- 2 than the First and Second World Wars put together. That
- is the aim of trying to bring this to an end and to stop
- 4 it.
- 5 Q. Some might think there's a continuity between what
- 6 happened in October 1968 and March 2003. Would you
- 7 regard this as another show of strength not a show of
- 8 force?
- 9 A. Yeah, very much so. I don't think anyone, no current at
- 10 all within Stop the War even thought of force. I mean
- 11 to get a -- I mean, what could be more forceful than
- 12 a million people congregating in London to try and stop
- an illegal, evil war that the government was about to
- 14 take us into?
- So, very much so. Though I don't think most of
- the people on the demonstration, apart from a few of us
- grey-haireds, could have linked it to October '68,
- 18 because most of the people on the demonstration were
- 19 young people. But you're right, there is a continuity,
- 20 yeah.
- 21 Q. The final three reports I want to show this afternoon
- all have something of a personal thread to them.
- 23 The first one is {UCPI0000013736}.
- This is an SDS report dated 23 January 1980.
- 25 A. Okay.

```
1
         Q. It's a report about a person called "Phil Evans", and it
 2
             reads:
                  "The following information has been received from
 3
             a reliable source."
 4
 5
         Α.
             Yeah.
             "Phil Evans, a long-standing member of
 6
         Q.
 7
             the Socialist Workers Party, lives at ..."
                 Address redacted:
 8
                  "A single man, he is employed as a sub-editor by
 9
10
             a publishing firm called Engineering Today Ltd ..."
                 The address is given.
11
12
                 Paragraph 3 goes on to say:
13
                  "Although a committed revolutionary socialist, Evans
14
             rarely plays an active part in SWP activities, but
15
             conveys his politics by means of cartoons submitted to
             left wing publications. He has recently completed
16
17
             a series of cartoons to be included in a book written by
18
             Tariq Ali, entitled 'Trotsky for Beginners'."
19
                 Paragraph 4:
20
                  "The subject's girlfriend remains ..."
21
                 Name redacted:
22
                  "... also a member of the SWP, who is a primary
23
             school teacher employed by the London Borough of
24
             Newham ..."
25
                 That person" lives at details redacted:
```

2 Name redacted. Paragraph 5: "The photograph of Evans on file remains a very good 3 likeness, although he is now clean-shaven. 4 5 "6. Enquiries made of the voters register for ..." Privacy redactions: 6 7 "... show [privacy] and [privacy] to reside at the address." 8 And then there are a list of file names and file 9 10 references. Is it right that Mr Evans helped to illustrate your book "Trotsky for Beginners"? 11 12 Yes. Α. 13 Q. And at the risk of labouring the question, what is your 14 reaction to the SDS submitting a report about a man on 15 the basis that he has illustrated a cartoon book "Trotsky for Beginners"? 16 It's grotesque. And mentioning his girlfriend, which is 17 Α. 18 equally irrelevant, is just improper. I mean, so what? Q. Can we go -- can we take that down, please, and can we 19 go to {UCPI0000011089} 20 21 This is a report dated 27 July 1977. It's signed by a person who was a chief inspector of the SDS. Its 22 23 subject is the "International Marxist Group", and it 24 reads:

"The following information has been received from

"... in the house owned by [privacy] and [privacy]."

1

25

- a reliable source:

 2 "2. 'In 1972, Tariq Ali lent the IMG bookshop £3,000

 in the form of a three-year interest-free loan, in order
- in the form of a three-year interest-free loan, in order
 to cover its 'temporary' trading deficit. To date this
 sum has not been repaid.'"
- There's then a reference to your Special Branch
 file?
- A. What can one say? How could that be relevant to

 anything? It's perfectly accurate. The IMG bookshop

 was in trouble, I'd got some royalties from book sales,

 etc, and I gave them a loan. Full stop. And it was -
 I mean, maybe it hadn't been repaid by 7 -- 1977, but it

 was paid back in full by 1980 or '81.
- Q. Could we take that document down, please.
- This is the final document I wish to show today.

 It's {MPS-0734594}.
- Mr Ali, I'm grateful to you for consenting to us showing this document today.
- 19 A. Okay.
- Q. This is a Special Branch Special Report dated

 18 October 1968. The subject is recorded as,

 "Tariq Ali". It's signed by a Sergeant Fisher, who was

 a member of the SDS, countersigned by Conrad Dixon,

 the chief inspector of the SDS. It's marked that a copy
- should be sent to box 500, which is

1		the Security Service, and that a copy should be put on
2		a file reference, with which you are familiar.
3		Can we go to the top of the report. It reads:
4		"On 25th September, 1968 information was received in
5		Special Branch from Superintendent 'TD' to the effect
6		that Tariq Ali had an intimate contact at [privacy]
7		Teachers Training College, [privacy]. Chief
8		Superintendent minute dated 29.9.1968 directs that
9		enquiries be made to establish the identity of this
10		person.
11		"Enquiries show that this person is [privacy],
12		a second-year student at the college, who lives at
13		[privacy], [privacy]. He was until recently
14		the President of the college Students Union but it is
15		understood that he has now resigned this position.
16		"He has not previously come to the notice of
17		Special Branch."
18		May I ask, Mr Ali, for your reaction to this
19		document?
20	Α.	It is total fiction. I can't I can't even believe
21		it. I spoke at lots of teachers training colleges, but
22		to suggest that I had intimate contact with a students
23		union male students union president is completely
24		false. I have never been gay or bisexual. Though I do
25		admit that if this information were released today, it

