1	Thursday, 29 April 2021
2	(10.00 am)
3	MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone, and welcome to
4	the fourth day of evidential hearings in Tranche 1
5	Phase 2 at the Undercover Policing Inquiry.
6	My name is Neil Fernandes and I am the hearings
7	manager. For those of you in the virtual hearing room,
8	please turn off both your camera and microphone, unless
9	you're invited to speak by the Chairman, as Zoom will
LO	pick up on all noises and you will be on screen.
L1	I now hand over to the Chairman, Sir John Mitting,
L2	to formally start proceedings.
L3	Chairman.
L4	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
L5	For the first 20 minutes or so, we're going to hear
L6	Ms Monahan summarising the evidence of an
L7	undercover officer, and analysing the documents produced
L8	by another undercover officer who has not produced
L9	a witness statement.
20	Ms Monahan.
21	Summary of evidence of HN299/HN342 & HN344
22	MS MONAHAN: Thank you, Sir.
23	Good morning.
24	HN299, HN342, "David Hughes".
25	HN299 served on the SDS from 1971 to 1976. He

1	reported on the International Marxist Group throughout
2	his deployment but also on various other left-wing
3	groups linked to the IMG and groups campaigning on Irish
4	matters. Publication of his real name is restricted.

HN299 served in Special Branch before his posting to the SDS. Unusually for a police officer, he used to wear a beard, and he recalls that on a visit to Scotland Yard in 1968, this led to a senior Special Branch officer tasking him to attend Vietnam Solidarity Campaign, or VSC, meetings to get information on forthcoming London demonstrations and the planned march from Aldermaston.

Wearing casual clothes, and he thinks using a fake name, he attended a number of meetings between February and April 1968, where he was able to gather a lot of information about upcoming protests, including the 17 March 1968 VSC demonstration.

He further recalls reporting to senior management that VSC members were planning to throw stones at the Daily Mirror building, in response to perceived negative coverage of anti-Vietnam War protesters in its sister paper in Germany. When activists turned up, they were surprised by a large police presence.

HN299 recalls that senior management were very pleased with these reports, which earned him

L	a commendation. He believes that the success of this
2	reporting may have contributed to the genesis of
3	the SDS.

Other than his reporting on the VSC in 1968, HN299 states that he did no further undercover work in Special Branch before joining the SDS.

In 1971, the chief inspector of the SDS,

Phil Saunders, recruited HN299. He believes that

Phil Saunders considered him suitable for the job

because of his earlier reporting on the VSC.

While he has no recollection of the content of his initial conversation with Phil Saunders, he thinks it likely that he told him about SDS work, and he remembers it as quite informal in tone. He joined the SDS fairly soon afterwards.

Married at the time, he thinks that no managers spoke to his spouse about his new role and the possible impact on his family life.

He had no training, formal or informal, for the role of an undercover officer, and believes he was deployed soon after joining the SDS. Despite the lack of formal training, he states that as Special Branch officers, they knew, or should have known, how appropriately to conduct themselves once deployed, and that he understands that SDS management tried to select officers

who could be trusted to exercise good common sense and sound judgment.

His cover name, "David Hughes", he thinks he made up. It was not the identity of a deceased child. His cover background was that he'd come down from Glasgow looking for work.

HN299 recalls that he went up to Glasgow soon after joining the SDS and knocked on the door of well known Glaswegian left wing activist Tony Southall. He introduced himself and said he was interested in getting involved in left wing politics. Tony Southall was friendly and encouraging. And in the field, HN299 then alluded to his friendship with Tony Southall as a way of burnishing his credentials. It helped his cover when Tony Southall came down to London for meetings and demonstrations and HN299 was able to be seen talking to him.

He had a driving licence in his cover name but no other cover documentation. Within six months of being deployed, HN299 obtained cover employment as a van driver for a carpet supply and fitting business, an arrangement which was approved by the SDS office.

HN299 had a number of cover flats throughout London, moving from one to the next when the groups on which he reported changed the locations of their meetings. He

got his first cover flat soon after entering the field and spent six to eight weeks visiting places in the local area, such as pubs, shops and the library, to establish his cover background. Once this was done, he started attending meetings.

When he joined the SDS, HN299 recalls that he was not tasked to infiltrate specific groups but was instructed to attend meetings. He found information on left wing meetings in the "Agit Prop" section of Time Out. And as he had the autonomy to choose which ones to attend, he went to whichever looked most interesting.

He asserts that, to a large extent, he was left to his own devices.

HN299 claims that the IMG is the group most likely to have regarded him an informal member, and he reported on it throughout his deployment. He describes falling in with the IMG after meeting people at publicly advertised meetings, who then invited him to other meetings.

He thinks that the North London Claimant's Union might also have considered him an informal member, as was involved with them for two to three years, and he recalls involvement with the International Socialists.

