1	Wednesday, 28 April 2021
2	(10.00 am)
3	MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone, and welcome to
4	the third day of evidential hearings in Tranche 1
5	Phase 2 at the Undercover Policing Inquiry. My name is
6	Neil Fernandes and I am the hearings manager.
7	For those of you in the virtual hearing room, please
8	turn off both your camera and microphone unless you're
9	invited to speak by the Chairman, as Zoom will pick up
LO	on all noises and you will be on screen.
L1	I now hand over to the Chairman, Sir John Mitting,
L2	to formally start proceedings.
L3	Chairman.
L4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. As at the beginning of every
L5	evidential session, a recording made last year is going
L6	to be played. For those listening to it for the first
L7	time, please listen carefully.
L8	I am conducting this Inquiry under a statute,
L9	the Inquiries Act 2005, which gives me the power to make
20	orders regulating the conduct of the Inquiry, including
21	its hearings. In the exercise of that power, I have
22	made a number of orders which affect what you may and
23	may not do in the hearing rooms and after you leave
24	them. Breach of any of the orders is a serious matter
25	and may have serious consequences for you.

If I am satisfied that a person may have breached an order, I have the power to certify the matter to the High Court, which will investigate and deal with it as if it had been a contempt of that court. If satisfied that a breach has occurred and merits the imposition of a penalty, the High Court may impose a severe sanction on the person in breach, including a fine, imprisonment for up to two years and sequestration of their assets.

Evidence is going to be given live over screens in the hearing rooms. It is strictly prohibited to photograph or record what is shown on the screens, or to record what is said by a witness or anyone else in the hearing rooms. You may bring your mobile telephone into the hearing rooms, but you may not use it for any of those purposes. You may use is silently for any other purpose. In particular, you may transmit your account of what you have seen and heard in a hearing room to any other person, but only once at least ten minutes have elapsed since the event which you are describing took place.

This restriction has a purpose. In the course of the Inquiry, I have made orders prohibiting the public disclosure of information, for example about the identity of a person, for a variety of reasons.

These orders must be upheld. It is inevitable that,
whether by accident or design, information which I have
ordered should not be publicly disclosed will sometimes
be disclosed in a hearing. If and when that happens,
I will immediately suspend the hearing and make an order
prohibiting further disclosure of the information
outside the hearing rooms. The consequence will be that
no further disclosure of that information may be made by
mobile telephone or other portable electronic device
from within the hearing room, or by any means outside
it.

I am sorry if you find this message alarming. It is not intended to be. Its purpose is simply to ensure that everyone knows the rules which must apply if I am to hear the evidence which I need to enable me to get to the truth about undercover policing.

You, as members of the public, are entitled to hear the same public evidence as I will hear and to reach your own conclusions about it. The Inquiry team will do their best to ensure that you can.

If you have any doubt about the terms of this message or what you may or may not do, you should not hesitate to ask one of them and, with my help if necessary, they will provide you with the answer.

- 1 MR PIERS CORBYN (called)
- 2 Mr Corbyn, do you wish to be sworn or to affirm?
- I think you may be on mute.
- 4 Mr Corbyn, can you hear me?
- 5 A. I can.
- 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Splendid. Do you wish to be sworn or to
- 7 affirm?
- 8 A. To affirm, Sir.
- 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Then may that happen, please.
- 10 (Witness affirmed)
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Warner.
- 12 Questions by MR WARNER
- 13 MR WARNER: Thank you, Sir.
- Mr Corbyn, you have given us a 79-page statement,
- 15 dated last year, I believe.
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Before I ask you some questions, can you just confirm
- 18 please that the statement that you have given us is true
- 19 and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you.
- 22 Starting at the beginning of your political life, if
- I can, please --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- it's right, I think, that your political activism

- 1 began as a student in the late 1960s in London; is that
- 2 right?
- 3 A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. And in fact, the first march that you can recall attending was a Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament march?
- 6 A. Yes. I did attend such marches when I was at school,
- 7 actually, just before going to university, but those
- 8 were quite limited in my activities. Most of my
- 9 activities developed in university after the first two
- 10 years at university.
- 11 Q. I see.
- 12 And I think it's also right that you became
- the president of the Imperial College Student Union?
- 14 A. That's right. I was elected president for 1969 to 1970.
- 15 Q. And as part of that role and your political activities
- 16 at that time, you were involved in a number of
- 17 anti-nuclear campaigns and campaigns against chemical
- 18 and biological warfare?
- 19 A. Yes, yes. The campaigns against chemical and biological
- 20 warfare were -- were very important, sir, to me and
- 21 Imperial College and to all scientists. A -- Dr Stephen
- 22 Rose, later Professor Stephen Rose, was in
- 23 the biochemistry department, and he brought in a lot of
- information about these things, which was very useful.
- Q. You've told us in your statement as well that you

- 1 attended both of the Vietnam Solidarity Campaign marches
- 2 in 1968.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You've described that as the main issue of the day at
- 5 that time. Were you a member of the VSC?
- 6 A. Well, insofar as I was actively supporting it, yes, but
- 7 I wasn't -- if -- I don't think -- well, if it had
- 8 a membership structure, I wasn't part of that. I was
- 9 just an active campaigner for VSC, the Vietnam
- 10 Solidarity Campaign.
- 11 Q. So you didn't attend local or branch meetings or
- 12 anything of that nature for the VSC?
- 13 A. Yes, there would have been one or two local meetings,
- 14 yes, yes, but it wasn't like a membership organisation,
- if you see what I mean.
- 16 Q. I see.
- 17 A. The International Marxist Group was a membership
- 18 organisation though.
- 19 Q. Yes. We'll come on to that --
- 20 A. Of course.
- 21 Q. -- in a moment.
- Just focusing on the VSC marches, were you involved
- in organising them at all, or were you more of an
- 24 attendee at them?
- 25 A. I was more of an attendee and a mobiliser. I would

- 1 bring people along, bring along banners; and sometimes
- I might have been involved in stewarding, but that would
- 3 have been of the people I brought along, essentially.
- 4 O. On either of the marches in March or October of that
- 5 year, do you recall witnessing any violence or disorder?
- 6 A. No, although there was a big crowd around the American
- 7 Embassy in Grosvenor Square which the police tried to
- 8 disperse; they tried to prevent demonstrators getting to
- 9 Grosvenor Square. But I wouldn't say any violence was
- 10 initiated by demonstrators, it was the police trying to
- stop people going there.
- 12 Q. Is this the October demonstration in --
- 13 A. Yes, I think that was October either 1968 or 1969.
- 14 Probably October 1968.
- Q. Were you yourself in Grosvenor Square in October '68?
- 16 A. I was, yes.
- 17 Q. And were you aware of any weapons being used in
- 18 the course of --
- 19 A. No.
- Q. -- that demonstration?
- 21 Mr Corbyn, you've also said in your statement that
- 22 the IMG, the International Marxist Group, of which you
- 23 were a member --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- had been active within the Vietnam Solidarity

- 1 Campaign.
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. How did the two groups interact? Were they inextricably
- 4 linked or were they separate?
- 5 A. No, they were separate, because the VSC had a number of
- 6 different political groups operating in it and
- 7 supporting it, so it was what you might call a united
- 8 front of different groups, and I think individual --
- 9 individuals who just joined in and became organisers,
- 10 but I wasn't one of those.
- 11 Q. So is it fair to say the IMG was just one of these
- groups that were --
- 13 A. Just one, but probably the most influential.
- 14 Q. I see.
- And can I take it from that there was a lot of
- 16 crossover in terms of people supporting the VSC and
- 17 the IMG?
- 18 A. Yes, well, everyone in the IMG would have supported
- 19 the VSC, I would say, yes.
- Q. Right.
- 21 Mr Corbyn, are you able to say whether the IMG were
- involved in any of the disorder that happened in the VSC
- demonstrations in 1968?
- 24 A. No. I mean, the IMG's role always, historically, was to
- 25 mobilise people and make -- from that, make propaganda

- to a wider public on what was the nature of world
- conflict, the nature of US imperialism, and so forth.
- Q. I see.

time?

7

- In terms of your political life, did you become involved in the IMG and Spartacus League through the VSC, or were you involved before or at the same
- A. I was interested in the VSC because -- actually, at that
 time, you have to think, that was the thing going on in
 the world; and I remember at school we would have
 discussions about Vietnam, you know? It was a formative
 crisis for tens of thousands of young people at the
 time, and I was one of them.

14 Yeah, the reason why I joined the IMG wasn't specifically that, although of course I noted that 15 the IMG was close -- was an important force in the VSC. 16 17 I became editor of the University of London student 18 newspaper "Sennet" after being president of the student union. And it was around that time I noticed the IMG 19 and they got involved in helping doing some of 20 21 the things I was doing, and then I joined them in about 1970. It was after I was president of the student union 22 that I joined them, it wasn't during that time. 23

- 24 Q. I see.
- 25 Is it right that you became involved in

- the Spartacus League at around this time as well?
- 2 A. Yes, that's right. The Spartacus League. It was
- 3 originally misstated as something else in the evidence,
- 4 but we put it right in my statement here.
- 5 Yes, the Spartacus League was part of the IMG.
- A sort of recruiting youth section, if you like. And
- 7 I was an active member of that.
- 8 Q. So, just so that we can understand how it interacted
- 9 with the IMG, was it run by the IMG?
- 10 A. Yes, it was, it was run by them.
- 11 O. And it was -- you described it as a "youth section".
- 12 Can I take it from that --
- 13 A. Yeah.
- Q. -- it had a large student membership?
- 15 A. Yes, yes, that's correct.
- Q. Could you be a member of the Spartacus League without
- being a member of the IMG, or were the two --
- 18 A. You could. No, you could. In fact, quite a number of
- 19 people were, and I was that initially. And then, if you
- 20 proved your worth, the IMG would ask you if you wanted
- 21 to join the IMG as well.
- Q. Right.
- 23 So, can we take it from that that not all of
- the members of the Spartacus League necessarily went on
- to become IMG members?

- 1 A. That's correct. Some of them might have not been
- 2 interested in that. Some of them might have lost
- 3 interest in politics all together, but most
- 4 Spartacus League members would have become IMG members,
- 5 yes.
- 6 Q. I see.
- 7 Can we just have a look at a report that we have
- 8 recovered on the Spartacus League, and it will come up
- 9 on the screen.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 O. It is --
- 12 A. Well, I've got a very thick file here, so I don't need
- to look through that then; that's useful.
- Q. Don't worry too much about that. I'll just read
- the number out for the technician. It's {MPS/732360}
- and it's page 2 when it comes up, please,
- 17 $\{MPS/732360/2\}$.
- 18 A. Okay, thanks very much.
- 19 Q. Thank you.
- Now, Mr Corbyn, you can see this is a report from
- 21 January 1972?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. Of a meeting of the Notting Hill Spartacus League at 17A
- 24 Rendle Street; that was your home address, wasn't it?
- 25 A. That was my home address, yes, and we had a number of

- 1 meetings of the Notting Hill Spartacus League there.
- 2 And of course, I didn't realise at the time, but some of
- 3 the people in the Spartacus League were police agents,
- 4 and I was entertaining them in my flat unknowingly.
- 5 Q. Were you the organiser of the Notting Hill branch?
- 6 A. I was, yes.
- 7 Q. And --
- 8 A. Due to my recollection. Yes, yes. Yes, I am.
- 9 I remember a lot of detail actually in the past, but
- there will be some gaps, I think. But yes, I was
- 11 the organiser of Notting Hill Spartacus League, yes.
- 12 Q. And just in terms of what that would have entailed, are
- we talking about sort of keeping minutes and agendas and
- 14 things like that?
- 15 A. Yes, that type of thing. Lists of -- lists of members
- and contacts, telling people when there were meetings,
- 17 and then organising newspaper sales of -- of the IMG
- paper.
- 19 Q. I see.
- 20 A. Which was called -- well, it kept changing its name,
- 21 actually. I was -- it might have been Red Mole,
- 22 something like that, but it did change its name at
- various stages.
- Q. We can see at the end of the second paragraph that eight
- 25 persons were present at this particular meeting. Was

- 1 that a common attendance number for this group at this
- 2 time?
- 3 A. Yes, yes. Yes, half a dozen to a dozen, that sort of
- 4 numbers, yes, yes.
- 5 Q. All right.
- 6 I'm just going to read the third paragraph out for
- 7 the transcript. It reads:
- 8 "The meeting was chaired by Piers Corbyn and was
- 9 concerned with the future activities of the Notting Hill
- 10 Spartacus League Branch. [Privacy] briefly outlined
- 11 the activities of the Notting Hill Red Defence Group and
- this was followed by a deep and involved discussion of
- 13 SL ..."
- 14 Spartacus League:
- "... Assistance to the Group. Although [Privacy]
- took great pains to point out that the majority of
- 17 people involved in the Group were not members of
- 18 the International Marxist Group and were completely
- 19 non-sectarian and had no wish to be otherwise,
- 20 the discussion centred around how the Notting Hill
- 21 faction of the IMG could take over, run and use
- the organisation for recruitment to the IMG."
- 23 Can you recall, Mr Corbyn, what the Notting Hill Red
- 24 Defence Group was?
- 25 A. I think that was an idea that we wanted -- I might be

- wrong, but I think it was the same thing as what we
- 2 later called -- this is -- "People's Defence Group",
- 3 where, you see, because of the way the police behaved at
- 4 times in Notting Hill, in clearly a racist fashion
- 5 towards some of the population, that there should be
- 6 kind of independent people's policing and be called
- 7 that, the Red Defence Group. That's as far as
- 8 I remember. But otherwise, I -- yeah, I can't think
- 9 what the purpose of Red Defence Group was other than
- 10 that.
- 11 O. Just in terms of the last section of that paragraph,
- 12 that "the IMG could take over, run and use
- 13 the organisation", was this something that the IMG
- 14 frequently did with other groups?
- 15 A. Well, no. I mean, you see, this, of course, is written
- by a policeman, who was presumably reading into
- 17 a situation something which he might have wanted to
- 18 present to his superiors to confirm what they may
- 19 believe. I mean, I myself, when I was involved in these
- 20 things, was interested always in getting people to join
- in and stay active and make propaganda. The idea of
- 22 taking over groups wasn't something that I thought was
- 23 something that ought to be done, because independent
- 24 groups acting together, in my view, is a better way than
- 25 having a sort of -- well, takeovers, as you describe

- 1 there.
- 2 So I would say on that that it's likely
- 3 the policeman was -- or woman, whatever, was reading
- 4 into it too much.
- 5 Q. I think we know that this was a policeman
- 6 -- (overspeaking) --
- 7 A. Yeah, yeah, okay, we do, but -- well, I think we do, but
- 8 sometimes there were women involved in -- in these
- groups, so -- and the identity of the infiltrator has
- 10 not been revealed to me.
- 0. Mr Corbyn, can I ask this document --
- 12 A. If I saw the names of all the people that were present
- at those meetings, I would probably be able to identify
- 14 which one was the policeman. However, we've got a lot
- of redacted information on this -- these reports, which,
- 16 you know, I mean, if you're trying to have an inquiry
- 17 into what was going on in 1968, one needs to have
- 18 maximum information not limited information.
- 19 Q. Can I ask that this document's taken down, please. And
- 20 we're going to have a look at another report, please.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. It is {UCPI/15694}.
- 23 A. You've got a lot of documents in here. A lot of work
- has been done.
- 25 O. Yes, it has.

- 1 A. I haven't got diaries anything like -- in fact, I don't
- even have diaries of these things, so this is a useful
- diary of activities I was doing, even if through
- 4 the eyes of a policeman.
- Q. Mr Corbyn, this is what's described as an International
- 6 Marxist Group and Spartacus League conference.
- 7 A. Yeah. Can I move this screen a bit further forward so
- 8 that I can see it a bit better? Because the printing on
- 9 these things are not -- not really good. Yeah, that's
- 10 better. Okay.
- 11 Q. It was held in May 1972.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. And at the top of page -- sorry, of paragraph 3, which
- 14 we can see there, it reads:
- 15 "Persons attending the conference were classified as
- either Delegate, Consultative Delegate, Observer or
- 17 Visitor."
- A. Mm-hm.
- 19 Q. And if we just scroll down to the bottom of that
- 20 paragraph, please.
- 21 A. Do I scroll -- oh, I see, someone else does. Yeah,
- okay.
- Q. Thank you.
- A. So we're to be reading paragraph 3 or 2?
- 25 Q. Just 3.

- 1 So what we have there is four categories of people
- who attended this conference.
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. Are you able to recall which category you would have
- 5 fallen into?
- 6 A. Ah, let's have a look. So a delegate -- let's go
- 7 through these again.
- 8 So you can be a delegate -- oh, here you are, here
- 9 you are: delegate, consultative delegate, observer or
- 10 visitor. And that was 1972. Actually, I can't
- 11 remember. I don't think I was an observer or visitor,
- I was either a delegate or a consultative delegate.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 The last sentence of this paragraph reads:
- "The last category, Visitor, was any person, not
- a full member of either organisation ..."
- 17 A. Yeah.
- Q. "... who was sympathetic to the politics of
- 19 the organisation. Such persons could attend open
- 20 sessions only and had no voting rights whatsoever."
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. Can we take from this, Mr Corbyn, that a member of
- 23 the public could have attended this conference but in
- 24 that capacity?
- 25 A. Well, they weren't public conferences. They'd have to

- 1 be invited.
- Q. Right. Even as a visitor?
- 3 A. Yes. Yes, definitely. It wasn't advertised as a --
- 4 you know, a "come and join in" thing. It is -- I think
- 5 what would happen is that you -- any of the groups or
- 6 any of the part of the IMG, any of the branches, would
- 7 have contacts. And they would say to contacts --
- 8 you know -- that is people who were showing some sort of
- 9 interest in what was going on -- "Do you want to come
- 10 along to the conference" and "you can be there as an
- observer." That's what would happen.
- 12 Q. I think, can we also take from that sentence that there
- were both closed sessions and open sessions?
- 14 A. Oh yeah. There were indeed closed sessions and open
- sessions, yes.
- Q. So even within the group of people who were present at
- 17 the conference, only some could attend closed sessions;
- is that right?
- 19 A. Yes, that's right. I mean, it is a bit like the London
- 20 boroughs. They have council meetings where they have
- 21 closed sessions and open sessions depending on what
- they're talking about.
- 23 Q. I see.
- A. So it's a normal process in a lot of organisations in
- 25 the United Kingdom.