- 1 would increase my popularity given the current change in
- 2 climate. But, I mean, it's bizarre. There is no truth
- 3 to it whatsoever.
- 4 So why on earth did they put this out? Possible use
- for blackmail? But why? I wouldn't -- if I was gay,
- I wouldn't have been worried about it. It had been
- 7 legalised, I think, in any case, homosexuality by
- 8 Roy Jenkins in '67. So what's the big deal?
- 9 But, I mean, leaving that aside, it's completely
- 10 false. Completely false. And you know, it's -- either
- 11 Fisher made it up and sent it to Dixon, or God knows
- what, what happened? These people should be writing
- 13 collections of bad short stories. I mean, it really
- doesn't make any sense at all.
- 15 Q. Thank you.
- 16 Could we take the document down, please.
- 17 Mr Ali, my final question to you this afternoon is
- that I know when you made your witness statement you had
- only those documents which named you.
- 20 A. Mm.
- Q. But you've now had sight of a much larger bundle of
- documents prepared for our Phase 1 hearings.
- A. Mm-hm.
- Q. Is there anything else that you would like to add,
- 25 having had access to those documents?

A. No, but what I -- what I would like to say, to be

perfectly frank with you, I haven't -- I'm working on

a book at the moment and I haven't been able to go

through them all as thoroughly as I would like, because

as you've already demonstrated, a lot of them are quite

repetitive or irrelevant.

But what I would like to just share with you is a strange event that happened which dates to '80/'81, is that many years later, in I think the -- '95 or '96, I was going for a jog round Hampstead Heath and I was stopped by a person, a male, younger than me, and he said, "Are you so and so?", and I said, "I am", and he said, "I'm sorry to interrupt your running, but can I -- I have something important to say to you." So I said, "Say it". And he said, "My wife used to work at GCHQ, and can I just ask you two questions to confirm you are the person? Did you, in 1980, break up with your then partner and you had a young daughter, six years old?" I said, "I did, why?"

And he said, "It is you. My wife was detailed to listen to all your phone conversations. And she listened quite a few days to your daughter crying, you crying, you reading stories to her, your daughter pleading with you to come back to the -- to your old apartment," etc. "And she finally couldn't take it and

- said, 'I didn't join GCHQ to spy on people,' and gave in
- 2 her resignation." So I said, "Well, give her a big hug
- from me." I had no idea that I was still being --
- 4 you know, under -- under surveillance.
- 5 MR BARR: Thank you. Those are all my questions.
- 6 THE CHAIRMAN: We will break for 15 minutes.
- 7 Mr Ali, your own counsel is entitled to ask you
- 8 questions arising out of your questioning, and I think
- 9 he has some questions for you. I have one or two that
- 10 I'd like to ask you.
- 11 A. Certainly.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Could we do that in about quarter of an hour.
- 13 A. Fine. In 15 minutes, yeah.
- MS PURSER: Thank you, everyone. We will now take a break
- and we will resume at 3.30.
- 16 (3.16 pm)
- 17 (A short break)
- (3.30 pm)
- 19 MS PURSER: Welcome back, everyone. I will now hand over to
- the Chairman to continue proceedings.
- 21 Chairman.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
- 23 Mr Menon, do you have any questions of Mr Ali?
- 24 Questions by MR MENON
- 25 MR MENON: Yes, please.

- 1 Mr Ali, can you hear me and see me?
- 2 A. Yeah, I can hear you very well.
- 3 Q. Thank you.
- 4 Did the Vietnam Solidarity Campaign have its own
- 5 office?
- 6 A. Yes, it did.
- 7 Q. To the best of your knowledge, was that office ever
- 8 raided by the police?
- 9 A. No, it wouldn't be raided by the police if they had
- infiltrators working inside. And if these infiltrators,
- as was made clear to me in a BBC television interview by
- 12 Peter Hennessy, one of the police -- undercover police
- had keys to the office, so they wouldn't need to raid
- 14 it.
- Q. I'll come back to the keys in a minute, Mr Ali. But can
- I just ask you this: thinking back to 1968, was there
- 17 any suspicion amongst those of you in leadership
- 18 positions in the VSC about the office being secretly
- searched by either the police or MI5?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. You were taken by Counsel to the Inquiry to your
- 22 autobiography, "Street Fighting Years", where you spoke
- about the raid on the officers of Black Dwarf in or
- about September 1968; do you recall?
- 25 A. I didn't get that properly.