- Q. Can we scroll to page 2, please, and paragraph 5 of that
- 2 page $\{UCPI/15694/2\}$. Thank you.
- 3 Halfway through this paragraph, Mr Corbyn, you'll
- 4 see that I think Bob Purdie opened the proceedings.
- 5 A. Ah, I remember the name.
- 6 Q. He then called for an immediate vote on three items,
- 7 the first being the ratification of the fusion of
- 8 the IMG with the Spartacus League.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. That's a vote that was then carried?
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. So can we take it from that, Mr Corbyn, that from this
- point onwards, the Spartacus League became part of
- 14 the IMG?
- 15 A. Yes, that's right. Well, I think the idea was that
- the Spartacus League, as a youth section, wasn't
- 17 necessary anymore and one would just have the IMG.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 I just want to ask you a couple of other questions
- about things that happened at this conference.
- 21 Could we move to page 6, please, {UCPI/15694/6}.
- 22 Thank you.
- And it's paragraph 24 that we're interested in.
- A. Okay, that's a bit small on my screen.
- Q. Don't worry, it will get bigger --

- 1 A. Oh, okay.
- 2 Q. -- if you just bear with us a moment.
- 3 This is a topic that was raised at the conference.
- 4 I'm just going to read it out again:
- 5 "Surgitt's speech reiterated the now standard
- 6 clichés of Women's Liberation, oppressed women in
- 7 society, the struggle for equal rights,
- 8 the establishment of the woman as an accepted member of
- 9 society and the fight against sexist male chauvinism.
- 10 Her speech contained little that was constructive and
- 11 confined itself almost entirely to an attack upon
- 12 the men at the conference. This attack brought squeals
- of delight from most of the other female members of
- 14 the audience."
- Mr Corbyn, can you help us with whether that's an
- 16 accurate description of something that happened?
- 17 A. I -- I don't remember. Surgitt of course is a kind of
- 18 cover -- a party name, obviously. So I'm wondering
- 19 who -- it's a long time ago -- who that could have been.
- 20 There were that sort of thing said, but I think perhaps
- 21 that description of it is a bit over the top.
- 22 Q. How --
- 23 A. I mean, there were certain, you know, criticisms from
- 24 women of the attitudes of men, but it was all in
- 25 the context of we all being in the IMG together. But

- 1 yeah, those type of things were said, yes. The way that
- is put forward perhaps is a bit over the top.
- 3 Q. All right.
- 4 A. So far as I remember. I mean, you know.
- 5 Q. Yes. Well, that's quite understandable.
- Can we move to page $\{UCPI/15694/4\}$, please.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 And it's paragraph 16 I was just going to ask you
- 9 a question about.
- 10 It reads as follows:
- 11 "The conference ... took fraternal greetings from
- 12 a Peruvian member of the FI."
- 13 And I think that's the Fourth International?
- 14 A. Yes, it would be the Forth International.
- 15 Q. "His speech ... was brief and delivered through an
- interpreter, was exceptionally well received. No doubt
- 17 this was due to its revolutionary content for
- 18 the speaker called for revolutionary support for
- 19 the armed struggles throughout the world such as in
- 20 South America and Ireland and contained such stirring
- 21 phrases as 'the revolution will not be won until Marxist
- 22 blood is spilled in the street'. He ended with shouts
- of 'Viva la revolution' and the audience duly rose to
- its feet and applauded."
- 25 How seriously were statements like this taken for

- 1 the IMG, Mr Corbyn?
- 2 A. Well, I mean, there were numbers of statements like
- 3 this, but I took them as being -- well, there used to be
- 4 this phrase around, the IMG would say, "If you care to
- 5 struggle, we will solidarise with you". Which would
- 6 mean that either the IMG organisation would support --
- 7 support: it doesn't mean endorse everything -- support
- 8 many things going on, because they were against world
- 9 capitalism, or in particular US imperialism. But if
- 10 you're leading to a thinking, well, because people were
- 11 applauding this group whatever they were doing,
- 12 therefore the IMG would want to do the same in
- 13 the United Kingdom, I think that would be a completely
- 14 wrong conclusion.
- 15 Q. I see.
- This document can come down now. Thank you
- 17 A. Mm-hm.
- 18 Q. Mr Corbyn, moving on to the IMG more generally, you've
- 19 described in your statement that the IMG considered
- 20 itself a revolutionary organisation. What was meant, in
- 21 your mind, by that?
- 22 A. Well, it means that the idea of the IMG and the -- well,
- 23 the IMG in particular, would have been that
- the capitalist way of running things had to end; and
- 25 capitalism was in crisis, and therefore there had to be

L	a revolution into a socialist, or socialistic of some
2	sort, society, where the open market didn't determine
3	how things happened, ie the strength of different
1	capitalists didn't determine how things happened, and
5	you had a sort of more democratic process. But that's
5	what it meant, yes.

The word "revolution" doesn't necessarily mean violence at all. It means a revolutionary change, a big change, a fundamental change, a change in the basic way in which society was organised.

- Q. Did you consider this was -- at the time, I'm interested in. Did you consider this was likely to happen immediately, or quickly, or was this a longer term --
- A. Well, I myself, I do recall at some point having discussions with people. So I sort of believed, yeah, it was all going to happen somewhere within five or ten years. Now, looking back, I think this was just delusional nonsense, but that's what I thought.

Now, people in the IMG, I think they'd have a variety of views. Probably most people believed in a slow sort of process; because we recognised we were an infinitesimal group of a few hundred people, and putting forward ideas which we hoped would catalyse people into a better understanding of things.

You know, you have to think, in terms of groups on

- the left, "the left" in the sense used in those days,
- 2 the IMG was the one which did a lot of kind of
- 3 theoretical work and kind of analysis of the way --
- 4 the way world history was -- was developing.
- 5 I mean, in terms of any groups promoting violence,
- 6 the IMG wasn't -- wasn't that. There were groups out
- 7 there maybe which, you know, would have had different
- 8 views, but they weren't -- they weren't the IMG. I was
- 9 in the IMG, not in other groups, I mean.
- 10 Q. Did the IMG consider that this change should come about
- 11 through democratic means or otherwise?
- 12 A. Well, I would say democratic means. I mean, that would
- 13 involve demonstrations and protests, but democratic
- 14 means, yes. You know, you have trade unions, you have
- tenants' organisations, you have all sorts of groups
- which will bring together people.
- 17 You see, to me, in my mind, change is -- involves
- 18 numbers, and that is the key. Whereas there will be
- 19 some people that might have believed you had to kind of
- 20 manipulate situations in a paramilitary fashion. This
- 21 wasn't my view, or, as I understand it, the IMG's view,
- 22 which is why the IMG was the IMG, as opposed to some
- other groups, which might have had entirely different
- ideas.
- Q. Could we have a look please at {UCPI/10944}, please.

1		Mr Corbyn thank you this is a report by
2		a police officer of a meeting held between the IMG and
3		a member of the Communist Party of Great Britain.
4		If we could scroll down to paragraph 4, please.
5		Thank you.
6		Tariq Ali, who no doubt you'll be familiar with
7	Α.	Yeah, it's normally pronounced "Tariq Ali".
8	Q.	Sorry, Tariq Ali, spoke at this meeting and said as
9		follows:
10		"Tariq Ali then thanked Anthony for coming along to
11		explain the ideas of the [Communist Party], but added
12		that he disagreed with the basic concept that Parliament
13		must survive, since it had shown only too clearly that
14		it merely represented the interests of big business.
15		What was needed, he continued, were workers' councils
16		set up during the period of change which followed
17		the revolution (opposition ideas would be allowed, but
18		control would remain with the great mass of workers
19		through their councils)."
20		Would you have agreed with Mr Ali in advocating for
21		councils and the abolition of Parliament, Mr Corbyn?
22	Α.	Yes, insofar as the way forward. Yes, I would agree
23		that you had to have accountability of society run by
24		the people from below. So you'd have these committees.

Which is obviously modelled in the Russian Revolution

idea of "soviets", ie all the people in one area would
represent that area or the interests of the -- of
the workers or trade unionists in that area, and that
would then feed up to a more democratic control.

It doesn't mean Parliament would disappear instantly, I would say, but you see, Parliament as it is -- or then certainly -- well, now even more so -- is controlled by external forces, largely. And I don't need to tell you that there is a lot of corruption in modern Parliament and conflicts of interest. And if conflicts of interest were removed from the modern Cabinet, then it would be an empty room, which would probably be better.

Anyway, we're digressing into the now. But, you see, I think you can understand from the now why then -- and I don't believe Parliament then was much better, but probably was a bit better then, Parliament nevertheless, its function, is essentially to carry out the wishes of those who have the main controlling interest in modern society, ie capitalists of various types.

- Q. Mr Corbyn, thank you. Can we take this document down, please.
- 23 A. Yeah.

Q. One of the officers who reported on you, HN353, whose cover name was "Gary Roberts", has stated that "the IMG

- 1 were strong on words but in hindsight I think they were
- 2 not really likely to act on them".
- A. Well, yes, that's true. Well, that's true of most
- 4 politicians, indeed. Although, IMG members didn't think
- of themselves as politicians. Yeah, I think that's
- 6 a fair statement. So they would make big rhetorical
- 7 things about revolution and class struggle and
- 8 everything, but partly they were, I would say,
- 9 theoreticist armchair socialists. Perhaps I was one of
- 10 those at the time, although I was always very keen on
- 11 activity and talking to people and communicating, and
- 12 getting people involved in demonstrations of various
- sorts against injustices of the time, like the Irish
- issues, the various workers' struggles going on, tenants
- issues, housing issues, and so forth.
- Q. Well, we'll come on to some of those --
- 17 A. Yes, of course.
- 18 Q. -- Mr Corbyn.
- I think it's right that you later, in 1972, became
- 20 the organiser for the Notting Hill branch of the IMG
- 21 rather than the Spartacus League?
- 22 A. Correct, yes, because the Spartacus League then had
- 23 disappeared anyway, so -- or had been merged, so yes.
- 24 O. And these meetings again took place at your home
- 25 address; is that right?

- 1 A. Yes, they did, generally speaking.
- 2 Q. And we can see an example of one of these reports. Can
- 3 we bring up $\{UCPI/8948\}$.
- 4 A. You've done a lot of work to get all these things
- 5 together, I'm impressed, because I couldn't remember all
- 6 this stuff.
- 7 Q. This, again, you see in paragraph 2, that's the same
- 8 address in Rendle Street, so your home address?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. If we turn to page 4 of this document, {UCPI/8948/4},
- and just zoom in on the middle -- if we just scroll down
- 12 a little, please. Thank you.
- 13 This is a document that I think you prepared?
- 14 A. Ah, did I indeed?
- 15 Q. That has been appended to the report.
- 16 A. So that must have been my typewriter at the time, okay.
- Q. What I'm interested in, Mr Corbyn, is this is
- 18 a prospectus for --
- 19 A. I'm going close to the screen to have a look. There's
- 20 some sort of -- oh, okay. What's that "of the ..."?
- Q. I think it reads:
- 22 "The initial plan -- adopted by
- the working group after many discussions -- was to
- 24 undertake the following activities ..."
- 25 A. Okay.

- Q. So what I'm interested in, Mr Corbyn, is what
- 2 the Notting Hill branch of the IMG were doing with their
- 3 time.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. The first is "Red Circle".
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. Can you just tell us briefly what Red Circle was,
- 8 please?
- 9 A. Yeah, Red Circles were public events which were
- 10 advertised, and it involved people sitting around in
- a circular fashion in a room, usually a hired room above
- 12 a pub, and it would have speakers along on issues of our
- 13 time. Like, a speaker would come along about Vietnam,
- 14 for example; a speaker would come along about
- 15 the miners' strike. Although then there wasn't
- a miners' strike, but there were later on. A speaker
- 17 might come along about the situation in students --
- 18 Q. I see.
- 19 A. So they were quite lively discussion groups, completely
- open to the public. So you'd give all leaflets, people
- 21 would come along, and you would talk.
- 22 Q. And the second thing we can see is "Mole Sales". That
- 23 I think might be a reference to Red Mole, the IMG --
- 24 A. Correct, that was the Red Mole sales. And we sold those
- 25 often in Portobello Road on what is now Portobello

- 1 Green, a place I was visiting only a few days ago
- 2 campaigning for my election as Mayor of London, and
- 3 other candidates from for the Let London Live campaign.
- Q. The third thing we can see mentioned is "People's
- 5 Association". Could you just help us what that was, if
- 6 you can?
- 7 A. Yes, yes, I think this referred to what
- 8 I mentioned earlier about Red Defence. The people's
- 9 association I think was some IMG contact, whose name
- 10 escapes me, wanted to have sort of grassroots community
- 11 things which would kind of become community police, to
- 12 prevent the police, or -- or as an alternative to
- the police in their sometimes racist -- well, often --
- normally, I would say, racist approach to the area. And
- there was a people's association set up by these guys,
- who were not IMG members but were sympathisers,
- I suppose.
- 18 Q. And --
- 19 A. And it says "the PA collapsed in October". Yeah,
- I remember that, but I don't know why it collapsed. It
- 21 might have been that the principal people moved out,
- 22 I don't know.
- 23 When they say "intervention ... was successful",
- I think that meant that people had listened to what we'd
- 25 said and, you know, the organisation, the people's

- 1 association managed to make some impact on its
- 2 alternative policing ideas. But clearly it didn't last.
- Q. Were these associations controlled or run by the IMG, or
- 4 were they --
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. -- entirely separate?
- 7 A. No, no. I remember the guy. I can sort of almost
- 8 picture him in my mind. No, he was an interesting guy
- 9 and would -- you know, said he was doing these things,
- 10 would we help and join in. So we did. It was --
- I would say the IMG's role was essentially supportive.
- 12 I would say, it's important to understand the IMG
- did often support things without trying to control.
- I mean, whereas some other revolutionary groups were
- only into control. And I do remember the phrase "We
- should set up a support committee for this or "support"
- 17 committee for that", you know, some strike or protest,
- 18 you know, that sort of thing.
- 19 Q. Just, finally, the last thing that was on there -- we've
- 20 just lost it -- but it was the Claimants Union. What
- 21 was the IMG's involvement in the Claimants Union?
- 22 A. I think again it was supportive. You see,
- 23 the Claimants Union then was just people who were
- 24 claiming benefits and were not getting their just
- 25 benefits, so they would form together and put pressure

- on the -- the social security offices.
- 2 No, I remember some of the activists there, who were
- 3 -- they weren't IMG members but they were very dedicated
- 4 -- dedicated people.
- 5 Q. Was the IMG involved in the Claimants Union, or just
- 6 members thereof?
- 7 A. Well, in a supportive way. It says there, "initial work
- 8 totally unproductive". I don't -- I don't really
- 9 remember all the details, but it's something about their
- anarco-hippy blah blah blah. I don't know. But there
- 11 were some good people involved in the Claimants Union.
- 12 That's in my personal assessment. But they were really
- into one thing, that is making sure people got their
- benefits, rather than wider issues, I think.
- Q. Could we just turn to the first page of this document,
- please, {UCPI/8948}, and paragraph 5, when we get there.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 Now, this is the report of the officer who has
- 19 appended the document we've just looked at. And
- 20 the officer's written:
- 21 "The meeting then moved to item eight on the agenda,
- a discussion on the perspectives document, produced by
- 23 Piers Corbyn, on the proposed merger of the Notting Hill
- 24 and West London IMG groups. In that document ... Corbyn
- used various coded initials in many instances,

- apparently to confuse the identification of persons if
- 2 the document happened to fall into the hands of
- 3 Special Branch."
- 4 Then the officer goes on to say:
- 5 "(An appendix giving the identification of
- 6 the majority of such coded initials is attached ...)."
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. To your memory -- the best of your memory, Mr Corbyn,
- 9 did you use coded initials when preparing documents like
- 10 that?
- 11 A. Yes, yes, that was the norm in the IMG. The theory was
- that if you had these coded initials or party names,
- 13 then the Special Branch, if they were around
- infiltrating, or anyone who got these documents,
- 15 wouldn't know who was what. However, of course,
- the Special Branch were infiltrating us anyway, so they
- 17 knew everything.
- 18 A bit like modern mobile phones: people might be
- 19 trying to keep themselves secret, but frankly, you know,
- 20 if Bill Gates wants to know what you said yesterday, he
- 21 can find out.
- 22 Q. How concerned were you as a group about material like
- 23 this?
- 24 A. Well, I took it seriously, to -- to use these party
- 25 names, or -- or initials. Although, sometimes

- I couldn't remember who was who myself. So then maybe
- 2 rather than a party name, I'd put some initials, which
- 3 then people would know, you know, what -- if "PC" was
- 4 down there, it might stand for Piers Corbyn. Although
- I had a different party name, which was not "PC".
- 6 Q. Your party name, I think, was "Annual", wasn't?
- 7 A. It was. I think I had more than one party name. Most
- 8 people started off with one or another. But I was
- g called "Annual", yes, yes.
- 10 Q. I think there is a report, which we don't need to bring
- 11 up, which confirms that.
- 12 A. Yes, it came from a nickname in a -- in an
- NUS conference. I was described as a "hardy annual"
- that always turned up. And actually, if you think
- about it, it should be a perennial. But anyway, that
- 16 became my party name because the general public
- 17 considered me a sort of an annual person who turned up
- 18 to every National Union Of Students conference there
- 19 was.
- Q. Just so that we can understand how widely used this was,
- 21 did you know, for instance, the real names of most of
- 22 the members of your local branch of the IMG?
- 23 A. Oh yes, yes. I would know them by their real names and
- have to try and remember their party names at times,
- and, you know, it might have given the impression that

- all these organisations were twice as big as they were,
- but anyway, that's ...
- 3 Q. Thank you. And this report can come down now.
- 4 How concerned, can you remember, were the IMG
- 5 leadership about surveillance and possible infiltration?
- 6 A. Oh, I think they took it seriously. Although possibly,
- 7 looking back, to an extent, it was something which made
- 8 members feel part of something bigger, something more
- 9 important than -- than perhaps it was, because we were
- 10 quite a tiny group really.
- 11 Q. Did you take any other steps, other than party names and
- occasionally coded initials, to protect against this?
- A. No, I don't think so. Well, obviously you don't leave
- documents lying around, you know, that sort of thing;
- don't talk to people about who your members are, or talk
- to non-members about who your members are, yes.
- 17 Q. Just so that we can understand the motivation behind
- 18 this, why were you concerned personally about other
- 19 people or the police being able to be aware of what you
- were doing at your meetings?
- 21 A. Well, it was not anyone else's business, I would say, so
- 22 we had a right to privacy. That's -- that's all --
- that's all I would say.
- 24 Q. I see.
- 25 You've said in your statement that you assumed that

- 1 you were under surveillance, which would certainly fit
- with what you've told us.
- 3 A. Well, yeah. In the IMG, generally people believed that
- 4 we were. And I think there had been some evidence of
- 5 that, which I didn't know about. But of course now
- 6 I knew it was true, and much more extensive than
- 7 I imagined possible.
- 8 You see, having -- it seems to be three officers who
- 9 were pursuing me for -- well, various officers, but at
- any one time there seemed to be three -- two or three
- 11 pursuing me. And this went on for ten years. That is
- a massive use of police time, for what? For what,
- 13 you know? I didn't do anything dangerous. Nor did
- 14 anybody, to my knowledge, in -- in the parts of the IMG
- 15 that I knew.
- 16 Q. Mr Corbyn, if we can turn to a slightly separate topic.
- 17 Can we please bring up document {UCPI/8130}.
- 18 And, Sir, that's at your tab 13.
- 19 A. You want me to look at tab 13?
- Q. That's just for the Chairman, Mr Corbyn. It will come
- 21 up on the screen.
- This is a meeting of the London aggregate of
- the IMG, and I'm interested in the top of page 2,
- 24 please. {UCPI/8130/2}.
- 25 A. Mm-hm. Can you make it a bit bigger? Ah, good.

- Q. Now, this reads as follows, for the transcript:
- 2 "However, the main part of his speech was the seven
- 3 point plan as given on page 11 of the attached document
- 4 which deals with the way in which members of
- 5 the organisation could become more deeply involved in
- 6 the tribunal field, especially engineering, local
- 7 government, building trades and the Post Office."
- 8 Mr Corbyn, what was the IMG's relationship with
- 9 trade unions, as far as you can recall?
- 10 A. Well, the idea then was -- well, the idea was to recruit
- trade unionists; or even members of the IMG would start
- working in certain fields and then build trade union
- branches or associations with the IMG, with a view to
- supporting trade union struggles and protests, or
- whatever, in different industries.
- It was termed an important thing to do, rather than
- 17 doing "student work", as it was called. Student work
- 18 wasn't being dropped, but it's -- the point was that
- 19 the IMG wanted to develop more into a wider field.
- 20 Q. Were the IMG --
- 21 A. To change society, you see? Trade unions being the --
- 22 essentially the producers of wealth, so therefore
- 23 potential to change society into something more
- 24 democratic and accountable.
- Q. Were the IMG trying to gain control of trade unions?

- 1 A. Well, I suppose, if they ever got enough members or
- 2 supporters in a trade union, then they -- they might
- 3 become leaders thereof. But this stuff here was just at
- 4 least to get a presence of that all, I would say.
- 5 Q. I think we can possibly infer from this that the IMG,
- 6 certainly at this point, was trying to get more
- 7 involved --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- with trade unions. Is it fair to say that you would
- 10 support trade unions on specific strikes?
- 11 A. Oh, generally speaking, yes. Well, as I said, there was
- a joke in the IMG, "If you care to struggle, we will
- 13 solidarise with you." So the IMG would go around
- supporting all sorts of things, not necessarily
- 15 the detail. You know, we have this phrase of
- 16 conditional -- sorry, "unconditional but not uncritical
- support for X, Y, Z." So you'd say to these workers
- doing some strike or occupation, "Great, we support you
- 19 completely." And that protest or action might do
- something that we wouldn't have agreed with, but we'd
- 21 say, "Well, okay, maybe you should have done this or
- that," but it doesn't mean we'd stop supporting
- 23 the general project of this strike or occupation, or
- 24 whatever.
- 25 Q. I see.

- 1 A. So it wasn't about control, it was about support.
- 2 Q. Could we please take this document down and replace it
- 3 with {UCPI/7940}.
- And, Sir, you'll find that at tab 3 of your bundle.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 Now, I'm interested in the third paragraph, and I'm
- 7 afraid it's a pretty poor quality document, so I'll try
- 8 and read the second sentence.
- 9 It reads:
- "Piers Corbyn and [Privacy] emerged as the leading
- 11 personalities, advocating a strong militant approach to
- 12 the student protest march."
- This is, I should say, a report proposing a march
- against a miners' strike -- sorry, supporting a miners'
- 15 strike --
- 16 A. To support the miners' strike, yes.
- Q. And the report suggests that you and other IMG members,
- 18 in the face of opposition from International Socialists
- 19 members, proposed a march to the National Coal Board
- 20 headquarters, and there is some suggestion later on that
- 21 an occupation was envisaged --
- A. Really? Okay.
- Q. Do you consider this a fair characterisation, Mr Corbyn?
- 24 A. Yes, I do remember this -- this, actually. It was quite
- 25 fascinating. I think there was a -- some sort of

- 1 student rally in Trafalgar Square, or some -- I think it 2 was mostly students -- maybe even called by the NUS -supporting the miners. And we thought -- or I thought, 3 4 and my supporters, that we ought to march from there to the National Coal Board, to call upon the Coal Board to give in to the miners.
- 7 And that we did by a tactic of a group of IMG 8 supporters marching round Trafalgar Square approximately twice, chanting things like "March to the Coal Board", 9 10 if I'm remembering the right thing. And then the crowd in Trafalgar Square just joined in. They thought, 11 12 "Well, that's something to do, let's go there." And 13 there was a great big crowd went off to the Coal Board, 14 and I remember being lifted on somebody's shoulders to speak with a megaphone about supporting the miners. 15

But, frankly, I don't remember anything about trying to occupy the National Coal Board. I -- I suppose people might have thought of such things. But we were there to make a point that the whole country should be supporting the miners.

- Now, Mr Corbyn, you'll see the top of paragraph 4 --Ο.
- 22 Α. Yeah.

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

- 23 Ο. -- it reads:
- 24 "The IMG/SL ..."
- So I think that's the Spartacus League at this 25

- point:
- 2 "... members were obviously furious at
- 3 the 'wishy-washy approach', by the IS ..."
- 4 And I think that's International Socialists?
- 5 A. That is, yeah.
- 6 Q. "... members who [I think it might say] contrived to
- 7 gain support from the uncommitted members present."
- 8 A. Mm-hm.
- 9 Q. Now, evidently, from that, there was both IMG and
- 10 International Socialists --
- 11 A. Yes, yes.
- 12 Q. -- at this meeting. To what extent did you tend to
- interact and cooperate with
- 14 the International Socialists?
- 15 A. Well, I think the general idea of the IMG was to
- 16 cooperate as much as we could on joint activities. But
- on some occasions the IS, for their own reasons, didn't
- 18 want to co-operate. That's how I saw it going on. And
- this was an example.
- I can't, for the life of me, see why the IS wouldn't
- 21 want to also march to the Coal Board, but anyway ...
- 22 Q. The tenor of this report, Mr Corbyn --
- 23 A. That's what seems to have happened.
- 24 Q. -- certainly suggests that the IMG group in this meeting
- 25 wanted to go further than the IS group?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You know, marches rather than -- directed marches rather
- 3 than other things.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Was that what tended to happen between the different
- 6 outlooks in the two groups? Was that --
- 7 A. Yeah, I would say so. I would say so, at that time.
- 8 Only all these groups evolved in different directions.
- 9 But mostly that would be the case, yeah.
- 10 Q. If we could move to the second page, please, and
- 11 paragraph 9? {UCPI/7940/2}.
- 12 A. It's quite interesting remembering all this stuff.
- 13 Thank you for -- well, I don't know if I should thank
- 14 you or not, frankly, but it's fascinating remembering in
- detail these little events, which -- well, I think they
- were important at the time. I think they were important
- to do these things.
- 18 Q. So paragraph 8, just before we go on to paragraph 9:
- 19 "[Privacy] also suggested that, if possible, members
- 20 should attempt to occupy the Coal Board offices ..."
- 21 A. Oh right.
- 22 Q. "... although he was none too hopeful of this as he
- 23 anticipated the building would be heavily protected ..."
- A. Well, I think that's pretty obvious. Yeah, okay.
- Q. Paragraph 9 says this:

"[Privacy] then called for 'three volunteers to take part in a special task during the demonstration which could involve breaking the law'. Three unidentified members readily volunteered and were told by [Privacy] that he would later give them private instructions regarding this task. He assured the volunteers that if they were arrested and prosecuted any resulting fines would be paid by the IMG."

Can you recall, Mr Corbyn, if this was accurate -- an accurate description?

- A. Well, I just don't remember that. I don't remember it.

 But I suppose your question might be -- I mean, that

 could happen. I wouldn't rule out this. I don't think

 it's been made up by a policeman; something must have

 been going on. But you see, when it's something

 breaking the law, I mean, it might be as simple as

 writing a slogan on a building or hoisting a banner over

 something, you know what I mean? I don't know -- unless

 we know what actually happened, I can't really comment

 further on that. But I was not one of these three

 volunteers, if indeed the volunteers came as described

 there.
 - Q. Were things like this commonly discussed at IMG meetings or wider meetings, plans to break the law --
- 25 A. No --

- Q. -- in whatever capacity?
- 2 A. No, no, mostly it was pretty mundane sort of stuff. But
- 3 as I say, what they mean by "breaking the law" here,
- 4 is -- I think you have to find out what that is.
- I mean, did the policeman report on what was happening
- 6 later on? That's what we need to know. Or you need to
- 7 know for this Inquiry.
- 8 Q. I am just interested to know whether something like this
- 9 would have been a common occurrence or, you know,
- 10 a memorable, rather extraordinary thing to have come up
- 11 at a meeting?
- 12 A. Well, I would say it's memorable and rather
- 13 extraordinary, because it's -- it's, you know, making
- 14 promises about fines being paid or something, which is
- 15 -- well, it suggests it's something risky. But mind
- 16 you, of course, then you could easily get arrested for
- just doing a bit of flyposting. So the actual activity
- 18 might not be such a big deal. I'd like to -- I'd like
- 19 to know what it was, I mean, if they did this. I don't
- 20 remember any dramatic thing happening. Maybe it just
- 21 didn't work. Maybe the people involved dropped out.
- 22 Q. More generally, Mr Corbyn, you've said in your witness
- 23 statement that --
- 24 A. Mm-hm.
- 25 Q. -- and I'm quoting -- that "an unjust law will

- inevitably be broken".
- 2 A. Mm.
- Q. What was your attitude towards breaking the law at that
- 4 time?
- 5 A. Well, yeah, at that time I believed there were -- well,
- 6 I still believe there's just laws and unjust laws.
- 7 Unjust laws get introduced by the powers that be in
- 8 order to impose things which may be incorrect.
- 9 Now, at the time, I might have been concentrating on
- 10 housing issues. And we could talk about squatting
- 11 later, although you don't mention much of it,
- 12 surprisingly, in these reports.
- 13 But unjust laws are things whereby people are put in
- 14 a position where they have to agree something when
- really they don't want to but they're kind of
- 16 blackmailed or -- not bribed but blackmailed, and told,
- 17 you know, if you -- you can become a tenant or
- a licensee if you agree to leave when the owner wants
- 19 you. But, you see, this is a kind of -- I would say
- 20 that's not a just -- just law of treating people's
- 21 housing rights, for example.
- Q. We'll come on to that --
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. -- aspect and your involvement a little later.
- 25 I'm just interested to know, is this your view or is

- this a view that was widely held amongst the members of
- 2 the IMG at around this time?
- 3 A. Well, that's my view that I describe. What would
- 4 the IMG think about laws? Well, I think they would
- 5 think something similar in that you ask
- the question: where does law come from? You know, laws
- 7 are agreed by -- well, a parliamentary process, but then
- 8 who is instigating things in this parliamentary process?
- 9 You see, right now, we have Parliament agreeing to
- 10 allow the police to have even more spycops powers than
- in the past, you know. And this Inquiry has happened
- 12 precisely because of spycops, ie policemen infiltrating,
- 13 overstepping the reasonable mark in their personal
- 14 relationships between male policemen and women; and,
- 15 you know, consequent children, which was horrific. And
- that's the main reason why this Inquiry is happening,
- 17 I think.
- 18 Q. Mr Corbyn --
- 19 A. Yeah, okay.
- Q. -- just going back to --
- 21 A. -- perhaps I'm rambling a bit.
- 22 Q. -- back to the 1970s -- sorry to take you back there --
- A. No, I don't mind. That's fine.
- Q. Would the IMG, in your view, have been open in calling
- for what was considered to be an unjust law to be broken

- by its members? Would that be something that was
 encouraged?
- A. Well, I think it would be a specific thing, I would say,

 I think -- well, you'd have to give an example. And

 I can't think of any specific example other than in

 the housing movement, when in fact it wasn't so much

 laws but rules introduced by short-life housing

 organisations. And I said, well, you have to break

 these agreements, because housing rights were more

important than the interests of these housing

12 Now, you're looking for a law, some sort of law 13 where the IMG said, "break this because it's unjust". 14 Well, I don't know. But there were trade union laws. 15 There were laws introduced against trade unions, yes, where the government at the time were trying to limit 16 17 the powers of trade unions, the right to strike and so 18 on. Now, those -- things like laws against the right to strike -- were considered, yes, definitely, as unjust 19 laws, and therefore should be challenged and broken, 20 21 yes.

22 Q. Could we just --

associations.

10

11

A. And they would be specific. It wasn't like a -- it didn't mean that it would be right to rob people,

you know? So they would be quite specific. Like,

- I would describe them as politically-motivated laws, if
- 2 you like.
- Q. Can we just turn to another report on a slightly
- 4 different subject, please.
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. It's at {UCPI/8798}. And, Sir, this is behind your
- 7 bundle at tab 10. Thank you.
- 8 This is a report which attaches a leaflet produced
- by you on behalf of the Notting Hill IMG, regarding
- something called the Metro Youth demonstration, which
- 11 was held in June 1972. Now, this demonstration I think,
- 12 Mr Corbyn, was in relation to the Metro Youth Club,
- 13 which was in Notting Hill and was popular within
- 14 the black community at that time.
- 15 A. Right.
- Q. And I think the march was concerning the police's raids
- 17 and arrests --
- A. Mm-hm.
- 19 Q. -- at that club.
- 20 At paragraph 2 it says:
- 21 " ... the assessment Corbyn urges that the next time
- 22 such a demonstration ..."
- I think that should say "occurs":
- "... less attention (no attention) should be paid to
- 25 police who 'helped' formulate the route."

- 1 Why was that something that you advocated,
- 2 Mr Corbyn, to not engage with the police for
- 3 a demonstration?
- 4 A. I -- I don't know. I'm just thinking. You see -- well,
- 5 it depends what the police wanted to do and what
- 6 the Metro Youth wanted to do. I mean, it -- it --
- 7 Q. If we look at the second page --
- 8 A. Yeah, I mean, you see, sometimes the police would be
- 9 trying to not help us, obviously --
- 10 Q. Okay, thank you -- (overspeaking) --
- 11 A. -- (inaudible) so I can't remember the details, I'm
- 12 afraid.
- 13 Q. Well, if I just read paragraph 3, under the heading of
- 14 "Assessment". This is a document that I think you
- produced, so this might help --
- 16 A. Really?
- 17 Q. -- to jog your memory. The turnout was about --
- 18 A. I can't remember if my typewriter looked like that. It
- 19 looks like a different typewriter.
- Q. "The turnout was about 60 people ..."
- 21 A. Where are you? Oh down there. Assessment 3, yes.
- 22 Q. "... 40 people at the start of the march, and 30 when it
- 23 reached Portobello Road after returning from Harrow
- 24 Road. The turnout was not very good but the numbers are
- 25 not at all important in any critical sense for this type

- of demo. The tactic of taking the demo down
- 2 Portobello Road was proved to be absolutely correct.
- 3 Many leaflets were given to people there, a lot of
- 4 people talked about the matter -- because of the focus
- 5 the 30 or so marchers created -- the police completely
- foiled (they could not follow with their van)."
- 7 A. Oh, it means were completely foiled.
- 8 Q. Sorry, were completely foiled.
- 9 A. Yeah, I see that. I typed that --
- 11 the report says:
- "Next time less attention (no attention) should be
- paid to the police who 'helped' to formulate the route
- 14 which in part went via empty streets (in which it is
- 15 not ..."
- I think that should say "possible"?
- 17 A. Yeah, I think it was "possible to present ideas to many
- 18 people."
- 19 Yeah, you see, the IMG's idea was presenting ideas
- 20 to people. So marching down an empty streets, which is
- 21 what the police were advising, was not helpful. No,
- I can say that I'm glad we did go down Portobello Road.
- It didn't cause any people any trouble. And our
- leaflets, whatever they were about at the time, got more
- 25 traction.

- Q. Just in terms of the interaction with the police --
- 2 A. You see, the police can't -- they act as if they're in
- 3 charge of people's right to protest. And of course,
- 4 they're still doing that, and I think --
- 5 Q. -- (overspeaking) --
- 6 A. No, but there have been occasions recently, to be fair,
- 7 the police have said, "Okay, okay, Mr Corbyn," or
- 8 whoever, "You guys want to go that way, all right," and
- 9 they'll just say "yes". But this time in Notting Hill,
- 10 the police were anxious to prevent us getting our
- 11 message across, I would say.
- 12 Q. As a result of that, did you --
- 13 A. And it was a peaceful message. There wasn't anything
- dangerous or violent, to my recollection, involved here.
- 15 Q. Did you refuse to interact with the police as a result
- of that, Mr Corbyn?
- 17 A. Well, I didn't seek to interact with the police, but
- 18 when it became necessary or they asked me questions,
- 19 I would -- I would interact. I mean, later on in
- 20 the squatting movement, it was sometimes necessary to
- 21 interact with the police. But that's what I would say.
- 22 We were running our own show, as far as I was concerned,
- and if the police wanted to advise, sort of tell us
- 24 where we should go, we would listen to what they say.
- 25 But, you know, we had to maintain that we had the right

- 1 to protest and demonstrate where we wanted, subject to
- 2 not endangering life and limb or whatever, of course,
- 3 obviously.
- 4 MR WARNER: Sir, is this an appropriate point for a short
- 5 break.
- 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 7 Mr Corbyn, the shorthand writers need a rest, and
- 8 they have 15 minutes.
- 9 A. Yes. Well, that's fine, Sir John. Thank you very much.
- 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 11 MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone. We will now take
- 12 a break. May I remind those in the virtual hearing room
- to remember to join your break-out rooms, please.
- 14 The time is now 11.15 am, so we shall resume at
- 15 11.30 am.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 (11.15 am)
- 18 (A short break)
- 19 (11.30 am)
- 20 MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone, and welcome back.
- I will now hand over to the Chairman to continue
- 22 proceedings.
- Chairman.
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 25 Mr Warner.