- 1 Q. I'm saying that you were taken by
- 2 Counsel to the Inquiry --
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. -- to a section of your autobiography where you spoke
- 5 about the raid on the Black Dwarf offices in or about
- 6 September 1968?
- 7 A. Ah yes, yes, yes.
- 8 Q. Were you present at the offices at the time of that
- 9 raid?
- 10 A. No, I think I wasn't. I was out of the office when
- 11 the raid took place, but I came in soon afterwards, so
- the police were still there.
- 13 Q. Can you remember whether the police had a search warrant
- 14 for that raid?
- 15 A. I think they did, yeah.
- Q. Did they tell you why they were raiding the offices of
- 17 Black Dwarf?
- 18 A. They said it had been reported to them that the offices
- 19 were being used for violent purposes. And at which
- 20 point they tore down the poster on the wall and revealed
- 21 the Molotov cocktail that had been painted on it.
- Q. And how far was the search of the office?
- 23 A. They did go through quite a lot of stuff. I mean, we
- 24 stood aside. But, I mean, it was -- it's -- they didn't
- 25 go into every single nook and corner, they just opened

- desks, looked at a few sheafs, opened a few files. It
- 2 didn't last that long.
- 3 Q. And did they seize anything?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Turning, then, to the "True Spies" documentary that you
- just mentioned. You were interviewed by
- 7 the journalist -- it was Peter Taylor by the way?
- 8 A. Peter Taylor.
- 9 Q. In 2002. And do you remember in that documentary
- a Special Branch officer who used the pseudonym "Wilf"
- 11 saying the following -- and I quote:
- "There was a government building not far from
- the House of Commons. It was called "the bunker". It
- 14 was the most miserable place on earth. It was
- 15 the nearest thing to a subterranean, underground car
- park you've ever seen. And that's where we sat, with
- just banks and banks of tape recorders running all
- 18 the time. And that's all you did. You just tapped
- 19 those kinds of people, people high up who were
- 20 the organisers. 'The brains' I suppose you would call
- 21 them. Tariq Ali was tapped for a long time."
- 22 So did you know in 1968, or did you suspect in 1968
- 23 that your phone was tapped?
- A. Yeah. I remember we did a few things to prove that.
- 25 And one of them was as follows. They're just to ensure

- 1 -- I mean to find out. We had a fake phone conversation
- and said, "Let's go to place X" or "Y at 12.30 tonight,
- 3 to just recce the area in case we need to do something
- 4 drastic later," that's all. And we did go, on
- 5 a motorbike, I remember -- a friend of mine who had
- 6 a motorbike, circled. And as you can guess, there was
- 7 a police car there.
- 8 So, I mean, it was a stupid test, but we did it.
- 9 And later on it was sort of more or less public
- 10 knowledge that our phones were -- our phones were being
- 11 tapped.
- 12 Q. So in 1968, you suspected your phone was being tapped,
- 13 you suspected that your post was being opened and
- the police had raided your workplace, namely
- 15 the Black Dwarf office. Is that a fair summation of
- 16 the position?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Turning then to the keys incident. You were told by
- 19 Peter Taylor during the "True Spies" documentary that an
- 20 undercover spy had copied the office keys to the IMG and
- 21 you were asked about that. I hope it's fair to say that
- 22 you looked visibly stunned when you were told that, and
- 23 you said the following, you said, "It's quite amazing,
- it's quite amazing".
- Did you have any idea, before you were told by

- 1 Peter Taylor --
- 2 A. No, I had no idea that the keys to the IMG office had
- 3 been copied, and, you know, anyone could go and come in.
- 4 Not that we were hiding anything, but it was just such
- 5 an invasion of privacy really.
- 6 Q. Well, Peter Taylor then said to you, "Why do you think
- 7 it's amazing?", and this is what you said -- and
- 8 I quote:
- 9 "Well, it's always a bit awful when someone who you
- 10 trust completely -- and he must have been trusted to
- 11 have had a key to that office and to have been allowed
- 12 access to it -- and the person is completely betraying
- 13 you all the time. It's a -- it's a big distressing,
- 14 especially as obviously he must have been liked, he must
- have made friends. So I'm wondering now who it was,
- because one's memory fades about who the people were."
- Now, my question is this. Given that you were
- 18 aware, as an activist, about the state regarding anyone
- who was opposed to it as an enemy, what was particularly
- 20 shocking about this undercover spy copying the keys of
- 21 the IMG office and the inevitable burglary at that
- office, that must have followed, by MI5?
- 23 A. It was shocking in the sense that, you know, there are
- some obvious things which one's read about: phone
- 25 tapping, opening of post, sometimes, you know, being

- followed places, to meetings, etc. But to have that
- 2 much access to what was after all a totally legitimate
- office of a democratic organisation, it's --"shocking"
- 4 may have been, you know, a slightly wrong word that
- 5 I was taken by surprise when they told me this, but
- 6 certainly you feel invaded and it leaves an unpleasant
- 7 feeling.
- 8 Q. And you went on to describe in that interview
- 9 the undercover spies' inability to regard what he had
- done as a betrayal as "a form of fundamentalism", you
- 11 called it, "the subordination of everything to your
- 12 political aim". What did you mean by that?
- 13 A. Can you repeat that? Because -- I mean, your sound is
- 14 not so good.
- 15 Q. I'm so sorry. I'll repeat it.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. When you were told by Peter Taylor that the undercover
- spy didn't regard what he had done as an act of
- 19 betrayal, you described that as "a form of
- 20 fundamentalism", "the subordination of everything to
- 21 your political aim". What did you mean by that, that it
- 22 was a form of fundamentalism?
- 23 A. I suppose what I meant was that it's something I could
- never do, and so to find people who were prepared to do
- it and still justify it in the name of something or