- 1 MR WARNER: Thank you, Sir.
- Mr Corbyn, I'd like now to turn to a report, please,
- 3 which deals with your proposal as an election candidate.
- 4 It's {UCPI/17814}.
- 5 That's at tab 39, Sir.
- 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 7 MR WARNER: Thank you.
- 8 This is a meeting of the South London branch of
- 9 the IMG. I'm interested in paragraph 6 on page
- 10 $\{UCPI/17814/2\}$. Thank you.
- 11 I'll just read this paragraph for the transcript:
- "[Privacy] introduced the second major item on
- the agenda -- the GLC elections -- and said that the IMG
- intended to stand four candidates. The proposals put
- before the aggregate were that Piers Corbyn should be
- the IMG candidate for Lambeth Central and that the great
- 17 majority of South London resources should be devoted to
- 18 his electoral campaign."
- 19 Mr Corbyn, can you remember how you came to be
- 20 proposed as a GLC candidate?
- 21 A. I -- I do remember the meeting, yes, and I was there and
- 22 people just said that I should do it. And I thought
- about it and said, "Well, okay, that will be an honour."
- 24 So I did.
- Q. And presumably, in this election campaign you did

- 1 the usual things that a candidate does, leaflets,
- canvassing, thing like that?
- 3 A. Yes, that's right, standard sort of stuff.
- 4 Q. Right.
- 5 And if we look at another report, please. It's
- 6 $\{UCPI/17335\}.$
- 7 It's at tab 40.
- 8 It's paragraph 4 we're interested in for now,
- 9 halfway down. Thank you.
- This is a slightly later report, about just over
- 11 a month later --
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. -- in the run-up to the election.
- 14 Paragraph 4 reads:
- "[Privacy], the campaign manager, reported that
- the majority of proposed public meetings would be
- 17 cancelled because they appeared unlikely to attract any
- 18 sizeable audience. It was also evident, he said, that
- 19 there was insufficient time and resources to canvass
- 20 the whole of the constituency, although it was essential
- 21 to distribute Corbyn's electoral manifesto as widely as
- 22 possible. The plan of activity was that during
- 23 the forthcoming week all available comrades would
- 24 distribute leaflets throughout the day, canvass from
- 25 6 pm until 9 pm and afterwards go 'flyposting' in teams

- of three."
- 2 Is that reference to "flyposting", Mr Corbyn, likely
- 3 to be illegal flyposting, or not?
- 4 A. Well, I don't know. It depends what they decided to do.
- 5 But there was a fair amount of corrugated iron around
- 6 those -- in the area, and I think often people would put
- 7 flyposting on corrugated iron. Would they put it on
- 8 places which would -- where it might be -- well, I don't
- 9 know about illegal, but possibly unlawful. I -- I don't
- 10 know.
- 11 O. Okay.
- 12 A. Flyposters would just put flyposting around, as in -- as
- they do in these days.
- Q. More generally, Mr Corbyn, how do you feel -- how did
- 15 you feel learning that information like this was being
- 16 reported on your activities, to the police?
- 17 A. Well, you mean learning now that this is reported?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. Well, two things. One, it's pretty innocuous what we
- were doing, flyposting.
- 21 Am I concerned about these ongoing reports? Well,
- 22 yes, because they're an intrusion in -- into privacy
- 23 without justification, because if you can, you know,
- 24 spend this effort looking at election campaign groups
- 25 flyposting or not, then -- well, on us, then what about

1		all the other candidates? I mean, there would have been
2		Labour Party, Conservative Party, Liberal at the time,
3		I suppose, all sorts of people. All of whom might have
4		done flyposting but were, I think, not being spied upon
5		by the police. I mean, why was that? Why not spy on
6		all of them? There aren't the police resources to do
7		this. You know, I'm not quite sure what the spying
8		purpose was for here, because it's unimaginable that in
9		an election campaign we would be doing anything
10		dangerous, you know?
11	Q.	Thank you, Mr Corbyn.
12		I'd like, please, to look at the paragraph above
13		the one we've just read, which is paragraph 3. It's on
14		a different topic, but it's contained within the same
15		report.
16		Thank you.
17		I'll just read that paragraph:
18		"The agenda of the meeting, which was chaired by
19		[Privacy], comprised two items, namely a report and
20		discussion on Piers Corbyn's electoral campaign"
21		Which we've just considered:
22		" with allocation of comrades for tasks in
23		the coming week, followed by a discussion on
24		the anti-fascist demonstration to be held in Haringey on
25		Saturday 23 April"

- Now, if we turn to page 2, please, {UCPI/17335/2}.
- 2 Thank you. Just the top of page 2.
- 3 We can see here that this is the second item being
- 4 discussed:
- 5 "... IMG intervention in the anti-fascist
- 6 demonstration to take place on Saturday 23 April, 1977
- 7 at Duckett's Common, N8. He explained that some
- 8 twenty-five political and anti-racist organisations were
- 9 joining to form a united front to oppose
- 10 the National Front march and implement the IMG policy
- of 'no platform for fascists'."
- 12 Mr Corbyn, the events that are being discussed there
- 13 were what came to be known as, "The Battle of Wood
- 14 Green" --
- 15 A. Ah, okay.
- 16 Q. -- on 23 April 1977.
- 17 A. Was I there?
- 18 Q. That was going to be my question.
- 19 A. Oh, okay.
- 20 Q. Do you remember -- (overspeaking) -- Wood Green in
- 21 April 1977 for that demonstration?
- 22 A. I don't know. Was Tariq Ali a leading light in this
- event?
- Q. I'm not sure, Mr Corbyn. It was an event which took
- 25 place, as I say, in April 1977 --

- 1 A. Well, it was on St George's day 1977, as you can see.
- Q. A large demonstration by a number of groups opposing
- 3 the National Front.
- 4 A. Yeah, yeah, yeah. No, I think I would have been there.
- 5 And I remember vaguely -- well, that or another event up
- 6 there, I remember Tariq Ali speaking from the back of
- 7 a truck or lorry, and me also speaking from the back of
- 8 a truck or lorry. Probably a number of people speaking.
- 9 I don't remember what you would describe as
- "The Battle of Wood Green", though. I mean, I think
- 11 the idea was that just sheer numbers of this united
- front against facism, sheer numbers of people like that
- present would ensure that the National Front wouldn't be
- able to pass through whatever they had in mind, because
- the police obviously would make sure that the two groups
- 16 stayed apart, the consequence of which would be that --
- 17 well, quite likely the -- well, I said IMG, but I mean
- 18 this -- you know, this united front wouldn't be able to
- 19 go anywhere beyond wherever they were, but the
- 20 National Front wouldn't be able to either, which was
- 21 the intention, to stop them spreading racist propaganda.
- Q. Well, thank you, Mr Corbyn.
- 23 The incident that did occur in April --
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 O. -- of 1977 at Wood Green resulted in some disorder.

- 1 A. Mm-hm.
- 2 Q. Do you remember violence or disorder of any kind
- 3 happening at the march that you went to?
- 4 A. No, I don't. The thing that comes to my mind was me --
- 5 me speaking with Tariq Ali and others from the back of
- 6 a lorry. There was a big crowd around, but I don't --
- 7 I don't remember disorder.

8 I mean, it could be that disorder was -- occurred

9 and was then the only thing reported in the media, as

10 like the end of this gigantic 500,000 rally that's just

11 happened last weekend, and at the end of it the police,

I have to say, attacked a music -- a music sub-event in

13 Speakers' Corner, Hyde Park, and that got reported in

the media as if that was what the 500,000 -- possibly at

least -- demonstration was about, which of course it

wasn't.

14

15

25

17 So, you know, this report here might be partly from

18 media, or possibly the eyes of a policeman who was

19 concentrating, as he would naturally, I would say, on

20 any disorder that took place.

21 But no, honestly, I don't remember. I don't

22 remember disorder at the event I am remembering, which

23 sounds familiar, because there were these united fronts

of action to oppose fascistic or fascist groups.

Q. Thank you, Mr Corbyn.

- 1 There was another march in August of 1977 in
- 2 Lewisham.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. Which was a similar march against the National Front.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. Are you able to remember whether you were at that event?
- 7 A. No. I mean, I didn't go to every event, you know,
- 8 because --
- 9 Q. Did you attend many events/marches opposing
- 10 the National Front?
- 11 A. Quite a lot, yeah. I would have turned up at most
- 12 anti-NF rallies, or perhaps half of them. I -- I --
- I don't know. I don't remember Lewisham, but I do
- 14 remember this -- something definitely happening in
- 15 Haringey that I was at. Lewisham, I don't know, you'd
- have to tell me which part of Lewisham it was and it
- might -- might come to mind.
- 18 Q. It was a big march through the centre of Lewisham in
- 19 August 1977. It doesn't matter if you can't remember
- that one.
- I'm interested in --
- 22 A. Oh, okay.
- 23 Q. -- more generally, did you have much involvement with
- the Anti-Nazi League at around this time?
- 25 A. Well, yeah. I -- I went along to Anti-Nazi League

- 1 events, which were a kind of combination of united 2 fronts against what was thought to be fascistic tendencies, ie Nazi tendencies, which were propagated by 3 4 people like the National Front Party. But I didn't have 5 any -- any key involvement, no. I just went along as a -- an active supporter of the Anti-Nazi League. 6 7 Ο. I see.
- And did the IMG have a particular policy on confronting or opposing right-wing groups at this time? 9 10 Α. Yeah, I think so. I mean, it would have been -- well, 11 I remember we supported the Anti-Nazi League, but 12 I don't think the IMG was the main force in 13 the Anti-Nazi League. I think there were a lot of 14 groups involved in the Anti-Nazi League, and it became something which had a lot of happy music and did events 15 on Clapham Common, for example, which were, you know, 16 17 I think good creative events. In fact, irrespective of 18 the politics, they, you know, got young people, you know, involved in -- in things. 19
 - Thank you, Mr Corbyn. Q.

8

- 21 Just finally on this subject, you told us a moment 22 ago that you went to a number of these marches. Did you witness any disorder or violence at any of these marches 23 24 that you went to?
- 25 Well, actually, no. You see, I think these ANL things

1		and other anti-fascist demos, they were meant to be just
2		largely large groups of people getting in the way of
3		whatever the National Front wanted to do.
4		Now, there may well have been confrontations,
5		indeed, or mostly they were confrontations between
6		the police and the NF or the police and
7		the Anti-Nazi League, because both sides would be trying
8		to do something, ostensibly to get to the other, but
9		really it was this kind of symbolic fracas, in my
10		opinion. But I don't remember being I was never
11		personally involved in those sort of fracases.
12	Q.	Thank you, Mr Corbyn.
13		Can we turn to a separate topic, please, and bring
14		up report {UCPI/21485}. That's at tab 36. Thank you.
15		And if we just zoom in on paragraph 1.
16		This is a report, Mr Corbyn, submitted by thank
17		you a police officer, with a copy of a Fare Fight
18		internal document listing the active supporters of
19		the campaign.
20		Can you just tell us briefly please what the Fare
21		Fight campaign was about?
22	A.	Yes, certainly, with pleasure, actually. I would say at
23		the outset, Fare Fight was a kind of absolutely totally
24		passive protest about fare rises. Why this should

involve, you know, police surveillance like this, I've

1 no idea.

But the "Fare Fight" campaign happened because

Bromley Council had been to court to prevent the GLC -
well, London Transport, but it was then the GLC's

involvement in that -- reducing fares, and

Bromley Council made the fares go up. So then a "Fare

Fight" campaign was formed, which was to fight these

fare increases and make them go down.

What we were doing was we issued -- I mean, not me personally, but I was part of the setup which did this -- issued little booklets of what were called "deferred payment slips", whereby, if you travelled on the Tube or the bus, you would hand in -- you would buy a 10p ticket -- that was the smallest ticket you could do -- and you would say on it, "I today travelled from A to B and tendered a 10p ticket, and if you wish to recover the sum due, please contact me at" and you give your name and address.

So these were handed in in tens of thousands, or hundreds of thousands. Now, of course, it's up to London Transport then to chase people who hadn't paid what they said then should be the fare. And that was how the protest took place, and it was designed to cause disruption to the payment systems of London Transport, and therefore, hopefully, get fare reductions.

- Now, without going into the details, I ended up
- being the test case for this in the High Court in -- it
- 3 was called "Corbyn v Saunders". And we didn't win that
- 4 case, and these deferred payment slips were not allowed.
- 5 But the consequence of the campaign was that actually
- 6 the fares -- did go down again.
- 7 Q. Thank you --
- 8 A. I could go into the legalities of what happened in
- 9 the High Court, but it was all about whether or not
- 10 there was a comma present -- (overspeaking) -- and
- 11 the law should be read as if there was not a comma
- 12 present when there was a comma present in
- the sentence "travelling on the Tube without having paid
- the sum due, and with intent to avoid payment thereof".
- 15 Q. Thank you, Mr Corbyn. We don't need to go into
- 16 the legality.
- 17 A. Oh, okay, all right. It's just that I remembered in
- 18 detail and I was quite surprised that I did, so
- I thought I would tell you.
- 20 Q. We've got plenty of detail on that from your statement.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. I am just interested in knowing, this campaign, what
- 23 sort of methods did you use? Did you protest? Did you
- 24 produce leaflets? What sort of things did you do?
- 25 A. No, the only thing we were doing was -- we might have

- 1 had some placards and leaflets -- yeah, we did have
- 2 leaflets. We had leaflets. Well printed leaflets,
- I remember, which everybody read. And I think you had
- 4 a deferred payment slip on the bottom of each leaflet
- 5 which you could tear off and use. And we handed out
- 6 little booklets of deferred payment slips as well. That
- 7 was the sum total of the campaign. Very focused, very
- 8 specific. Completely passive.
- 9 Q. Is it right, Mr Corbyn, that the campaign had quite
- 10 a wide support base from other groups?
- 11 A. Oh, absolutely, it was hugely supported. I remember
- 12 giving out leaflets on the Tube train, and everybody who
- took a leaflet read it instantly, as if it was -- well,
- 14 you know, the readership of our leaflets was much higher
- than newspapers.
- Q. Could we just turn to page 5 --
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. -- of this report, $\{UCPI/21485/5\}$. I think some of
- 19 the groups may be mentioned there.
- I'm afraid this is the wrong way round, but the
- 21 bottom half of this page we can see --
- 22 A. There we are.
- Q. They've solved it for me. Thank you.
- You can see reference to the Young Liberals,
- 25 East London Libertarians, other groups -- thank you --

- 1 like Waltham Forest Trades Council?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. Claimants Union, the Socialist Worker,
- 4 International Socialists. It's right that this campaign
- 5 attracted quite a lot of wide support --
- 6 A. Absolutely. It was mass support, mass opposition to
- 7 these fare rises which had been imposed unjustly --
- 8 I would say this was an unjust law, by the way --
- 9 unjustly by Bromley Council, by some -- something they
- 10 did in the -- in the courts.
- 11 O. Thank you.
- 12 A. -- and thanks to democratic decision by the GLC and
- 13 London Transport to hold fares down.
- Q. Thank you. This report can come down now.
- I'd like to ask you, please, about a slightly
- 16 different topic.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. It's correct, isn't it, that you became involved in
- 19 a number of groups concerned with the situation in
- 20 Northern Ireland in the 1970s; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And was that through the IMG or was that entirely
- 23 separately?
- 24 A. It was mostly through the IMG, but I was always
- 25 slightly -- or certainly interested in Ireland, because

- 1 I remember as a child visiting Ireland, visiting Galway
- with my parents. So I -- I was interested anyway in
- 3 Ireland, yes.
- Q. There are three groups which we'll talk about.
- 5 The first is the ISC. I think it's right, isn't it,
- 6 that the IMG --
- 7 A. ISC is Irish Solidarity Campaign.
- 8 Q. Irish Solidarity Campaign.
- 9 It's right, isn't it, that the IMG had quite some
- influence within the ISC?
- 11 A. Yes, that's true. Anything the IMG was involved in or
- initiated would often have the word "solidarity" in it.
- 13 So it's like Vietnam Solidarity Campaign, Irish
- 14 Solidarity Campaign.
- 15 Q. I see.
- This influence, was it an attempt to try and control
- 17 the ISC, or was it more based on a similar support --
- 18 A. I think it was a similar support thing. I mean,
- 19 I wasn't very involved, but I did go to -- to events.
- 20 You see, the IMG idea in my mind then was to support or
- 21 organise or initiate the most effective groups in order
- 22 to present ideas to a wider -- wider layer, wider
- 23 population. So, that didn't necessitate the IMG
- 24 controlling things necessarily --
- Q. I see -- (overspeaking) --

- 1 A. -- although some people liked controlling things.
- 2 I think the important thing was to build these groups so
- 3 that they would gain traction.
- 4 Q. Just in terms of the IMG's policy towards
- Northern Ireland, could we bring up {UCPI/8129}.
- 6 That's behind tab 7, Sir.
- 7 It's paragraph 13 on page 2, please, {UCPI/8129/2}.
- 8 I should say, this is a pre-conference meeting for
- 9 the All London Members of the IMG.
- Just in terms of this issue, paragraph 13 reads:
- 11 "After this Peter Gowan spoke on the question of
- 12 Ireland and the IMG's attitude towards the situation
- 13 there. He said the political committee of the IMG
- 14 intended changing their official slogan on Ireland
- from 'Victory to the IRA' to 'Solidarity with the IRA'.
- 16 This measure was being taken as it was felt the former
- 17 slogan was ambiguous."
- A. Mm-hm.
- 19 Q. Can we take from this, Mr Corbyn, that the IMG supported
- 20 the IRA within the conflict in Northern Ireland at the
- 21 time?
- 22 A. Well, I think from that you can say that the support --
- if you want "support" to mean literal support for what
- 24 they do -- as it was ambiguous.
- 25 Now, I remember Peter Gowan. He was a great guy,

- a fantastic historian, and he's passed away,
- 2 unfortunately. But he was, you know, a really wonderful
- fellow. And very, very knowledgeable on history. So,
- 4 you know, he would have been saying things which people
- 5 would listen to.
- I think the solidarity with the IRA would mean we
- 7 support the right of -- or the campaign for a united
- 8 Ireland. Although -- well, obviously lots of things
- 9 the IRA were doing, or appeared to be doing, which I
- think we would not support. But the word "solidarity"
- 11 here means a kind of general support for the aims,
- that's what I would say, or my understanding of it.
- 13 This phrase, I'm not sure if that "victory to the
- 14 IRA" sort of slogan was around for a long time or not,
- but it clearly could be misunderstood by all sorts of
- 16 people. So it was right to change it, if in fact it had
- 17 existed as a significant thing anyway.
- Q. Mr Corbyn, just so we're clear, you've said that the IMG
- 19 would support some aspects of the IRA's policy?
- 20 A. Yeah, ie the aims. That is there should be a united
- 21 Ireland, and that the division of Ireland in the --
- 22 was it 1921 division of Ireland was wrong, and,
- 23 you know, the whole of Ireland should have stayed as
- 24 Ireland. But, you know, that's, of course -- it wasn't
- 25 the case. I mean, there was a -- you know, we still do

- 1 have a divided Ireland.
- Q. Would the IMG have supported the tactics used by the IRA or not?
- 4 I would say no. Well, it depends which tactics you Α. 5 mean, because, you see, the IRA, or their political wing Sinn Fein, Sinn Fein did all sorts of things which 6 7 no one would disagree with. Like, they built community 8 groups to help people who were unemployed, and all that sort of stuff. So, you know, they covered a broad front 9 10 of activities. And I would have thought really that 11 when they talked about solidarity with the IRA, it meant 12 they would be, in reality, having solidarity with Sinn 13 Fein, which was the political angle of the struggle for a united Ireland. 14
- 15 Q. I see.
- Turning, please, to the ISC -- and we can take this

 document down. You've told us in your statement

 the ISC was established to provide a network for

 the nationalist community in the UK. What was your

 involvement in the ISC?
- A. Well, small. When there were meetings -- you see,

 I think the ISC probably coordinated things like the -
 or coordinated with the anti-Nazi -- sorry, not

 the Anti-Nazi League, the Anti-Internment League, AIL,

 which was -- and that did interest me. And they would

- work with other things. The Troops Out Movement was
- 2 another thing. So they were all different groups which
- 3 were mobilising on the Irish question. And
- 4 I personally, and I think most IMG members, would
- 5 definitely go along to things involved with
- 6 the Anti-Internment League; because of course -- I don't
- 7 know if you know yourself, but at that time, the British
- 8 Government were arresting people in Ireland who they
- 9 deemed to be dangerous and interning them, imprisoning
- them, without trial. And this, you see, is against all
- democratic processes under English law. So we were just
- 12 campaigning for very basic human rights.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- Is it fair to say you attended meetings of all three
- of these groups, so that's the ISC, the AIL and TOM?
- 16 A. I might have, but not in any large role. I mean,
- 17 the Anti-Internment League would have been the main
- thing I would have gone along to.
- 19 Q. So can we take it from that you weren't involved in any
- 20 management or organisation?
- 21 A. No, no, not involved in any management or organisation
- of these -- these -- these campaigns.
- Q. And in terms of their activities, what would they do?
- Are we talking about marches and leaflets, and things
- 25 like that?