- 1 the other was a bit disturbing, that still had to be
- 2 justified, even though it was obvious that what they
- 3 were trying to -- what they were imagining or fantasying
- 4 about never took place and never happened.
- I mean, what could they have seen in our offices?
- 6 Nothing really. I mean, there was no big secret.
- 7 I mean, were we a terrorist group preparing bombs, okay,
- 8 I would understand. But this was not the case.
- 9 Q. We now know, Mr Ali, that the officer who copied
- 10 the keys used the cover name "Dick Epps". Does that
- 11 name ring any bells?
- 12 A. No, it doesn't to me. My own feeling is that if he was
- 13 working closely with full-time workers in that office,
- either print workers who were printing the newspaper, or
- others, he might not have mingled too much with people
- who were more involved in the sort of political work of
- 17 the organisation, speaking on its behalf. He doesn't --
- I haven't seen a photograph of him, and --
- 19 Q. That was my next question. Might a photograph of
- 20 "Dick Epps" at the time, in terms of what he looked like
- at the time, might that help you, and others who were in
- 22 the IMG --
- 23 A. It might -- it might help to recognise him, but the name
- 24 certainly doesn't ring a bell.
- Q. Can I go back to the Vietnam War -- and forgive this

- 1 question, it's rather a silly question, but I do think
- 2 it's important that we hear what you have to say
- 3 about it. Why were you opposed to the American war on
- 4 Vietnam? What specifically about that war made you so
- 5 strongly opposed to it?
- 6 A. Well, the first answer is that though it was a war being
- fought a long way away, we saw it on television every
- 8 single evening. The American networks covered that war
- 9 like no other war had ever been covered on television,
- and a lot of their journalists working for CBS, NBC,
- 11 were used by the BBC, so often what was being seen on
- 12 American television screens was being repeated here.
- And of course the BBC also had its own correspondents
- some very distinguished ones reporting from Vietnam.
- And what we saw every day was non-stop bombing, use
- of napalm, use of chemical weapons, the burning out of
- 17 whole villages, with women and children rushing out
- 18 screaming in agony. And I remember one CBS reporter
- 19 Morley Safer describing and filming Marines burning a
- 20 village, killing people, and he couldn't resist it --
- 21 and it was broadcast -- saying, "And this is what we are
- fighting for: freedom".
- So, that, you know, mobilised not just me but
- others. I, don't forget, had been to Vietnam, and
- 25 been -- you know, seen the bombing. They had been in --

- 1 underground in a shelter while places like hospitals 2 were being bombed, so we were very worked up about it.
- And the scale of violence and torture was
- astonishing, really. At that time. When people moaned 4
- 5 about to be tortured in Abu Ghraib, in Iraq, many years
- later, it was awful, but it was as if they were 6
- 7 imagining this is the first time this has happened and
- 8 not that it was part of a routine: when you occupy
- a country, this is what happens. 9
- 10 Q. Thank you, sir.

3

- Returning to the March 1968 march, had the route 11
- 12 that the march took that day been agreed with the police
- 13 in advance?
- 14 The route had been agreed with the police in advance. Α.
- And did the pre-agreed route include entry into 15 Ο.
- 16 Grosvenor Square?
- Yeah, the agreed route had us entering Grosvenor Square 17 Α.
- 18 from just one of the streets -- I think
- South Audley Street, possibly. But the demonstration 19
- was very large; we hadn't expected such a huge number. 20
- 21 So we tried to convince the police to let you march down
- the other way, they said no. There were scuffles and 22
- demonstration -- the demonstrators finally pushed 23
- 24 through.
- 25 Q. We watched, during the opening statement of

- 1 Counsel to the Inquiry, some footage of that March 1968
- demonstration, which included a police line across
- 3 the bottom of -- in fact it was North Audley Street,
- 4 just before --
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. -- one entered Grosvenor Square. Were you at the front
- 7 of that demonstration?
- 8 A. I was.
- 9 Q. And can you describe what happened when the front of
- 10 that demonstration reached that police line that was --
- 11 A. Well, there was a spontaneous push forward, really, that
- people said, "We're not going to be stopped now, we're
- not going to wait in a queue". No orders were given or
- 14 anything. I was surprised at being pushed so hard. And
- before we knew, just the weight of the demonstration had
- 16 pushed the police line apart.
- 17 Q. And then people entered the central space --
- 18 A. The central space, yeah. It was entered and occupied.
- 19 Q. But did you remain in Grosvenor Square for an hour or
- 20 more afterwards?
- 21 A. Pardon?
- 22 Q. Did you remain in Grosvenor Square --
- A. In the centre space?
- 24 O. Yes.
- 25 A. I did.

- Q. In terms of any violence that you saw, who was committing it?
- Well, the mounted -- well, it depends. The -- without 3 Α. 4 any doubt, the demonstrators in the centre space, 5 the actual square, pushed on the police lines in front of them, who were guarding the Embassy. There's no 6 7 doubt about that. We pushed first. They then pushed 8 back, but then as more and more demonstrators kept entering the square, I think they were fearful that they 9 10 might not be able to contain the demonstration and they sent in the mounted police. Once that happened, then 11 12 there was chaos, and that's -- the worst violence was 13 when the mounted police came into the square. And then 14 the arrests began, snatch squads -- squads were sent in
- Q. From your experience, having, I assume, attended many
 previous demonstrations, what was different about what
 happened in Grosvenor Square in March 1968 in comparison
 with those earlier demonstrations?

to pick up people, etc.

15

A. Well, I guess what was different was -- you know, by

"earlier", let's talk about the 50s and 60s, where there

had been clashes between the police and some of

the CND people who had penetrated the underground

shelters -- atomic shelters called the RSGs, but not

much.