- 1 A. Yes. Yeah, they'd have marches and leaflets in Kilburn.
- 2 I remember marches and leaflets in Shepherd's Bush
- 3 Green, because it's a big kind of green triangle is
- 4 Shepherd's Bush Green, and that would be a good place
- for meeting quite a lot of Irish -- Irish people living
- 6 around there.
- 7 And there were quite a few Irish people -- because
- 8 at that time, you see, 19 -- well, it depends what time
- 9 this was. But between 1972 and 1975, I was squatting in
- 10 Elgin Avenue, Paddington. And they were quite a number
- of Irish people squatting as well. Some of whom were
- 12 undoubtedly Republicans, in the sense they supported
- the Republican cause. That doesn't mean they were
- involved in the IRA in any way, but that sort of factor.
- The label "republican" can lead to confusion. I
- mean, a "republican" means supporting the republic of
- 17 all Ireland. That's what it meant in those days anyway.
- 18 Q. I think what may be obvious is that all three of these
- 19 groups are Republican groups in one way or another?
- 20 A. Yes, absolutely, absolutely.
- 21 Q. In terms of their aims, did any of these groups advocate
- 22 for the use of violence in furtherance of those --
- 23 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- Q. Did they support the use of violence by others in
- 25 Northern Ireland on either side of the divide?

A. Well, I don't think so, no, no. I mean, you see, the -I mean, the violence from both sides was -- was in the
end, I would say, counter-productive. And in the end,
of course, we have police now in Northern Ireland, or
relative police, which has got rid of "The Troubles", as
they call them.

- Q. In your memory of being involved in these groups, did
 many of the people who were members or at meetings
 advocate individually for the use of violence in
 furtherance of the causes they supported?
- A. No. I remember when Bloody Sunday happened going on a big demonstration at Trafalgar Square, or it must have been the day after or something, Trafalgar Square and down Whitehall and down Downing Street. And the police tried to break it up, and then there were various scuffles with the police, but that's all they were. And I was arrested, in fact, for something. And I think I got a minor thing, a conditional discharge or something, so it wasn't -- wasn't a big deal.

But then, you have to realise what was happening.

I mean, the British army had shot at defenceless people,
and so there was a huge amount of anger. And that was,
you know, a big -- a big demonstration. But that was -the anger was against what the British Army had done,
and the British Army was effectively suppressing

- the people's rights, which included interning --
- 2 internment of people, in a totally undemocratic fashion.
- 3 Q. Thank you, Mr Corbyn.
- 4 I'd like to move on to something you mentioned
- 5 a moment ago, please. You mention that you were
- 6 involved in the squatting movement from -- I think it's
- from about 1972 onwards.
- 8 A. Correct, yes.
- 9 Q. You've said in your witness statement that this was
- 10 perhaps through the IMG that you became involved in
- 11 this?
- 12 A. Ah, no, it wasn't through the IMG. At that time, I was
- a member of the IMG.
- 14 Q. I see.
- 15 A. I became involved in squatting because I lived in
- Notting Hill at 17A Rendle Street, and I took
- 17 the landlord to a rent tribunal and got the rent halved,
- and then the landlord gave me a notice to quit, because
- 19 we did not have furnished tenancy security. And my
- 20 elder brother who was sharing the flat with me -- not
- Jeremy, who's younger than me -- elder brother, called
- 22 Andrew Corbyn, who has unfortunately since died, but he
- 23 said to me, he said, "Why don't we squat? Piers, why
- don't we squat?" And I said, "Don't be silly, only
- 25 hippies do that." But anyway, nevertheless, we did

- 1 squat. And the IMG view of this was that maybe this was
- 2 -- well, it's okay, you're squatting. But when
- I started saying, "Oh, we should organise things in
- 4 squatting, because we have to stop evictions, " some of
- 5 the people in the IMG were opposed to this and said, no,
- 6 we should do things which they described as "the real
- 7 tenants' struggle" in Notting Hill.
- 8 Q. Oh, I see.
- 9 A. But I did convince them that they should actually
- 10 support what I was doing, and they did in the end. And
- 11 which we achieved very great things there.
- 12 Q. We'll come on to those.
- 13 A. Yes, of course. Yes --
- 14 Q. But just --
- 15 A. The answer to your question is, no, I got involved
- 16 because of my housing needs.
- 17 Q. I see.
- We can take it from that, can we, that certainly
- 19 the IMG involvement, the faction, I think you said in
- 20 your statement, was quite small, at least --
- 21 A. Well, yeah, it was -- we set up an IMG squatting faction
- 22 to sort of explain to the IMG people what was going on;
- and also get practical support for when we did things.
- 24 And that, actually, was a good thing to do, because in
- 25 the end, we were very successful and the IMG or other

- left groups realised the importance of this form of
- 2 action to help house the homeless.
- Q. I see. Can we please bring up {UCPI/9509}, please.
- 4 It's at tab 27, Sir.
- 5 Mr Corbyn, this is a report submitted by a police
- 6 officer with a copy of Easy 111, which is a document
- 7 we'll look at in a moment, published by the Maida Hill
- 8 Squatters and Tenants' Association, and I think this was
- 9 produced after what's described as "the successful
- 10 campaign of the Elgin Avenue Squatters"?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. Which led to them all being rehoused. And this is
- 13 a campaign that you were involved in --
- 14 A. Well, I was the key organiser, I would say.
- Q. Key organiser, yes.
- Just in brief, it's right, isn't it, that this was
- a squat that you had become involved in, in vacant
- 18 houses due for demolition?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And after a campaign by you and others, the council
- 21 agreed to rehouse you all, and so you left.
- 22 Can we turn, please, to page 9 of this document
- $\{UCPI/9509/9\}$. Thank you.
- I'm interested in the section that
- 25 reads "Demolition" in the bottom left of the page.

- 1 A. The bottom left.
- Q. Don't worry, it will come up.
- 3 A. Oh, it will come up, okay. Ah, right.
- 4 Q. This is a document that you produced, possibly with
- 5 others. And the part under "Demolition" --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- says:
- 8 "On the dawn of Thurs Oct 16, 200 police were in
- 9 Elgin Avenue outside the empty houses. They had been
- 10 booked for the eviction if we had not ... gone ..."
- 11 Can we take it from that, Mr Corbyn, that in
- the process of the attempted eviction, the police had
- 13 attended in some numbers, if you hadn't agreed to
- 14 leave --
- 15 A. Well, I think, yeah what happened, October 15, at noon
- or thereabouts, the GLC and police agreed that we would
- 17 all be rehoused and the police would, if you like,
- supervise and assist our leaving. And we went to South
- 19 London in vans, or whatever, organised by the GLC.
- Now, we didn't know, but in fact the police had
- a plan that if we hadn't left, there would be a mass
- 22 eviction on the following day, probably -- well, they
- 23 liked doing these things at 6 am, so probably it would
- 24 have been at dawn on the next day. But curiously -- and
- 25 that's when the demolition began -- the police carried

- out their exercise anyway and all turned up. But which
- was obviously completely unnecessary because nobody was
- going to try and get back in there because we'd all been
- 4 successfully rehoused. But, you know, these things have
- a life of their own, don't they.
- 6 Q. Could we turn to page 2, {UCPI/9509/2}, please.
- 7 A. But I remember turning up there at dawn, because I got
- 8 a phone call to the effect that -- or some call -- how
- 9 did I know to be there?
- 10 Q. Thank you --
- 11 A. Anyway, we knew the demolition was going to happen, so
- 12 we went there to watch.
- 13 Q. Thank you, Mr Corbyn.
- 14 I'm just going to read a small section out, then
- I'll ask a question or two about it. It's the very
- bottom part of this page, that says:
- 17 "Our collective organised strength and support meant
- 18 we could physically resist and in a confrontation human
- 19 justice would be on our side. So whatever happened
- the GLC had to lose and we had to win."
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. Now, in your witness statement you've told us that an
- 23 announcement which was made in this case to defend
- the squat against eviction often led to rehousing.
- 25 A. Mm-hm.

Q. Was an announcement to physically resist the eviction
therefore a tactic, or was this something that was
realistically going to happen if they hadn't --

A. Well, I think realistically, a crowd of people standing around outside a building, even though we'd built barricades, would not prevent the -- the police or the bailiffs gaining control. So, our barricades were -- although physical, in their effect they were largely -- or in fact, primarily symbolic and political, to say, you know, what's behind the barricades is right, and the police trying to -- or the bailiffs trying to remove people is wrong.

And we put forward clear demands about the right to housing for everybody, and the need to use up empty properties which the GLC had. And GLC councillors, to give them their credit, accepted our argument, only after we had projected this idea across people and got support from local tenants' associations and Westminster Trades Council. And then they gave in, and Richard Balfe, the chair of the housing management committee, organised adequate rehousing. I remember having a meeting with him and others in the town hall, where he had to basically shout at GLC officers to tell them they had to find this housing for these people by a certain time. Which they did, because the GLC had

- 1 huge resources of empty properties.
- 2 Q. Thank you, Mr Corbyn.
- 3 Could we look at the bottom of page 3 of this
- document, please, {UCPI/9509/3}.
- 5 A. Yes, I hope so. I remember typing this. This was
- 6 a long time ago. But anyway, there you are.
- 7 Q. Right.
- 8 Now, this page has what appears to be a quote from
- 9 you at the bottom.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. I'm just going to read out -- I do apologise that it's
- 12 not that clear. I think it reads:
- 13 "Even though we had more than average for London's
- 14 homeless of desperate, disoriented people, our
- organisation and policies won. At the end, people were
- more ready to physically confront the police and State
- 17 than I expected. The Streets Meets were
- the pooling ..."
- 19 A. Pooling, oh pooling, yeah.
- 20 Q. "... and creating of all our strength. Our victory
- 21 proves the indomitable spirit of humanity. The lessons
- of Elgin and other struggles before it everywhere will
- 23 be used in a thousand struggles to come in housing and
- 24 workplace until Capitalism is finally smashed and our
- 25 world will be OURS."

- Now, Mr Corbyn, I'm just interested in that, where
- 2 it says that "people were more ready to physically
- 3 confront the police and State than I expected".
- 4 A. Mm-hm.
- 5 Q. That seems to suggest that there was at least
- 6 a realistic prospect that there could have been some
- 7 violence --
- 8 A. Well.
- 9 Q. -- (overspeaking) -- if anything happened; would you
- 10 agree with that?
- 11 A. Well, wherever the violence comes from. You see, what
- it meant was we would call upon people in the buildings
- to stay put, which they did. In the weekend before, we
- had, what, 200 people in the street. Only three people
- 15 left. Only three people left. Despite the fact they
- 16 knew that there was an eviction imminent. At that
- 17 point -- because we hadn't got our offer of housing at
- that point, the weekend before, it came on the Monday
- 19 morning. What that meant was you wanted people to stay
- in the buildings and be in the way of any police or
- 21 bailiffs who would try and come in. So any violence
- 22 would have to come from the police and bailiffs.
- 23 And there would be a crowd outside from local
- 24 squatters. There were hundreds of squats in the area.
- 25 And they indeed turned up, and stood outside on the --

- on the day when we were rehoused. In that case it was
- for solidarity, ie I get, you know, moral support, if
- 3 you like.
- 4 That's what that means. It doesn't mean that people
- 5 were ready to take up armed struggle or anything
- 6 approaching that against the -- the state. As I said,
- 7 the purpose of these things was political persuasion of
- 8 those in power so that it became politically necessary
- 9 for them to do what we wanted, ie house the homeless.
- 10 Q. Could we just have a quick look at the top half of this
- 11 page, please?
- 12 A. Yeah, sure.
- 13 Crumbs, I'm remembering these people now I'm seeing
- 14 them. Heathcote Williams, he's died now. Now, he
- 15 wasn't a squatter -- well, he was, but not there, he was
- a supporter.
- 17 Q. You'll be able to see that in fact the second person who
- is mentioned on this leaflet is listed as "25 single"?
- 19 A. "Michael Johnstone, 25 single".
- 20 Q. I'm interested in what he says towards the end of
- 21 the paragraph --
- 22 A. Okay.
- Q. -- ascribed to him. It says:
- "If they hadn't given us rehousing and let us
- 25 inspect it, and if the Sheriff and Police had tried to

- 1 break the barricades without our consent I would have
- thrown rubbish and ..."
- 3 "Card" I think that possibly says?
- 4 A. No "sand", I think.
- 5 Q. So sorry, "sand", you're quite right:
- 6 "... I was ready to meet their violence with
- 7 violence. If it meant prison it meant prison."
- 8 How does that fit with what you have just told us,
- 9 Mr Corbyn?
- 10 A. Well, I'm telling you what I thought and how we
- 11 initiated -- or how I organised the struggle. It's an
- individual there who's saying he would have been ready
- to commit -- well, to meet their violence with violence.
- 14 That's what he says. So I wrote down what he said,
- that's all. It doesn't mean that we were putting
- 16 forward such a thing.
- Q. But certainly that members --
- 18 A. "We" meaning me writing this newspaper, this newssheet,
- 19 and the sort of small committee of immediate active
- 20 supporters, some of whom might have been in the IMG, but
- it's -- we were a self-organised democratic setup in
- 22 Elgin Avenue. I don't remember this guy. I think "25"
- 23 means not his age but the house he lived in.
- 24 O. I see.
- 25 A. So it's number 25 Elgin Avenue.

- Q. You've said in your statement you were surprised at the readiness of people to confront?
- 3 A. Mm.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

happened.

- Q. What did you mean by that? Why were you surprised by it?
- Well, a threat of eviction is a very fearful thing. And 6 Α. 7 what had surprised me was, the weekend before I said to everybody, "Look, we're going to build barricades. 8 9 We're still trying to make the GLC rehouse us all. 10 may come evict us next week. Please stay put." And 11 people did, despite how fearful it was. If you think 12 about it, you know, because people had seen evictions in 13 other places; they'd seen the police storming in, or 14 the bailiffs storming in backed by the police, and on occasions there might be arrests. But it's a scary 15 thing. And I said, "Well, it is a scary thing, but if 16 17 we stay put, they may indeed rehouse us." Which is what

So the resilience, if you like, was people deciding to risk, you know, an unknown, potentially hurtful situation. You know, as I said, only three people left the street in that previous weekend. And that really -- you know, I knew at that -- I knew at that point we'd win. That Monday morning I knew we'd win, because only three people had left the street, and the GLC could see

us. They could see the banners, they could see

the barricades. And they thought, "Well, okay, they're

actually right, these squatters are actually right."

So this thing about risking things wasn't about violence, it was about people being ready to -- well, face violence from the police and bailiffs, if you like. I mean, I would regard breaking down the door with a sledgehammer as a violent act. Okay, it's maybe not against a person, but it is a violent act, I would say.

Q. Thank you, Mr Corbyn.

Just lastly on this, the very last thing you've said at the bottom of the page is about smashing capitalism.

Can we take it from that that your involvement in the squatting movement was linked to your political activities at that time and that --

A. No, I was in the IMG, so I would tag on these sort of things. But, you know, that wasn't my motive for being involved in squatting. My motive for being involved in squatting was to, 1, house myself and, 2, house others.

And the consequence in the end of this victory in Elgin Avenue was that the GLC revised its whole policies completely. There were 10,000 squats in GLC properties.

And two years later, the GLC gave tenancies to all 10,000 GLC squats. And that followed on from our struggle. And that was a fantastic -- fantastic

- 1 victory. And achieved without any violence, or even
- 2 a single arrest -- or a single arrest at the barricades.
- I mean, people did get arrested for sometimes entering
- 4 other -- entering squats, or whatever. But, you know,
- 5 in terms of our campaign, we were totally peaceful.
- 6 And the IMG went along with what I was doing. There
- 7 wasn't any attempt to make us more anything, or
- 8 whatever. They said, "Yeah, that's fantastic, Piers,
- 9 you know, you've achieved a lot of things here."
- 10 Q. Thank you, Mr Corbyn. You, I think shortly after, also
- 11 became involved in a similar issue at the Huntley Street
- 12 squat.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Could we please bring up {UCPI/21215}. Thank you.
- This is a report of a meeting at which you spoke
- I think on behalf of the Huntley Street squatters
- 17 campaign?
- A. Mm-hm.
- 19 Q. It's a meeting held in Conway Hall in March 1979. And
- it was held under the auspices of the People's
- 21 Commission, and was concerning persons unknown. And
- 22 I think that was connected to the Persons Unknown trial
- which was around at that time.
- 24 The report --
- 25 A. Right.

- 1 Q. -- notes that:
- 2 "The majority of those presents were from Persons
- 3 Unknown and Friends of Astrid Proll."
- 4 And says:
- 5 "Among the other groups represented were
- 6 the Anarchist Black Cross, the London Workers Group,
- 7 the Gay Activists Alliance the 'Sus' Campaign,
- 8 the Huntley Street Squatters Campaign ..."
- 9 A number of these groups are anarchist groups,
- 10 Mr Corbyn.
- 11 A. Mm-hm.
- 12 Q. What connections did you have, other than speaking at
- meetings like this, with anarchist groups?
- 14 A. Well, I knew some of these anarchist group operatives,
- but I'm trying to remember. I do remember meetings in
- Conway Hall, but what was this --
- Q. Well, if we scroll down -- (overspeaking) --
- 18 A. (inaudible) -- commission, what was that?
- 19 Q. If we scroll down to the second half of the page, that
- 20 might help. Paragraph 4 -- thank you -- if we could
- just go up a little. Thank you.
- I'll read out what it says?
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. "Dave Morris acted as spokesman for the Persons Unknown
- and said that the purpose of the 'People's Commission'

- was to examine evidence of the ways in which the laws
- were enforced by the police and to hold a demonstration
- 3 against the police later this year."
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. You've told us that you went to some meetings with
- 6 anarchist groups. Did you share any of their aims or
- 7 objectives?
- 8 A. Well, the aims and objectives here were about organising
- 9 some sort of tribunal which was aiming to get the police
- 10 to presumably not do certain things they were doing.
- 11 They said "have a panel of judges". I suppose these
- were judges which were like people judges, as opposed to
- 13 getting a judge from a court, I suppose. "Should
- 14 liberal types be invited to ... " who -- is this
- 15 a document I'm meant to have written, or this is
- 16 a document from the police?
- 17 Q. It's from the police.
- 18 A. Special Branch, who were posing these questions,
- 19 I understand, yeah. Obviously, yeah.
- Q. It's a meeting at which you spoke.
- 21 A. Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah.
- Q. Your name is on the --
- A. Sure, no. No, I'm sure I would have spoken, saying,
- 24 "Look, we have to call the police to account." Which is
- 25 something which applies now as well as then. And ...