There had been some violence, before I came to

Britain, which I read about, when student demonstrators

attacked the visiting Greek Royal Family, accusing them

of having been fascists during the Second World War and

people were arrested.

But what was new about the March '68 demonstration was that a very large number of people were insistent on determining their own agenda and not being pushed around. And the violence was actually minimal, to be --compared to what happened later in the poll tax riots, or the black ghettos. But that was enough to create shock waves, that this was actually happening in Grosvenor Square outside the US Embassy. That's about it.

I mean, lots of police, people I ran into who had been at that demonstration, years later, they would say, "Oh, that was nothing compared to what we had to face later in X or Y; ours seemed very dignified".

"Dignified" is not the word I would have used, but I know what they meant.

Q. You were asked this morning by Counsel to the Inquiry -again taking you to your autobiography -- about there
having been talk about potentially, if possible,
entering the US Embassy and engaging there in
non-violent civil disobedience and direct action, a few

- 1 minutes or so until you were inevitably thrown out of
- 2 the Embassy. Why did you believe at the time, Mr Ali,
- 3 that that was legitimate protest against
- 4 the Vietnam War?
- 5 A. Well, (a) because the Vietnamese had done the same
- 6 things themselves in Saigon in February 1968, (b) to
- 7 show politicians and citizens in the United States, as
- 8 well as our own Labour government, that there was real
- 9 anger at this war continuing. That was the only aim.
- 10 Q. Thank you.
- 11 Can I have on the screen, please, the following
- 12 document {MPS-0739150}. Thank you.
- 13 Mr Ali, you were shown this document earlier by
- 14 Counsel to the Inquiry, and it concerns a man whose name
- is [name redacted on privacy grounds] -- I don't think
- if that rings any bells -- who was handing out a leaflet
- 17 outside the meeting of the Notting Hill Vietnam
- 18 Solidarity Campaign and was subsequently charged, as you
- 19 can see from this page, with incitement to riot. Does
- that name ring any bells?
- 21 A. What was his name again?
- 22 Q. [name redacted on privacy grounds]?
- A. The name means absolutely nothing to me.
- 24 Q. It's spelled [name redacted on privacy ground] in case
- 25 I'm mispronouncing it.

- 1 A. [name redacted on privacy grounds]?
- 2 Q. [name redacted on privacy grounds].
- A. [name redacted on privacy grounds].
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 A. Ah, now that makes it a bit different, because the name
- 6 wasn't given. He's vaguely familiar -- his name. He
- 7 was active in the Black Power Movement, used to wear
- 8 a beret and dark glasses, if my memory is right. I'm
- 9 really amazed he was distributing this sort of stuff.
- I knew him, but not very well.
- 11 Q. I don't think there's any suggestion that he was
- involved in the Vietnam Solidarity Campaign.
- 13 A. Right. No, he certainly wasn't. He was -- I think he
- 14 was in a Maoist group, yeah. And some Black Power group
- as well.
- 16 Q. Turning then to the October 1968 demonstration, Mr Ali.
- Was the route, as far as that demonstration was
- 18 concerned, also agreed in advance with the police?
- 19 A. Yes, very much so.
- Q. You were asked some questions this morning about, well,
- 21 the gathering in Sheffield where the final decision was
- 22 made about that route. Was there anything secret about
- 23 that route?
- A. Well, no, as far as we were concerned it was, you know,
- 25 a normal route to take.

- 1 Q. I'm just going to show you the Vietnam Solidarity
- 2 Campaign bulletin that was released before
- the demonstration. The reference is {UCPI0000034085}.
- 4 Does this look familiar?
- 5 A. Yeah, it does, yeah. Very much so.
- 6 Q. Could we turn to page 12, please, of this bulletin.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Menon, forgive me for interrupting you.
- 8 You mentioned a name which was redacted on privacy
- grounds. The Inquiry has no knowledge of the individual
- 10 concerned other than what is recorded in the by now
- 11 rather ancient papers. Is there a reason for mentioning
- 12 his name publicly?
- 13 MR MENON: Well, firstly, I don't believe there's any
- 14 restriction order in relation to his name, otherwise
- I wouldn't have named it.
- And secondly, without naming him I wouldn't have
- 17 been able to ask Mr Ali any questions about it. And
- 18 sir, I believe he's deceased.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if he is deceased, then no conceivable
- 20 question of privacy rights can arise, or data protection
- 21 rights can arise. If your belief is correct, then no
- 22 harm has been done.
- I don't propose to do any more about it at this
- stage, but could those, including yourself, who ask
- 25 about people whose names have been redacted from

- documents please bear in mind that where they're said to
- 2 be redacted on privacy grounds, they're redacted to
- 3 protect the rights of the individuals and nothing at all
- 4 to do with secrecy or the state.
- 5 MR MENON: I'm sorry, sir, if I was not supposed to do that.
- 6 I thought I was entitled to do that, because there's no
- 7 restriction order, he's deceased and his name is in
- 8 the public domain. It's all over the internet.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: That's not known to me.
- 10 If he's deceased, no problem arises, but could I ask
- 11 you and, by raising this example with you, others who
- 12 have to ask questions about living individuals whose
- 13 names have been redacted from documents to exercise
- caution about it lest their data protection and privacy
- rights are infringed by the question.
- MR MENON: Message received and understood, sir.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Please continue.
- 18 MR MENON: I was asking for page 12 {UCPI0000034085/12},
- 19 please, of this bulletin to be put on the screen, if
- 20 possible. Thank you.
- 21 Mr Ali, you'll see here reproduced the press
- 22 statement of the VSC about 25 days before
- 23 the demonstration. Do you see that at the top?
- 24 A. Yeah.
- Q. And you can see here that it set out, just looking down,