- 1 Well, I mean, as you know, I'm standing as a Mayor
- 2 candidate in the coming elections. And I think
- 3 the police do have to be more accountable.
- 4 That's what my involvement in this would have been
- 5 about. It wouldn't have meant that I would -- you see,
- 6 I wouldn't have known all of these groups anyway. I'd
- 7 know some of them, that's for sure. But -- oh, you
- 8 suddenly lost that thing. It doesn't matter, does it?
- 9 Can you hear me?
- 10 Q. Yes, yes, Mr Corbyn.
- 11 A. Well, I'm just saying that, to my mind, when I was
- 12 speaking there, that was about holding the police to
- account, so they didn't do things which were illegal or
- 14 -- or unjust. The fact that there were -- the meeting
- included anarchists is really neither here nor there,
- for my attendance. I mean, I don't come or go to
- 17 meetings based on who's there, I come or go to meetings
- 18 based on what needs to be said to people -- well, which
- 19 could be there or people who could be perhaps not there,
- 20 but hear what was said.
- 21 Q. So is it fair to say this is, as far as you can recall,
- a meeting at which you shared a common cause with other
- people who were there?
- A. A common cause in terms of calling the police to
- 25 account, yes. But beyond that, I don't remember any

- 1 common cause.
- 2 Q. Can you remember other meetings with similar groups,
- anarchist groups, on different causes? Or is this a --
- 4 A. No, I don't. I mean, within the squatting movement,
- 5 there were -- there were all sorts of political groups
- 6 operating. Quite a lot of religious groups operating,
- 7 you know? Hare Krishna's operating, Children of God
- 8 operating and different anarchist groups operating. So
- 9 I just regarded them as people who were operating, and
- 10 hoped they would cooperate when we needed numbers to --
- 11 to make a -- make a point. Like, you know, getting
- someone's gas reconnected if the gas company had
- disconnected them, for example.
- 14 Q. Thank you very much, Mr Corbyn.
- There's just one more issue I wanted to ask you a --
- 16 A. Sure.
- 17 Q. -- question on briefly.
- 18 Could we please bring up a copy of your witness
- 19 statement. It's $\{UCPI/34186\}$, and it's page 59,
- 20 paragraph 173, {UCPI/34186/59}.
- 21 A. Ah, right. So I've got it in front of me anyway.
- I thought you were going to show it.
- Q. It will appear on the screen.
- Now, it's about a section of your statement where
- 25 you were asked whether you recalled Colin Clark, who was

- 1 a --
- 2 A. Ah, yes.
- 3 Q. -- a UCO, HN80. You say there that you remember him
- 4 selling Red Mole every Saturday morning.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Now, I think, Mr Corbyn, you have in fact seen
- 7 a photograph of HN80 before you gave evidence today.
- 8 Now, I just want to ask you this, please. Red Mole was
- 9 an IMG publication, wasn't it?
- 10 A. It was.
- 11 O. And I think we know that HN80 reported on the SWP
- 12 exclusively. Would it have been very unusual for
- a member of the SWP to be selling the Red Mole every
- 14 Saturday morning?
- 15 A. Oh, it would, it would. That would have been not
- a thing they would do. So perhaps I've got confused
- 17 about who I saw. You see, I've been shown some photos,
- 18 but they aren't photos I recognised. But I do remember
- the name "Colin Clark".
- 20 Now, he could have been a policeman infiltrating in
- 21 the IS, the International Socialists, who -- who
- 22 would -- could easily turn up at things we were at, but
- 23 he wouldn't have sold -- sold Red Mole. So, perhaps my
- 24 memory is confused, I don't know.
- 25 But I do remember a "Colin Clark", and he was quite

- an intelligent, knowledgeable guy, you know? And
- I didn't suspect him of being a policeman at the time.
- Well, as I said here, he was a good conversationalist.
- 4 Q. Mr Corbyn, thank you very much. Those are the only
- 5 questions --
- 6 A. So, I -- so, perhaps you can refresh my memory. I mean,
- 7 have I actually been shown a picture of "Colin Clark",
- 8 or of somebody else?
- 9 Q. You have --
- 10 A. Then, I didn't recognise him, so perhaps -- perhaps this
- 11 wasn't then "Colin Clark" who was long auburn hair. But
- 12 I think I'm describing "Colin Clark" accurately.
- 13 Q. Mr Corbyn, it may not matter, I just thought it was
- important that you had an opportunity to know --
- 15 A. No, no. Thank you. I just would say that I don't --
- I mean, you know, looking back, I'm kind of staggered to
- 17 think, my God, these people in front of me, helping or
- 18 whatever, including in my flat and so on, were actually
- 19 -- actually policemen.
- 20 And of course, you know, these policemen involved
- 21 would be deemed to have assisted in the things we were
- doing, which, if what we were doing was illegal or
- dangerous, then of course it must be questionable as to
- the police's role.
- 25 I do remember specifically infiltrators in

the Huntley Street squad. They were called "Nigel" and "Mary", and we suspected these people were police agents or something. And indeed, at the eviction -- because there was an eviction in the case of Huntley Street, and there was rehousing in the end as well. But this "Nigel" and "Mary" were police agents, because in the subsequent court case, because some of us were arrested -- it's curious there's not a report about this in these documents -- I asked in court to Michael Ellis Harris, the Undersheriff of London, who were "Nigel" and "Mary", and he said, "They worked for me." And the whole court -- it was the biggest court in Marylebone Magistrates Court -- went totally silent.

And the magistrate went berserk. He said, "You mean to tell me that you had police agents working for you but helping the squatters go around London collecting corrugated iron and building barricades against the law?" And the magistrate was very angry.

But it seems to me now that since you haven't mentioned this "Nigel" and "Mary", perhaps they were working directly for the Undersheriff of London, not for the police and not part of Special Branch, I don't know. But I think that's something which Sir John Mitting might want to look into, that there was other things going on involving other branches of the state, not just

- 1 Special Branch.
- 2 MR WARNER: Thank you very much, Mr Corbyn. I don't have
- 3 any further questions.
- 4 A. Thank you.
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Corbyn, the remit that I have --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: -- occupies my time and that of the Inquiry
- 8 team without extending it any further.
- 9 A. I guess that's a fair comment. It's just that I'm a bit
- 10 fascinated that -- you see, my main activity then was,
- 11 you know, loads of stuff in squatting, but this
- 12 concentrated on all these things about Irish issues and
- so on, when there was a lot going on in squatting,
- including infiltration. So who were "Nigel" and "Mary"
- apart from being linked with the Undersheriff? Okay,
- 16 you can't extend the Inquiry, I don't know. But it's an
- issue anyway.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 19 One of the things I'm going to ask you to do is to
- 20 wait for a quarter of an hour. At the end of every
- 21 witness's evidence, time is allowed for
- 22 core participants to propose questions to
- 23 Counsel to the Inquiry.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: And if you wouldn't mind coming back in

- 1 a quarter of an hour, I'd be grateful.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 4 A. Thank you.
- 5 MR FERNANDES: Good afternoon, everyone. We will now take
- 6 a break. May I remind those in the virtual hearing room
- 7 to remember to join your break-out rooms, please.
- The time is 12.30 pm, so we shall resume at
- 9 12.45 pm.
- 10 Thank you.
- 11 (12.32 pm)
- 12 (A short break)
- 13 (12.46 pm)
- MR FERNANDES: Good afternoon, everyone, and welcome back.
- 15 I will now hand over to the Chairman to continue
- 16 proceedings.
- 17 Chairman.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 19 Mr Warner, I understand there is one question that
- 20 you are going to ask Mr Corbyn at this stage.
- 21 MR WARNER: Yes, sir.
- 22 Mr Corbyn, can you hear me all right? Mr Corbyn?
- I think you might be on mute.
- 24 A. Got it.
- 25 Q. Right.

- 1 Mr Corbyn, I've just got one follow-up question, if
- 2 --
- 3 A. Sure.
- 4 Q. -- you don't mind.
- 5 You told us earlier this morning that the IMG did
- 6 try to support other groups without controlling them.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you went on to say that some other groups,
- 9 revolutionary groups, did join others in attempts to try
- 10 and control them.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Drawing a distinction between the IMG and these other
- groups.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Could you help us, please. Can you remember what other
- 16 groups used to do that?
- 17 A. Well, the impression I had -- or I think it was
- 18 accurate, from my memory -- is that the IS,
- 19 International Socialists, were normally very intent on
- 20 controlling whatever they were doing. So, they would
- 21 have things which we would describe -- or I would
- 22 describe as "fronts". So, you know, they would have
- 23 some campaign or other called something, but really it
- 24 was just being controlled completely by the IS.
- The IMG did, I suppose -- well, yeah, the IMG

- 1 would -- would have a less controlling way of doing
- things. Although, of course, in all these groups there
- 3 are people who would be intent on control because it's
- 4 easier to manage, possibly. But you see, it's not
- 5 necessarily more effective. You know, I think
- 6 the important thing is to get more participation. And
- 7 I think that was generally the IMG view.
- 8 Q. Is it just the IS that you were thinking of, or any
- 9 other groups? Or is it them in particular?
- 10 A. Well, there would be other groups, like anarchist
- groups, who would really just be very self-contained and
- 12 wouldn't want anybody interfering with them, if you
- 13 like. And would probably -- they might indeed set up
- campaigns, or front campaigns, but they would indeed be
- 15 totally in charge of them. But the anarchist groups, to
- be fair, would also just turn up at meetings and join
- 17 into anything. So there wouldn't be a consistent
- 18 pattern with them.
- 19 MR WARNER: Thank you, Mr Corbyn. That was the question
- I had for you.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. That concludes your evidence now.
- 23 May I thank you for bringing to light --
- 24 MR MENON: Sir, excuse me. May I ask for permission to ask
- 25 a few questions? I thought I had an automatic right,

- given I represent Mr Corbyn.
- 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I do apologise. You wish to ask
- 3 questions in re-examination?
- 4 MR MENON: If I may.
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: I apologise, I had overlooked that fact.
- 6 MR MENON: No, it's not a problem.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 MR MENON: I'll just use a small part of my allotted time,
- 9 if I may.
- 10 Questions by MR MENON
- MR MENON: Mr Corbyn, just a few matters.
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. Looking back at over 50 years of activism, have you, in
- 14 your opinion, ever engaged in any political activity
- that you consider was subversive in that it threatened
- the safety or wellbeing of the state and was intended to
- 17 undermine or overthrow parliamentary democracy by
- 18 political, industrial or violent means?
- 19 A. No, I haven't. Neither then, nor since then, nor now.
- I mean, obviously things happened which could be
- 21 misinterpreted in those vein(?), but you see -- no, I
- 22 would say the answer to that is no.
- 23 Q. Now, amongst the intelligence reports that have been
- 24 disclosed to you, there are a number of that indicate
- 25 that a particular SDS officer who uses the nominal

- 1 "HN338", an officer who's no longer alive, attended at
- least three small political meetings at your home in
- 3 1972?
- A. Well, I want to know who this HN338 was.
- 5 Q. Yes, I understand.
- I mean, the position is that we don't know his cover
- 7 name and you haven't been shown a photograph of this
- 8 particular officer from the 1970s, and my question is
- 9 this: if you were given his cover name and if you were
- given a photograph of him from the early 1970s, might
- 11 that assist you in remembering more about this
- 12 particular officer and the nature of his spying on you?
- 13 A. Oh, it certainly would. It certainly would. I mean,
- 14 you know, seeing a face brings forth memories.
- You see, I remember "Colin Clark". I'm slightly
- 16 puzzled that I didn't recognise his photo, but on
- 17 the other hand, you know, photos and memories can be
- 18 confusing. But I do remember this "Colin Clark", who
- 19 was, you know, a friendly sort of guy.
- I remember a Paul Pearsall being active in
- 21 Imperial College and also sometimes coming to my flat.
- 22 Could he be HN338? I -- I don't know. I don't know.
- Q. Thank you.
- 24 Could I please ask for the following document to be
- 25 put on the screen {UCPI/10850}.

- 1 And Sir, I think it's at tab 34 of your file.
- But, Mr Corbyn, you'll get it on the screen.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. You can see from the top that it's a Special Branch
- 5 document, and if you look at the first three lines, it
- f reads as follows:
- 7 "The following has been received from a reliable
- 8 source and is based on twelve months acquaintance with
- 9 the squatting field in London."
- 10 And you can see it's dated --
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. -- 12 August 1976.
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. And unless I'm missing it, we don't know the author of
- this particular document; it doesn't appear, either by
- 16 number or by name, anywhere.
- 17 Have you had the opportunity, Mr Corbyn, to re-read
- this document?
- 19 A. I have, yes. I have.
- Q. In your opinion, does it accurately portray
- 21 the squatting movement in London in 1976?
- 22 A. Well, partly, but in its, you know, general view,
- I would say no. You see, the squatting movement then
- 24 was hugely diverse, and this document, although it's
- 25 got, you know, geographical and organisational things

1		correct, like people were meeting at St Paul's Road and
2		campaigning against the Criminal Trespass Law, those
3		things are correct, but it seems to have in it quite
4		a lot of political interpretation, which I think
5		I don't know if they're trying to please someone who
6		this report was was written for.
7		It's it sort of implies things were a lot more
8		anarchistic then they were although anarchists were
9		important and suggests that squatting appeared to be
10		more of a political thing than it was. Although
11		squatters became political, you know, people people
12		squatted through desperation. That's what happened in
13		Elgin Avenue, they were desperate people.
14	Q.	You've
15	Α.	I'm just flashing through this thing in front of me, in
16		the printed version, because it's
17		You see, this thing here yes, there's one he says
18		on page whatever page 2, he says which is
19		they're not numbered paragraphs he said:
20		"The truth is that over 80% [this is the squatters]
21		do not want council housing because it would require
22		that meet certain obligations."
23		You see, that's just not true. I mean, in
24		Elgin Avenue, everybody that was offered housing took

it, nobody turned it down, and it did involve

obligations, obviously, of paying the licence fee and
eventually, when people were rehoused, it was -- it was
the rent.

Now, there were anarchists in the movement who said we shouldn't accept any rehousing, we should squat on forever, because that's like a new future. And I just said, "Look, that's ridiculous". I mean, people have come here for housing and that's what they want, and if it means paying rent, then they'll pay rent and they'll want to, and that's exactly what happened.

So, you see, this document is written, I don't know, from the point of view of somebody who was anarchistic and wanted to believe certain things. You see, we don't know who wrote this. Was it a sort of anarchistic person who sent it in hoping to convince the police of something? I don't know. What is the origin of this document? But I would say as it is it's an oversimplification of the -- the reality.

And I've got another mark here. Ah yes, about the police. It says on page -- well -- ah, the bottom of the page -- it's all the same numbers here. It says:

"The general attitude towards the police is one of complete non-cooperation."

Well, that isn't true either. I mean, attitudes towards the police was just a practical matter. I mean,

- it's -- as most people's attitude towards the police is.
- 2 And indeed, in Elgin Avenue, we didn't seek cooperation
- 3 with the police, but when we wanted -- when we needed to
- discuss things, or when they wanted to discuss with us,
- 5 we did.
- And I remember a specific case, very illustrative,
- 7 of we -- there was somebody who had been making trouble
- 8 in the street, you know, living in there and harassing
- 9 women and serious, serious, stuff. So we told him -- we
- 10 had a street meeting and told this guy he had to go and
- 11 we removed him from the squat. We told him somewhere
- else he could go and live, but he wasn't going to be
- 13 allowed in Elgin Avenue any more.
- 14 Q. Thank you.
- 15 A. When the police turned up, when there was this -- they
- 16 could hear arguments in the street, because the police
- 17 station wasn't far away, and the police said to me, they
- 18 said, "Well, okay, he stays on that side of the road and
- 19 you people stay on your side of the road". So they
- 20 actually endorsed what -- what we had done.
- 21 Q. Mr Corbyn, can I ask you a follow on question from this?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Which is this. You mentioned when you were answering
- 24 questions earlier that you were surprised, given
- 25 squatting activism was such a major part of your

political activity in the 1970s, that there are so few intelligence reports disclosed to you that actually deal with your squatting activism. Why is that? Why are you

surprised about that?

4

22

23

24

25

5 Well, given that they put so much effort into following Α. my detailed activity, Irish or whatever, and when 6 7 I moved from Rendle Street to Elgin Avenue, I'm 8 surprised there wasn't -- looking back, there logically should have been police who would move into the squat, 9 10 because they could completely spy on me easily that way 11 by squatting in Elgin Avenue. And if they had done 12 that, they would have produced a report, but we don't 13 see any reports from them, so far as I can see, which is 14 puzzling, because in the mind of the Special Branch, surely our squatting activity was -- well, I don't know. 15 16 They might think us and me going to a Troops Out Movement meeting was dangerous, but surely a squatting 17 activity would be equally dangerous if the Troops Out 18 Movement thing is deemed dangerous. So I don't know why 19 they weren't there. It's -- or perhaps they were and we 20 haven't had any reports of it. 21

Perhaps Sir John would like to look into that.

I mean, do they have reports which are no use, or that put us in -- put me into an embarrassingly good light?

I mean, I just don't know. I'm mesmerised. Mesmerised,

- 1 yes.
- Q. Mr Corbyn, finally this. Is there anything else that
- 3 you would wish to say to the Chair of the Inquiry to
- 4 assist him in his search for the truth, as far as
- 5 the police spying on you is concerned?
- 6 A. Yes. If we want more search for the truth, I would say,
- 7 well, we should ask them point blank: did you have any
- 8 police agents in Elgin Avenue? This "Nigel" and "Mary"
- 9 who turned up in Huntley Street, who were they actually
- 10 working for? Were they in fact some employees of
- 11 the Sheriff of London, or was it another Special Branch
- link which they don't want to tell us about? Certainly
- those things.
- 14 Also, what was the aim of the Special Branch in all
- this activity? Because, you know, I've been surveilled
- for a decade. I mean, for what? I mean, they haven't
- 17 accused me of doing anything dangerous in the sense of
- 18 these reports. I would have thought there's better use,
- 19 frankly, of police time than -- than this sort of thing.
- 20 MR MENON: Thank you, Mr Corbyn.
- 21 That's all I ask, Sir. I hope I've used my
- ten minutes and no more.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: You have indeed done. Thank you.
- Mr Corbyn, can I say two things to you.
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, thank you very much for
- 2 eliminating the various groups and activities in which
- 3 you were involved in the late 60s and 70s. It is
- 4 refreshing to hear an account by someone who
- 5 participated as widely as you did.
- 6 A. Oh good. Well, I'm glad you found it useful.
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: And it paints a side of the picture that I
- 8 don't get from the documents and always from the former
- 9 undercover offices and their managers' evidence.
- Now, you say in response to the last question of
- 11 Mr Menon, for what reason were you surveilled -- I'm
- 12 looking not so much at surveillance overall but at
- the infiltration of groups predominantly in which --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: -- you participated by undercover officers.
- 16 That is one of the very basic questions that I'm going
- to attempt to answer.
- 18 A. Good. Your Honour, thank you.
- I mean, it was quite exciting to be able to read
- a sort of diary from certain eyes of what I was doing,
- and I'm astounded that I remembered so much. But,
- 22 you know, the whole purpose of it needs clarification,
- 23 absolutely. And I wish you every success in getting
- 24 clarification, Sir.
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for that. Your evidence is now

1 over and Mr Fernandes will announce the closure of this 2 morning's session. 3 I intend that we should resume at 2.05, because 4 we've overrun slightly. 5 Α. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 6 7 MR FERNANDES: We will now take a break for lunch. May I remind those in the virtual hearing room to please 8 9 remember to join your break-out rooms. 10 The time is 1.05 pm, so we shall resume at 2.05 pm. 11 Thank you. 12 (1.03 pm)13 (The short adjournment) (2.05 pm)14 15 MR FERNANDES: Good afternoon, everyone, and welcome to the afternoon session of today's evidential hearings. 16 17 For those in the virtual hearing rooms, please remember 18 to turn off both your camera and microphone. 19 I will now hand over to the Chairman, Sir John Mitting, to continue proceedings. 20 21 Chairman. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 22 Mr Rodker's son is now going to read his witness 23 24 statement. The usual video will not be played because

this is being transmitted live, and anyone is free to

25

1 Tweet or transmit what is going on at any time. 2 Mr Rodker. Statement of MR ERNEST RODKER 3 4 MR RODKER: Thank you. Good afternoon. 5 I'm actually going to be reading just the most salient parts of Ernest's statement, so not every word. 6 7 Stop me if you're losing track. That's just to save time. I will be reading obviously all the most relevant 8 9 parts. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Forgive me for interrupting a moment. 11 If you are not going to read the whole statement, it 12 may be that you can complete this task by about 3.30. 13 If you're going to do that, then we won't take a break. 14 MR RODKER: I haven't sort of read it out, out loud, but I'm 15 not predicting it will take more than an hour. So yes, I would hope we would be finished by 3.30. 16 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 18 MR RODKER: I believe there's a photo, document 050 19 {DOC/50}. If I could just show that. So a photograph 20 of my father, Ernest, to show the Inquiry to begin with. Is that there? Would I see it if it was there? 21 22 THE CHAIRMAN: It has not yet appeared, but --23 MR RODKER: I can --24 THE CHAIRMAN: It's coming. Here it is. 25 MR RODKER: No, that's definitely not it.