- 1 what has been agreed by the ad hoc committees, the route
- of the demonstration, etc; do you see that?
- 3 A. Yeah -- no, I can't see that. I can't see the route --
- 4 oh yes, no, no, I can. I can, yeah.
- 5 Q. If you just scroll down a bit further, you'll be able to
- 6 see more descriptions about that.
- 7 The reason I've shown you this is to ask you this
- 8 question. In your opinion, given the VSC was completely
- 9 open, upfront and transparent about the route that it
- 10 was seeking to march down that day, in your opinion,
- 11 was there any need for police spies to be attending VSC
- 12 meetings to determine what was being discussed and
- decided as far as the route is concerned?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Thank you.
- 16 A. I already said that. No, most of this information was
- 17 handed out publicly, and often the newspapers published
- 18 partial reports from the VSC, just as information.
- 19 Q. Thank you.
- Jumping ahead then to 1979, you were asked some
- 21 questions not long ago about the anti-fascist
- demonstration in Southall in April 1979 and your
- 23 attendance there. I just want to read you what you say
- in your witness statement about this. I'll give
- 25 the reference, but there's no need to put it up on

- 1 the screen. The reference of your witness statement is
- 2 {UCPI0000034187} and this is what you say at
- 3 paragraph 179 of that statement:
- 4 "The killing of Blair Peach, an East London school
- 5 teacher, by police officers from the Commissioner's
- 6 'Special Patrol Group' ... and the subsequent cover up
- 7 is one of the most despicable events in the history of
- 8 the Metropolitan Police."
- 9 Do you stand by that observation?
- 10 A. Well, it was at that time, in '69, certainly. I mean,
- 11 a lot more has happened since then. But yeah, at
- 12 that -- at the time it happened, not just me but many,
- many other people who were not even supportive of us
- 14 were shocked by that.
- 15 Q. Are you speaking about the brutality of his murder, or
- are you talking about something else?
- 17 A. No, no, the brutality of Blair Peach's killing by
- the SPG.
- 19 Q. You mentioned, when asked questions about this by
- 20 Counsel to the Inquiry, how you were actually in a house
- 21 at some stage --
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. -- with members of the Misty In Roots band when that
- house was raided by the police. Were you ever told why
- 25 that house was raided by the police?

- 1 A. Was I ever told?
- Q. Why that house was raided by the police.
- 3 A. Well, no, we were never told that, and no one -- no
- 4 police officer felt the need to inform us. I don't
- 5 think anyone knew. Basically, I think that they had
- 6 decided that they had to make an example of
- 7 the anti-fascist demonstrators. Why they decided to
- 8 come into that house -- to punish the band, or myself,
- 9 or both -- I don't know, but that's what they did.
- 10 Q. Thank you.
- 11 Finally on this topic, could we have on the screen,
- 12 please, the following document {UCPI0000020990}. We
- just looked at this a few minutes ago, Mr Ali. Can we
- just go down, please. Just pausing there for a minute.
- 15 At paragraph 5, you are one of two persons
- unredacted who were identified as being present at this
- 17 meeting in Camden after Blair Peach's murder. Do you
- 18 see that?
- 19 A. Mm-hm.
- 20 Q. And we can see there, after your name, a reference.
- 21 "RF" stands for registry file, which means that there
- was a Special Branch or MI5 file opened on you, and we
- 23 can see the number "65", which suggests that that file
- was opened in 1965; do you see that?
- 25 A. Yeah.

- Q. I think, in 1965, you were still a student at Oxford; is
- 2 that right?
- 3 A. I was.
- 4 Q. And then at paragraph 6 we can see that Blair Peach is
- 5 the subject of, and he too seems to have had a registry
- file opened in 1978; do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And it says:
- 9 "The East London Teachers Association has come to
- 10 the notice of Special Branch on numerous occasions."
- 11 Do you see that?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- Q. Do you have any comment to make on the fact that
- 14 apparently Special Branch were spying on school
- 15 teachers?
- 16 A. Yeah, I mean, I have no idea what they hoped to gain
- 17 from that. Whether the East London Teachers Association
- 18 had some special activities they'd engaged in ...
- 19 I don't think so. I mean, there were lots of -- I mean,
- 20 the teachers in that period were quite active and
- 21 militant as a trade union, so I have no idea what
- 22 the Special Branch decided to spy on them.
- Q. Thank you.
- Jumping ahead then to 2003, could we have
- the following document on the screen, please

- 1 {MPS-0018070}. We looked at this earlier.
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. It seems to be a police report on a meeting of the Stop
- 4 the War Coalition Steering Committee in March 2003, in
- 5 other words after the outbreak of the war; do you see
- 6 that?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. And just scrolling down there we can see your name, and
- 9 then the redacted names of various other people who were
- 10 elected onto that steering committee.
- 11 A. Mm-hm.
- 12 Q. Do you have an independent recollection of that meeting,
- 13 Mr Ali?
- 14 A. No, none whatsoever. I mean, it was a fairly standard
- issue meeting, probably, which is why I don't remember
- it, and we must have discussed normal routine matters.
- 17 There's nothing significant about it.
- 18 Q. Were the steering committee meetings of the Stop the War
- 19 Coalition open meetings, or were they meetings only of
- 20 those who were actually members of that steering
- 21 committee?
- 22 A. They were meetings restricted to those who were actually
- on the -- on the committee.
- Q. Well, that must mean that this police report was
- 25 obtained either from somebody on that steering

- 1 committee, or more likely from an electronic covert
- device of some kind, spying on this meeting.
- 3 A. Yeah, I mean, this is just an account of the --
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: (inaudible) interrupting, but I must ask you
- 5 to pause a moment.
- 6 Mr Menon, as you well know,
- 7 the Investigatory Powers Act, section 56, prohibits any
- 8 reference to the use of intercept -- or prohibits any
- 9 reference to intercept-related activity unless I, as
- 10 Chairman, deem it exceptionally necessary to permit
- 11 the reference to be made. You haven't raised this
- 12 question with me. You will be committing an offence if
- 13 you persist. I would warn you not to.
- MR MENON: Sir, I'm not asking about an intercept. I mean,
- this is clearly not an intercept. This is, it appears,
- a recording device at this meeting. It's a covert
- 17 recording device, it's not an intercept, and I am
- 18 entitled to ask questions about that under
- 19 the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act. It's not --
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: I interrupted too quickly. I had
- 21 misunderstood the purpose of your question. Of course
- 22 you may ask about a planted recording device.
- 23 MR MENON: Thank you.
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: There's no prohibition on that --
- 25 MR MENON: I'm not suggesting that there was an

1	interception, I make it clear. I'm asking about
2	the possibility of there having been a covert recording
3	device wherever the Stop the War Coalition Steering
4	Committee was meeting, and no further than that.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: You're perfectly entitled to do that
6	MR MENON: (Overspeaking)
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Pause a moment, please. I apologise for
8	using you, as it were, as the template for a lot of
9	these difficulties, but I'm afraid that you've raised
10	two of them in the course of your questions. Please
11	proceed.
12	MR MENON: No offence taken. Thank you very much.
13	Mr Ali, if this meeting was being covertly recorded
14	by the Special Branch or MI5, what do you have to say
15	about that?
16	A. You know, it puzzles me really why they need to do this.
17	I mean, the Stop the War Coalition has been completely
18	open in what it's doing, what its aims are. It was set
19	up in the open after a big public meeting at at
20	the Quakers Hall in on Euston Road, Friends Meeting
21	House, and we announced it at that time, "To anyone
22	who's interested in joining, please " etc, etc. So
23	I don't see any need for having it infiltrated. It
24	there's no one has accused it of planning any acts of

violence, or anything remotely connected to that.

- 1 Q. Thank you, Mr Ali.
- 2 Final question. Could we please have on the screen
- 3 your witness statement {UCPI0000034187}. Thank you.
- 4 And could we have the second page, please
- 5 {UCPI0000034187/2}.
- 6 Mr Ali, you will see there paragraph 3 -- I'm just
- 7 going to read it out:
- 8 "Having now considered the very precisely
- 9 targeted Rule 9 questions asked of me by this Inquiry,
- 10 my strong feeling is that this Inquiry is likely to be
- 11 a monumental waste of time. This is because
- the direction of travel is clear from the questions --
- 13 to dissect the politics of the victims of police spying,
- and therefore to turn the spotlight away from
- 15 the actions of the police. This is the politics
- of 'blame the victim'. And no doubt I and others will
- 17 be declared guilty. Even 50 years on, the State is
- 18 fighting exactly the same battle it was engaged in in
- 19 1968. This comes as not the least surprise."
- 20 Can I ask you this: given the questions that you've
- 21 been asked today, have you changed your view that
- the state and the Inquiry are seeking to, to use your
- 23 words "dissect the politics of the victims of police
- 24 spying", "turn the spot slight away from the actions of
- 25 the police", and "fighting exactly the same battle" in

- which the state was engaged in 1968"?
- 2 A. Well, I think, given the questions I was asked earlier,
- I would say that some of these questions posed were
- 4 quite relevant in -- in fact, which is positive, as far
- 5 as I'm concerned.
- 6 And, you know, when I said "is likely to be
- 7 a monumental waste of time", I should have added "for
- 8 me", not for any of the other participants. But I don't
- 9 think it has been a waste of time for me, I think it's
- 10 been quite important, and I hope that the final report
- 11 reflects, you know, the balance as was -- as we
- 12 discussed today, that much of the spying and
- infiltration, in my opinion, was totally unnecessary.
- MR MENON: Mr Ali, thank you very much. That's all I ask.
- 15 A. Thank you.
- 16 Questions by THE CHAIRMAN
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Menon.
- 18 Mr Ali, would you bear with me, please, for no more
- 19 than 10, and I hope not much more than five minutes?
- 20 A. Certainly. Let me just put the light on.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course.
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, may I explain the purpose of
- 24 Mr Barr's questioning. First of all, it is to ask
- 25 questions that the Inquiry wish to have your answer to.