THE CHAIRMAN: You're quite right. 1 2 MR RODKER: That's it. Okay, so that's my father, Ernest. Thanks very 3 4 much. 5 So, to start the statement. My full name is Ernest Rodker. On 20 December 2019, 6 7 I was provided with a Rule 9 request to provide evidence 8 to the Inquiry. It relates to some of my campaigning activities over a period of 30 years, from the late 60s 9 10 to the late 1990s; and poses questions relating to my interaction with a number of undercover police officers, 11 12 including "Jim Pickford", "Michael Scott", "Phil Cooper", "Jim Sutton", "Andy Davey" and 13 14 Mike Ferguson. I have been provided by the Inquiry with a bundle of 15 about 53 documents, with a Rule 9 request posing 22 16 17 questions. 18 The Stop the Seventy Tour campaign. 19 I'm asked what my political activities as a member of the Stop the Seventy Tour were, including my 20 21 positions of responsibility and the dates held. 22 I was active in Stop the Seventy Tour throughout its existence. It started in 1969 until it was disbanded in 23 24 May 1970 with the cancellation of the planned 1970

cricket tour of the UK by the white-only South African

25

1 team.

The campaign against sports connections with South
Africa continued beyond the 1970s in other forms, and
I remained involved in those campaigns. I did not have
a formal position within Stop the Seventy Tour, as far
as I can recall. I was active in a much smaller group
of activists, a direct action group, "DAG" for short,
which I also describe below.

I am asked what were the aims of
Stop the Seventy Tour. Its immediate and principal aim
was to stop the white-only South African cricket team
from touring the UK in 1970. More broadly, its aim was
to make a very strong political point that people
representing apartheid were not welcome in the UK.

I'm asked about its methods. We sought to impress on the South African teams the fact that as an all-white team effectively promoting the apartheid regime, they were not welcome. We wanted them to know the level of opposition there was to what they stood for, and for them to reflect on whether it was the right thing, practically and ethically, to tour the UK.

We used all classic forms of non-violent direct action, NVDA -- pitch invasions were the most prominent. We understood and sought to follow the well known principles of NVDA and civil disobedience learned from

recent history, such as the struggle for Indian
independence by Mahatma Ghandi, and for black civil
rights by Dr Martin Luther King.

I personally had already absorbed and applied these principles as a result of my earlier involvement in the Committee of 100, which was referred to below. So, for the Stop the Seventy Tour, it was clearly understood by anyone who came across the Stop the Seventy Tour or participated in its demonstrations that we would only engage in peaceful campaigning. This was made known openly and explicitly regularly at meetings. It was a common understanding for all those involved.

This comment applies to all other campaigns I was involved in, such as the direct action anti-apartheid group I was involved in below and the Committee of 100 below.

I am asked if Stop the Seventy Tour vetted its members.

My comments relate principally to

the Stop the Seventy Tour, but I was also involved in

a smaller group involved in direct action. I cannot now

remember what name this direct action group was known

by. These activities included things like going to

a team hotel to carry out demonstrations there.

For example, in the campaign against the white-only

South African rugby team in 1969, I booked into a hotel in an affluent part of Central London overnight where the team was staying. I sat among the players in the lounge eavesdropping on them and getting their room numbers. While they were still in the lounge or having supper, I may myself have glued their door locks and certainly shared the room numbers with other campaigners who did so. Only a couple of doors were affected in this way, but I understand it had an impact on the South Africans. They were unhappy about this, I learned later from a journalist connection of Peter Hain.

On other occasions, we waited outside the team's hotels for them to get on their coaches. We then got on the coaches as well and refused to leave. We had to be carried out. This both delayed the team and caused them inconvenience.

It is worth underlining that we were effective in the sense that, in the short term, the rugby team was, we learned, keen to stop the tour, and wanted to go home as a result of our actions. In the medium term, we contributed towards the decision to abandon the 1970 cricket tour plans, and in the long term, we contributed to the isolation of apartheid South Africa from international sport, a factor in its eventual downfall.

I note I am not asked about my knowledge or interaction with Mike Ferguson. I can say that I don't remember this person. I understand, in any event, that this is the officer's real name, as opposed to his cover name, the name I might have known him by. And if I am said to have come across him, it would obviously help to know his cover name. If I were asked to comment on him, I would also be assisted by seeing a contemporaneous photograph of him and other information about what he looked like and what his involvement with me was.

I'm asked about the Stop the Seventy Tour First

National Conference on 7/3/1970, and a report on it

dated 9/3/1970. Specifically, I am asked if this

meeting was open to the public in the morning and

private delegates only in the afternoon. I cannot

remember if this was public in the morning and private

in the afternoon.

I am asked by reference to paragraph 8 at page 3 of the report about Stop the Seventy Tour's attitude to violence. I agree that we were committed to non-violent direct action. I would not accept that it was right or accurate to describe our tactics, as the report does, as "militant", particularly given the connotations of that word in that era.

Yes, we were committed to disrupting the apartheid

South African sports teams. But it was always very
clear and frequently said that our opposition to them
would not include acts of personal violence towards
them, for example punching them. It involved peaceful
civil disobedience. It also included relatively minor
damage to property, for example gluing door locks, but
nothing more significant than that.

Anyone attending any of the meetings or participating in the campaign generally would have been made aware of this and, by continuing to be involved, would be understood to have committed to these principles. The common and the fundamental principle it kept to was NVDA: no violence to people, and only minor, proportionate damage to property.

This is paragraph 47 now.

I am asked how concerned we were generally about the risk of reporting by undercover officers.

There was an awareness that it would almost certainly be the case that there would be infiltrators within the movement and that, more generally, the authorities were trying to monitor what we were doing, by the use of phone tapping and such like, and the placing of people covertly at meetings.

Our principal concern was that the UK police were the ones monitoring and infiltrating us, and this

included the possibility of there being the likes of undercover police officers in our midst. I assumed that the apartheid South African authorities and Security Services also had an interest in, may have monitored or infiltrated, and may even have taken action against, the Anti-Apartheid Movement. I assumed that they were particularly interested in the high profile organisers, those who were central to the national campaign.

Our overriding response to this was that none of this should deter us doing what we planned to do. And of course, some actions were planned outside the wider group, to keep them secret and guard against the risk of them being known to anyone monitoring us.

I am asked if I was surprised to learn that undercover officers reported on my STST activities -- that's the acronym for the Stop the Seventy Tour. I am not surprised that they monitored my activities. This is partly because, before I was involved in the campaign against apartheid, I was involved in the Committee of 100. This was a British anti-nuclear group of the 1960s. It had come to the attention not only of the media but also of police, the prosecutors and the courts as a result of a high profile campaign and campaigning methods involving non-violent direct

1 action.

2	For example, chrough my involvement in
3	the committee, I and many others in the committee,
4	including the philosopher Bertrand Russell, had been
5	sent to prison as a result of our campaign of
6	non-violent direct action against US nuclear bases.
7	This came about when a number of us were prosecuted for
8	planning disruptive demonstrations and civil
9	disobedience. We appeared at, I think, Bow Street
10	Magistrates Court, and were found guilty of a breach of
11	the peace, or some similar wrong. We were given
12	the option of agreeing to be bound over to keep
13	the peace, or to go to prison for three months or more.
14	Most of us chose to go to prison.
15	I seem to remember that some of the evidence used

I seem to remember that some of the evidence used against us included evidence from the police of covert surveillance of our plans and discussions for action.

I'm asked to what extent did Stop the Seventy Tour break the law in order to further its aims. I'm asked this question in the context of a report on a meeting of the London Region Conference of the Stop the Seventy Tour, a meeting I am said to have chaired on 5 April 1970 in St Pancras London, which mentions the possibility of conspiracy charges.

The direct action group -- I'll just drop down to

paragraph 55.

The direct action group was about planning actions rather than for organising public events or publicity in the conventional sense. Certainly a direct action group cannot be described as delicate to the Stop the Seventy Tour in the way the planning committee was apparently seen in this report.

But, yes, we were aware that conspiracy or other criminal offences might be used against us. I have no legal training, but I understand at this time the now discredited conspiracy charge could be used to prosecute as a criminal offence the planning of what might otherwise amount to civil wrongs, such as trespass. And further, in those days, the use of conspiracy charges took on a political aspect.

We were involved in civil disobedience. We were putting our bodies in the way of apartheid South African tourists. We were not planting bombs. We were not even carrying out wanton acts, like going into South African's rooms and trashing belongings. We were doing nothing on the scale of what the South African state regime was doing to its majority black citizenship under apartheid, systematically and repeatedly, under cover of the law.

I note that at paragraph 11 of page 3 of this report

1	it	is	recorded	that	Stop	the	Seventy	Tour	noted	the:
---	----	----	----------	------	------	-----	---------	------	-------	------

"... necessity for ... the maintenance of strict
discipline within these groups who would be
participating in the demonstrations."

This is correct. What we did not want was for people to get over-excited and, say, punch a South African cricketer, or try to rip their clothes, or throw a bomb. We were keen to keep away from violent actions.

The main tactic of Stop the Seventy Tour and the actions of the majority of those taking part in its demonstrations was to run onto cricket and rugby pitches to disrupt the play. I think I am right in saying that this was seen as a trespass, and trespass was not at that time a criminal offence. I don't know, for sure, if getting on a bus and stopping it from moving was a criminal offence. I think it was not. But I did all these things.

I sat on a cricket pitch at least once, at Lords, and may have attempted something similar at the Oval.

I cannot remember what happened to me in terms of the law, but I do remember being carried out by security or police and being kicked by spectators.

I also painted slogans on Lords, on the walls outside along St John's Road. I wrote a message like

L	"stop the tour", "go home", something along those lines.
2	We did not write offensive, swearing messages.

I did not put tik-taks on pitches. I would have considered that as violence. This was not part of Stop the Seventy Tour's or my direct action group. We disapproved of this sort of action and this was well known.

I do not recall digging up pitches myself. I may have but weedkiller on the pitch. All this, though, I would have considered marginal in terms of justification within NVDA, as it was non-harmful of people, so I may have considered it.

The test against how we measured our actions was not whether it broke the law but whether it was consistent with non-violent direct action principles. These were observed by those involved in the campaigns I was involved in. It was understood by those taking part. It was frequently discussed. It was implicitly understood as a core value of those engaging in the campaign against the apartheid South African regime. We did not want our principal message to be confused by adverse publicity about violence. One factor in our thinking was that we wanted to set ourselves apart from the extreme of violence which the apartheid South African regime was showing to its black citizens.

1	Section	8	Pav	ement
-		0	, Lav	CILICITE .

I'm asked to summarise my political activities as a member of the Pavement Collective.

The Pavement Collective ran from about 1970 for about 20 years, certainly for many, many years. I was one of the main motivators behind setting it up and running it throughout. There were others involved too, though they may not have been involved throughout.

Some of the meetings were held at my house. I think
I was still living in Fulham, an address on
Rumbold Road, at the beginning of Pavement's lifespan.
I later moved to an address in Wandsworth. Most
meetings were at the People's Aid and Action Centre.
Meetings were also held at the home address of one of
the others who were active.

I was on the Pavement Collective's editorial committee throughout its existence.

Its aim was to report on local activity and the actions of local community groups. We sought to publicise the actions of those who defended their local community and to encourage campaigns by local communities on issues like housing, on race, jobs and similar. We also sought to challenge the council on some of its policies around housing and redevelopment, and this brought us into opposition with developers.

We also received support. For example, on the 18th birthday of Pavement we received letters of support from the likes of Michael Foot MP, the former Labour leader, and Donald Trelford, the editor of The Observer, and the team at the Wandsworth Law Centre.

I am asked about its methods.

The Pavement Collective was a grassroots, community initiative, based mainly around a publication, Pavement. This was circulated on the streets, in sympathetic newsagent outlets, in local housing estates and in community centres. We sold it and it came out about once a month.

We also took action. For example, by having a presence outside Wandsworth Town Hall on the evenings of council meetings and similar. The numbers ranged between just a handful and hundreds, depending on what the council was discussing.

On one or two occasions we also intervened in council meetings, either full council meetings or committee meetings, and we did so by standing up in the public gallery and putting questions to the councillors.

In one or two cases, we held a demonstration near a councillor's home address. The demonstration would take place in the road outside, and we would not seek to

enter their homes, which were all set back from
the road.

We wrote letters to the local and sometimes national papers with our concerns about issues we thought important. And where there were issues affecting the local community, we also leafletted and organised public meetings, challenging what the council was doing. These meetings were on issues like the development of Battersea Power Station, there were one or two very big meetings on that, and the sale of council houses and the cuts in council services.

I'm asked if Pavement vetted its members. We did not go through the kind of vetting process a large group would need. The core group was roughly about ten of us. I wouldn't call them "members", and we knew each other.

I am asked if we were concerned about infiltration by police or others. And we were concerned in principle, but we were also a small group of people, most of whom had known each other over a long period of time and therefore trusted each other.

I believe that "Jim Pickford",
the undercover officer, had infiltrated Pavement,
the editorial committee, by late 1976. I refer to
minutes I have of a Pavement editorial committee meeting
in November 1976. I would like to know more about what

Τ	"Jim Pickford" did within Pavement and when and why.
2	It appears that another undercover officer, "Michael
3	Scott", was also active in Pavement. I refer to a box
4	file entry of mine, "Michael Scott" describes
5	"Michael Scott" there's a document reference. On
6	that entry I record that he is connected with Pavement
7	sales, as well as Young Libs. This suggests to me that
8	the UCO "Michael Scott" was involved in selling
9	Pavement.
10	I would like to understand why Pavement was,
11	seemingly, targeted by a number of undercover officers;
12	for example, whether it was used as a way of building
13	undercover officers' credibility within other movements
14	and campaigns.
15	I'm going forward to section 10: the Battersea
16	Redevelopment Action Group, which is paragraph 96. And
17	I believe you have a photograph, reference 051, DOC051
18	$\{ DOC/052 \}$, which shows my Dad campaigning in Battersea
19	at that time. I wonder if that could be put up, please
20	That's the one.
21	That was trying to stop a development in Battersea,
22	and there was a demonstration at the development. And
23	that's Ernest standing above a billboard.
24	Okay, thanks very much.
25	So, paragraph 96.

1	I was asked to summarise my political activities as
2	a member of Battersea Redevelopment Action Group.
3	That's "BRAG" for short

I was active in setting up BRAG in about 1972, and remained active until it was disbanded after many years, at least a decade later.

I would not give myself a formal title within BRAG, and others did not have formal positions either. I was one of the group of people taking decisions. That group fluctuated in numbers. The core group was no more than ten in number, and it was even smaller than that at times.

I'm asked what BRAG's aims were and whether they were accurately reflected in a report I have been shown dated 23 December 1974. The report I refer to does not accurately reflect BRAG's aims.

Paragraph 102.

The BRAG campaign was much broader than this. Our main activity revolved around what was happening at Battersea Power Station. The council and private developers wanted to transform it into luxury housing. If the power station was to be developed, BRAG wanted there to be affordable council housing and community projects and facilities. This was BRAG's overarching objective. We also campaigned against the council

selling off other property -- selling off council houses
in the area. These were the sorts of general concerns
we had and campaigned on.

I am asked if BRAG subscribed to a particular political doctrine.

There was no party political doctrine. The politics we espoused were based on the principle that council developments and initiatives should be public and for the community, rather than private and for commercial interests. It was a typical community project campaign, founded on localism.

I am asked what methods BRAG used to advance its aims.

We were committed to conventional campaigning methods, and of course the principle of non-violence. Within that framework we considered any appropriate action: leafletting an area, organising public meetings in local areas, door-knocking on certain issues.

In a wider sense, we would go to council meetings and put questions to the council, intervening from the public gallery if that's what was needed, to ensure our questions were asked and that answers were given.

And we were also active in public inquiries and planning applications around the development of Battersea Power Station and the area around it,

1 particularly along the riverfront.

I am asked if BRAG vetted its members. We felt that we did not need to vet anyone. But suspicions concerning one person did lead us to a confrontation at one of our committee meetings, and that person was excluded. I recall it was quite an unsettling incident. Our concern may have been whether that person was an undercover police officer. And I would like to know from this Inquiry whether that person was an undercover police officer, or similar.

More broadly, I would like answers from this Inquiry on the following issues.

I would like to know if any other undercover police officer, beyond those already disclosed to me, was involved in any of the campaigns I have been involved in. I would like to know what information UCOs obtained from those campaigns, and what was done with that information.

I would also like to know whether, and if so how, any UCO sought to disrupt the campaigns. Did any UCO seek to have a genuine campaigner ejected from the campaign, for example? I am concerned about UCOs interfering in the organising of political groups such as the ones I was involved in. And it is worth bearing in mind that these were often small groups run by their

l	members, all of whom were volunteers, and I would be
2	very concerned to learn if UCOs were participating in
3	the political decision-making of those groups. I hope
4	that the Inquiry will address these issues.

I'm going to go forward to paragraph 123.

I'm asked how frequently I experienced violence during my time at BRAG, or any other group.

And going forward again to 127.

There was police violence against
the Committee of 100. When there were demonstrations at
the American Embassy or a nuclear base, we were knocked
about by the police when we were arrested, or even moved
from the base. Sometimes the physicality was quite
severe. They dragged people by the hair, "accidentally"
stamping on people -- sorry, so they dragged people by
the hair and "accidentally" stamping on people when
trying to move them. I was occasionally stamped on.
I witnessed others being stamped on or pulled by their
hair. All of our actions were passive forms of civil
disobedience, such as lying in the road.