1		Secondly, it is to raise topics which other
2		participants, in this case obviously police
3		participants, wish to have canvassed with the witness,
4		and hence some of the questions that Mr Barr asked you
5		were on topics that he had been asked to raise by
6		the police side.
7	Α.	Right.
8	THE	CHAIRMAN: Lest you think that the Inquiry is
9		investigating the victim rather than the police, can
10		I remind you of what The Times said that you said on
11		23 October 1968 and I quote:
12		"We are avoiding Grosvenor Square because it
13		inevitably leads to a punch up. We do not want
14		a confrontation with the police. What we want to see is
15		a peaceful demonstration."
16		Are those words accurately quoted?
17	A.	Yeah, completely accurate.
18	THE	CHAIRMAN: And from the questions that you were asked by
19		Mr Barr and the documents that you were taken through,
20		you may take it that I accept that that was a true
21		statement when made.
22	A.	Thank you.
23	THE	CHAIRMAN: Can I turn now to an utterly different topic
24		and seek your assistance. You have probably, amongst
25		academic and semi-academic historians, the greatest

knowledge of contemporary and recent Trotskyism of

anyone in England. My own knowledge of Trotskyism is

far and away -- second, third, fourth or even further

away -- from yours, but correct me if I'm wrong, and

I ask for your help on one or two questions.

First of all, my understanding is that the last time that Trotsky had any influence on a government was 1926, the year in which he was effectively removed from any exercise of power in the Soviet Union.

- A. Yeah, that is absolutely correct.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Secondly, before the Second World War, the only government anywhere in the world that had any Trotskyist influence upon it, as viewed by Stalin but not I think as viewed by Trotsky, was the republican government in Spain where it was thought that Andreu Nin and the POUM had at least some influence. They may or may not have done, but there was disagreement about whether or not he and they were Trotskyists.
 - A. Yeah, Trotsky denied that, because they weren't,
 you know, pure enough for him. But they, on the other
 hand, were very keen that Trotsky come to Spain and help
 rebuild their armies so they could fight against Franco.
 And I mean, Trotsky obviously knew that had he -the first minute he set foot in Spain, he would have
 been picked up either by the GPU, or by the Republicans

- or by the fascists, so he didn't go; it was not
- 2 feasible.
- 3 But the POUM, in my opinion, contained some of
- 4 the best and most sort of clear-sighted people in --
- 5 in -- on the Republican side at the time.
- 6 THE CHAIRMAN: But am I right in thinking that before
- 7 the Second World War that was the only government that
- 8 had any avowed Trotskyist influence?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Since the Second World War, I think there is
- 11 the Lanka Sama Samaja in Sri Lanka.
- 12 A. LSSP, yeah.
- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Which has contested ordinary democratic
- 14 elections for decades and occasionally supported
- governments, including that --
- 16 A. And been -- and been government Ministers.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, but performing perfectly ordinary
- 18 political (inaudible) in a democracy.
- 19 A. Yeah
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Now, you may know -- I don't -- about
- 21 Bolivia. Could you put, in two/three sentences, what --
- 22 A. Well, the Trotskyist influence was largely organised
- through the miners' union, which they controlled,
- 24 the POR. The party was called the POR, Partido Obrero
- 25 Revolucionario, Revolutionary Workers' Party. They were

- 1 very, very strong in the Bolivian tin miners' union, and
- the trade unions in general, and so whenever there was
- 3 even a slightly radical government, I'm not sure whether
- 4 they ever got a ministry, but they certainly
- 5 collaborated with it.
- 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Apart from those two instances, post-war, is
- 7 there any other foreign government that has been
- 8 influenced by avowed Trotskyists?
- 9 A. For a limited period, in Algeria, after independence,
- 10 the Ben Bella government, which came to power after
- 11 the French left, did have a very leading Greek
- 12 Trotskyist, Michel Raptis -- his underground name was
- 13 Michel Pablo, so he was known as "Pablo" -- who was, if
- not in the government, very close to it, and advised
- the Algerian government on going down a non-bureaucratic
- path of state enterprises by having an element of
- 17 democracy and workers' control right from the beginning,
- 18 which would improve both productivity and class
- 19 consciousness, was how he put it, and he remained close
- 20 to Ben Bella until Ben Bella was removed in a military
- 21 co up.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: You and others may wonder why I've been
- asking these questions. It's because of the definition
- of subversive activities given by Lord Harris in
- 25 the House of Commons in 1975, which is a definition of

1	subversion and subversive activities which has been
2	carried through the decades in this country, and
3	I simply wanted to see whether or not
4	A. Right.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: UK Trotskyists, even by reference to what
6	was going on abroad, fell within it or not. I'm
7	grateful for your answers and for your elucidation on
8	a rather arcane topic.
9	A. Thank you.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much for your patience, and
11	your questioning is now at an end.
12	A. Thank you very much. Thank you.
13	THE CHAIRMAN: And today's proceedings are at an end. We
14	will resume at 10.00 am tomorrow.
15	MS PURSER: Thank you very much, everyone. That concludes
16	the hearings for today. We will resume at 10 am
17	tomorrow.
18	(4.15 pm)
19	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am on Thursday,
20	12 November 2020)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2		
3	MR TARIQ ALI	. 5
4	Questions by MR BARR	. 5
5	Questions by MR MENON1	36
6	Questions by THE CHAIRMAN1	61
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		