As to the Stop the Seventy Tour and the direct action group, I also experienced or witnessed violence. When I was involved in a demonstration at the centre of Lords cricket ground, I remember the stewards or even members of the public roughed us up unnecessarily when

dragging us off the pitch. They also surreptitiously punched us. I remember being dragged past the seated spectators and the crowd kicking me on the way, causing me bruising. I remember similar things happening to other campaigners.

During the anti-nuclear campaign in the late 1960s onwards, we occupied Grosvenor Square and Trafalgar Square, Whitehall and other sites in Central London on various occasions. These were mass demonstrations, peaceful sitdowns, with some campaigners linking arms and others using padlocks and chains to attach themselves to each other. It was clear to me that the police, frustrated by the task of having to remove us, lost control and used unnecessary force against us. They punched, often surreptitiously, dragged people violently out of the way, stamped on people who were in the way of another person they sought to remove. And this happened to me -- on several occasions, I was pretty violently removed from peaceful protests, including being stamped on.

Similar things happened at weapons bases. I recall being stamped on, dragged across fields, generally knocked about by the police who were removing me. It was unnecessary violence because I was passive and peaceful.

I do not recall the police ever taking action against those responsible for violence against me or against other campaigners. I don't recall them taking action against stewards, or members of the public, or fellow police officers.

I would like to know if undercover officers ever experienced such violence while posing as activists; whether they witnessed violence against other genuine activists; whether there was any incentive to record or report this and; whether they ever did so; and whether they could have given, or indeed ever did give, evidence against those responsible for violence against activists.

I am sure that undercover officers could have done all of these things.

Looking back on the basis of what we know now, it seems logical to conclude that there was a policy of allowing aggressive and violent behaviour towards activists to go unchecked. Undercover officers would have known what we were experiencing and probably reported it to their handlers, yet it was still being allowed to happen.

Just going forward again a bit, to paragraph 139.

This is section 12, "Jim Pickford" and a report on

the Wandsworth branch of the Anarchist

1 Workers Association.

I'm asked about this group, in the light of this report of a meeting of that association on 8 January 1976.

The only comment I can make is that it appears that in November 1976, he used his role in Pavement and in the circulation of this publication as a bridge to being involved in and perhaps gaining credibility within the AWA. That's the Anarchist Workers Association.

I do not know if this, my own understanding of what may have happened, accords with what the Inquiry knows from its own records and investigations.

Section 13: personal information on me obtained by UCOs.

I am asked about two Special Branch reports dated 1976 and containing very personal information about the birth of one of my children and a health condition of mine.

The first thing to say is that the information in the first report about the birth of my son is accurate.

I did have a health problem of the sort described in the second report at the time reported. However,

I cannot be confident that it was as serious as is reported and the name of the hospital treating me may be wrong, too.

1	I am asked if this information was widely known.
2	The information about the birth of my son was of course
3	known to close friends and family. But it was not
4	reported formally, publicly anywhere or of wider
5	interest. And, similarly, my health condition would
6	have been known among my friends and family, and there
7	would have been some concern. But it was not more

widely known than this, or of wider interest.

I am asked my reaction on learning that information of this nature was reported on by undercover officers.

This has surprised me. I find it sinister and invasive, and it troubles me greatly to learn of it, even after all this time.

It is not a surprise to me that the police were monitoring some of the activities I was involved in, but it is a surprise to me that they were taking a note of the birth of my son and of my health. Those things were unconnected with political activity, and were of a particularly personal nature.

Although I am not asked this, I am particularly surprised that these records have been kept for over 40 years. This is also quite sinister. It is not as if I was an international criminal. It is reminiscent of the behaviour of authoritarian states. It has no legitimate justification.

1	It reinforces me in my view that I would like to
2	have from the police, through the Inquiry,
3	all information that all UCOs and Special Branch have
4	recorded and stored about me at any point. I would also
5	like to know which officers were monitoring me at any
6	time in my life, and what they did in order to gain this
7	information, and what use they put this information to.
8	Section 15 is events at a hotel in Richmond. There
9	are two photographs that relate to this that I think you
10	have. They're the UCP references ending "072". There's
11	two photographs. You could show them in turn. Just
12	photos 2 and 3 in the list. ${UCPI/34072/1}$.
13	I can carry on reading if no, here we go.
14	So, that is Ernest with a camera. And the following
15	one, Ernest with a notebook, {UCPI/34072/4}. Okay,
16	thank you very much.
17	So paragraph 153.
18	I'm asked to set out a factual account of my
19	involvement at this demonstration, including planning in
20	advance, my arrest and prosecution in the light of
21	a number of reports.
22	It was a demonstration at a Richmond hotel on
23	the occasion of the British Lions rugby team's departure
24	to the airport for their tour of apartheid South Africa.
25	At the time of the incident, I understand that

1 the hotel was called the Star & Garter.

I do not recall this incident or what followed very well, but I have had my memory refreshed by reading the documents provided by the Inquiry. The following broad points which come out of the report and documents do seem broadly accurate.

I was a main organiser of the demonstration. And we, those who were going to be involved in it, met on the day of the demonstration at my home, before the demonstration, to plan and prepare for the action.

I see that "Michael Scott", a UCO, attended
the planning meeting for the demonstration, having,
supposedly, been told of it by Peter Hain's mother on
the phone. This sounds unusual and out of the ordinary.
She, Ad, is unlikely to have told someone she did not
know about something so delicate on the phone. I knew
her and what she was like. She would have been very
careful about giving out this sort of information,
particularly given her experience of South Africa and
surveillance. It is therefore possible that "Michael
Scott" may have got involved in the planning for
the demonstration in another way, other than the one
described in the report.

In attending the meeting before the demonstration, the UCO may have added his voice to the decision to take

direct action before the action took place. Our plan
was to blockade the UK rugby team's coach at
the Star & Garter hotel in the hope that the players
would miss their flight to apartheid South Africa for
the forthcoming tour there. These plans included
ordering skips to the hotel and cars being parked in
the way of the coach and protesters getting in the way
of the vehicle, all in order to block or delay
the coach's departure from the hotel to the airport.

I cannot now remember precisely what I did, or where, or the order of events, but I do remember being arrested outside the hotel. Before that, I may have parked my car, a maroon Renault 4 with a roof rack, in the car park at the entrance to Nightingale Lane to clause a blockage there. I may then have sat down to block the coach, possibly in the car park, as the coach left the car park. I cannot remember what others did. Some may only have taken action in the car park.

I have found and now produced six photographs which appear to date from the incident. Two of those photographs are the ones we have just seen. I can seen in those photos.

Going forward to paragraph 167.

I have now found a letter in my paperwork from PT to me dated 14 June 1972. Attached to it is what

PT describes as "my rather uninformative account of
the events" at the hotel. He signs the letter off by
wishing me "best of luck with the trial", and I produce
this letter and account. He describes the incident, his
role in it, and he also describes what "Mike Scott" did.

Paragraph 171.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A lot of this tallies with my own independent recollection of events.

Going forward to 173.

I was arrested and prosecuted. It is almost certain -- confirmed by the intelligence reports -- that there was discussion between the activists who were defendants about our case, preparation for a trial including possible defences to the criminal charges. It would not have been common for defendants to have shared with other activists, who were not defendants, information about, say, their discussions between themselves about their case. Nor would it be common to share with those others the views of their lawyer or discussions held with the lawyers by their defendants. There would only be some sharing of information with non-defendants if there was a particular reason for doing so, for example to try to find witnesses, or to get wider support for the case. One possible defence to the charges we faced was that relevant events took place

in the car park and that this was not part of
the highway, the relevant road being Nightingale Lane.

It seems, from the intelligence reports I've been referred to, that "Michael Scott", or those who recorded information he provided, could have given relevant evidence, some helpful to the defence, in the criminal proceedings, and I note in particular these references in the intelligence reports which appear to show that "Michael Scott" and those receiving the reports knew that most of those arrested were not guilty.

"Michael Scott" could have given evidence about the location of the demonstration, about whether it took place in the car park, or in the road, or the highway beyond the car park. The police UCO records contain a report dated 16/5/1972, and the report-writer does not suggest that this is in any way a false defence, at least for the majority of the defendants. The defence is consistent with the account of events at the hotel provided by HN298 earlier in his report.

There is no attempt by Special Branch to inform anyone involved in our prosecution that any of us may have been innocent, though I note that the Metropolitan Police report was sent to the Solicitors Department and to Special Branch.

Evidence from "Michael Scott", supportive of

the defendants' account and contradicting uniformed police officers' evidence, would, coming from a serving police officer, have been more credible than had it come just from protesters.

I normally plead not guilty in protest-related prosecutions -- going forward to paragraph 189 -- but the approach I took to my defence would have been different had I known that "Michael Scott" was an undercover officer. It would have been different had I been aware of the contents of the intelligence reports now provided to me by the Inquiry. The account of PT would have been much more significant had I known that the "Mike Scott" he referred to was an undercover police officer.

I understand that the offence of obstruction of
the highway contrary to section 121 of the Highways Act
required the prosecution to prove that there was an
obstruction of the highway and that it was not
reasonable. The actions and evidence of the UCO
"Michael Scott" would have been relevant to both of
these issues and whether I had defences based on them.

I am asked if I recall "Michael Scott",
the undercover police officer apparently involved in
the protest and court proceedings, and I do not recall
a "Michael Scott" being involved in the protest and

court case. I do not remember him at all, on
the information provided to me by the Inquiry. I would
be assisted if I were to be shown contemporaneous photos
of him and some other description of him and his
appearance and other interactions I may have had with
him. I note, however, that his name and details appear
in my box file of contacts from that time, and I note
that the first address for him on these box files is
the one he gave to the court, 16 Wetherby Gardens, SW5.

I am asked if I am surprised to learn that one of the 14 people arrested that day was an undercover police officer and I am surprised that the police used its resources to place an undercover officer in a peaceful demonstration, part of a wider campaign to contribute to the isolation and collapse of the apartheid South African regime.

I am asked about my reaction to learning that "Michael Scott" was an undercover police officer.

I was very concerned to learn, many decades later, that an undercover officer was involved in the demonstration, was arrested with me, and stood in court with me and others. I am concerned that he did this in his false identity, that he may have given the court a false name and that he may have given evidence in that false name. Furthermore, that

undercover officer was convicted and sentenced under that false name, and I would like to know whether that individual accepted that he was a criminal when living later under his true identity and whether he declared this conviction when asked about his previous criminal convictions.

Paragraph 200.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am concerned that this officer appears to have been involved in discussions with the group of activists and defendants about how to deal with the prosecution. "Michael Scott" may also have been privy, either indirectly from what people told him or directly by attending meetings to private legal discussions between activists and defendants and their lawyer, and he may even have influenced or had the opportunity to influence those discussions. These discussions may have been about fundamental questions, the evidence -the prosecutions and ours -- and what defences we might have and whether to plead guilty or not guilty, and he may have reported -- directly or indirectly -- to the prosecution what was discussed, supposedly confidentially, between the activists and/or their lawyer.

And I note that there is a reference to the police being conscious of the "potential of embarrassment to

1	police" if "Michael Scott" were to have been involved in
2	the protest and in the criminal prosecution in his cover
3	name and then for a later to come out that he was a UCO.
4	This seems to highlight a failure to have procedures and
5	criteria for the oversight of the UCOs in this
6	situation. There is no mention in the note of more
7	important concerns that the other defendants in
8	the criminal proceeding should have a fair trial, that
9	innocent demonstrators should not be convicted of
10	offences that they have not convicted. The only
11	concerns expressed seem to be for institutional damage
12	to the police, and the failure to the activists as
13	individuals with their own legitimate rights and
14	interests and the decision to place those second to
15	the unfettered gathering of information on them may be
16	a precursor to some of the more gross abuses of
17	activists that, I note, happened in later periods of
18	undercover policing of campaigners.
19	Going forward to paragraph 209, section 19.
20	I am asked if there is anything else of assistance
21	to the work of the Inquiry that I want to add.
22	We were aware that there must have been some plant,
23	an authority-placed figure, within
24	the Stop the Seventy Tour. I was pretty sure at
25	the time that I, personally, was of interest to

the authorities. This is because, before the incidents and campaigns I am now asked about, I had been involved in more direct action as part of other campaigns. I was one of the Committee of 100, which campaigned against nuclear weapons and took action at military bases and elsewhere. This was in the 1960s. And indeed, before that, I was involved in CND too. I took part in and was very involved in publicising the first Aldermaston marches, popular marches that were, from 1958 onwards, against nuclear weapons, particularly those stored at AWE Aldermaston military base.

It seems to me that my political activities before 1968 and the intelligence held on me by the police during this period are relevant to this Inquiry. For example, I refer to these comments in page 1 of the report surrounding my involvement with other protesters in the hotel protest in May 1971. The group of protesters is referred to as "a group of anarchist-orientated extremists under the control of Ernest Rodker", and it then describes me as follows:

"This man has been a thorn in the flesh for several years now, having had no fewer than 14 court appearances prior to 1963 for offences involving public order. He was considered to be a menace at the time of the protest demonstrations taking place in this country concerning

1	the	Sprir	ıgbok	s rugby	tour	in	1979	and
2	the	Stop	the	Seventy	Tour	in	1970.	"

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I do not accept this characterisation of me, the other protesters or our actions. For example, from memory, our arrests were for acts of NVDA and civil disobedience on nuclear weapons and the apartheid South African regime. The intemperate language in this report suggests a lack of judgment on the part of the police and a lack of respect for the right of peaceful protest. But the point I make here is that Special Branch and the undercover police they worked with had access to much more material and intelligence -- albeit incorrect and dating back before 1968 -- than has been disclosed to me, and this appears to have informed their decisions on how I and the campaigns I was involved in should be monitored and policed from 1968 and I wish to see all this material and intelligence.

I challenge the legitimacy of the police spying on me at all, and to such an extent, and then retaining these records for such a long period of time. I will be very interested to learn what else the UK authorities may have recorded about me and my activities.

Paragraph 218.

I am aware from what I have seen in the public

1	domain that Special Branch collated more information on
2	me and the campaigns I was active in, beyond what has
3	been disclosed to me by the Inquiry.
4	Paragraph 222.
5	I would like this information not only to understand
6	the extent of state surveillance on me, but also, more
7	practically, in order for me to be in a position to
8	provide further and fuller comments of assistance to
9	the Inquiry. For example, I have been made aware of
10	the following police records, not provided to me by
11	the Inquiry but obtained by my solicitors from materials
12	in the public domain.
13	There is a Metropolitan Police Special Branch report
14	dated 7 April 1970. It is supposedly the "first of
15	a series of fortnightly reports and comment regarding
16	the proposed actions of persons and organisations
17	opposed to the cricket tour due to take place in 2 June
18	to 28 August 1970", and its reference to me appears at
19	paragraph 12 as follows:
20	"Some of the leading personalities in
21	the Stop the Seventy Tour and allied organisations are
22	Ernest Rodker, Stop the Seventy Tour
23	ex Committee of 100."

Now, this, the reference to "Committee of 100", suggests that Special Branch, in 1970, were aware of and

1	had a record of my time in the Committee of 100 and this
2	tallies with what I knew when I was active in
3	the Committee. When I and others were taken to Bow
4	Street Magistrates Court, found guilty and sentenced,
5	I recall that the prosecution produced evidence that
6	the police had observers and infiltrators at
7	the Committee of 100 meetings recording what was said,
8	and they were therefore able to prove that we were at
9	those meetings and the part played by the defendants in
10	those discussions. That was part of the evidence at
11	the trial.
12	Paragraph 228.
13	Secondly, there is a 10 April 1970
14	Metropolitan Police Special Branch report which
15	includes, at paragraph 4, this reference to me, in
16	the context of the second conference of
17	the Stop the Seventy Tour and a discussion about the use
18	of mirrors to shine into players' faces during sports
19	matches:
20	"Ernest Rodker, the chairman of that meeting, was
21	overheard to remark to those present that the mirrors
0.0	

overheard to remark to those present that the mirrors
had sharp edges and in order to obviate the possibility
of those found in possession of them at cricket matches
being charged with possession of offensive weapons
the edges should be bound with adhesive tape."

1	Now, this is the sort of responsible thing I would
2	have done to reduce the risk that, by using mirrors at
3	Stop the Seventy Tour demonstrations, we might
4	inadvertently cause damage and run the risk of arrest
5	for a more serious offence. I do not understand why
6	this report, which names me and casts me in a good
7	light, has not been disclosed to me by the Inquiry.
8	Finally, paragraph 231.
9	This makes me question whether the police have
10	provided all information to the Inquiry and/or whether
11	the Inquiry has disclosed to me all relevant materials.
12	I feel I should be entitled to see all Special Branch
13	and undercover officer material and intelligence on me.
14	I would like this for its own sake; so I can contribute
15	fully to the Inquiry; to have some reassurance that
16	the Inquiry is canvassing fully the views of activists
17	such as me who have been victims of undercover policing
18	activity; and to have some level of reassurance that
19	the inquiry's examination of the evidence and its
20	conclusions can attract the confidence of the victims of
21	the undercover policing.
22	I'll finish there. Thank you very much.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much for bringing your

May I raise two things with you. First of all, your

father's words to life.

24

Τ	father can be reassured that the Inquiry has done its
2	best to provide to him all of the documents that it has
3	in which he is mentioned, or which refer to him. That
4	does not include all of Special Branch reports such as
5	there may be upon him, because I am only investigating
6	one small unit within Special Branch,
7	the Special Demonstration Squad, and that may well be
8	why he has been able to obtain Special Branch documents,
9	but the reason is they weren't produced by
10	the Special Demonstration Squad.
11	The second thing is this, a question to you, to
12	which I suspect I know the answer. If I were to
13	conclude that your father's conviction arising out of
14	the Star & Garter demonstration was, at least arguably,
15	a miscarriage of justice and I therefore am required by
16	my terms of reference to refer it to a panel which will
17	consider what to do, am I right to assume that you and
18	your father would like that to occur promptly rather
19	than to wait until the end of the Inquiry?
20	MR RODKER: I think that would be his position. I mean,
21	the reason I'm speaking is because he's unwell,
22	he's elderly and in ill-health, and it would certainly
23	ease his mind to know that things were proceeding as
24	promptly as possible.
25	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, subject to something unforeseen

Τ	occurring, you may reassure him that I will do my best
2	to do that.
3	MR RODKER: Thank you, Sir John.
4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
5	MR RODKER: I assume I just turn off my channel, as it were
6	now, or it will be turned off for me.
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Mr Fernandes is going, formally, to
8	close the proceedings and you will then, as you rightly
9	observe, be turned off.
LO	MR RODKER: Okay, thank you.
L1	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
L2	MR FERNANDES: Thank you, everyone. The hearings have now
L3	finished for the day. We shall resume at 10.00 am
L4	tomorrow.
L5	(3.00 pm)
L6	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am on Thursday,
L7	29 April 2021)
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2		
3	MR PIERS CORBYN (called)	. 4
4	Questions by MR WARNER	. 4
5	Questions by MR MENON	98
6	Statement of MR ERNEST RODKER10	38
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		