The documents show that he reported on several

different groups: International Solidarity Campaign, or
ISC, the Anti-Internment League, or AIL, Tottenham
Red Circle, Fight On, the Troops Out Movement, or TOM,
and on members of the Palestine Solidarity Campaign and
People's Democracy. He also reported on Marxist and
Marxist-Leninist discussion groups, held in private
addresses. He recalls that the groups he infiltrated
were not very organised with no formal membership
registers and with people constantly drifting in and
out. The reports show that the groups had aims,
activities and members in common.

HN299 recalls hearing about most meetings he attended through word of mouth.

He remembers very limited verbal participation in meetings, and he held no positions of responsibility.

After meetings, he would sometimes go for a drink with group members.

Throughout his deployment, HN299 believes he attended between one and six meetings per week, and quite often a demonstration on the weekend.

As he would report on every meeting and most demonstrations he attended, he is certain that many of his reports are missing, such as those on a Marxist Class in Streatham, most on the North London Claimant's Union, most from the year 1973, and a number

on forthcoming demonstrations and future meetings. He notes that none of his telephone reports, in which he would impart urgent information, appear in his pack.

HN299 would submit his handwritten reports, which were similar in style and content to his Special Branch reports on groups or events, to the SDS office, who would type them up and make additions, such as file references.

HN299 states that his previous experience of Special Branch reporting meant that when he joined the SDS, he knew the kind of information that would be of interest. He understood that he was expected to find out whatever information he could on the groups with which he came into contact.

In particular, the SDS office were interested in any advanced notification of an event which might present a public order issue, any information material to public order policing at forthcoming events, the identity of attendees at meetings and demonstrations, particularly those considered active, rather than occasional participants, any major changes within a group and the identities of those in charge of the groups and those who decided policy.

In his reports on meetings and attendees, he would include the names of people he had not encountered

previously, in case they became prominent in future, and he acknowledges that they would sometimes have no further involvement with the group.

In relation to upcoming demonstrations, he would report whatever information he came across, and understood that it would be used as part of a broader Special Branch assessment of how best to police that demonstration.

HN299 believes that his reports on upcoming events assisted in making sure uniformed officers were present in the right numbers, and that they were ready to deal with potential issues when HN299 knew they might arise, such as groups splintering off from the main body of the protest. In this way, he believes his reporting helped uniformed police effectively to manage demonstrations.

A possible example is a report on a Fight On film show before a TOM demonstration. HN299 recalls that it included a film of left wing demonstrators breaking up a large fascist open-air meeting in Milan by routing the 10,000 police officers present, and that the intention of showing emotive films immediately prior to political demonstrations was "clearly to whip up a militant aggressive mood in demonstrators".

He states that he believed it was important to impart to Special Branch that demonstrations arranged by

1	Fight On v	were more	e likely to	o turn	violent	and	for
2	uniformed	police t	to prepare	approp	riately		

The first report in HN299's pack is dated

11 November 1971, but he thinks it unlikely that this is
the first he submitted.

In it, HN299 records that one of the attendees at the small Spartacus League/IMG meeting in an individual's bedroom was a bank robber with a history of violence.

In a report regarding meetings to discuss tactics and policy for an upcoming students' demonstration, at which Piers Corbyn is present, HN299 reports a request for "three volunteers to take part in a special task during the demonstration which would include breaking the law".

HN299 also reports on members of the IMG being instructed to join the Labour Party, in anticipation of a split within it.

HN299 states that he was aware during his deployment of IMG efforts to infiltrate the Labour Party as a vehicle to achieve national prominence. He asserts that while he was not specifically tasked by the SDS office to obtain intelligence about subversion, he was aware that the infiltration of the Labour Party and trade unions by "extreme political groups" was of

concern to both Special Branch and the Security Service,
and so he reported on it when it arose. He believes
that his reporting helped the Security Service to
discharge their duties in relation to
counter-subversion.

He further states that while the IMG's entry into the Labour Party was subversive, it was ultimately unsuccessful and that generally the people on whom he reported talked a lot about revolution and attended many demonstrations, but did not actually engage in subversive activities.

HN299 states that he also reported on the IMG attempting to reach positions of prominence in TOM for the reasons that some of its members advocated violence to achieve its political aims; and as such, in his opinion, an IMG-controlled TOM could present a serious threat to public order.

There are additional reports on larger IMG gatherings which feature core participants to the Inquiry, such as Tariq Ali and Piers Corbyn.

HN299 submits in evidence that Piers Corbyn was someone whom he recognised but to whom he does not recall talking during his deployment, other than perhaps in passing.

HN299 also reports on a Marxist study session

introduced by core participant Richard Chessum and attended by "Mary".

The documents show that HN299 reported on the IMG's attitudes towards the IRA, and he states that this was because Special Branch closely monitored the IRA and organisations that were supporting them, and passed information on to the Security Service.

HN299 reports on plans by the ISC, which was linked to the IMG, to carry out collections for the IRA around building sites, and also on Peter Gowan's assertion at a pre-conference meeting for London members of the IMG that its political committee intended changing their official slogan on Ireland from "Victory to the IRA" to "Solidarity with the IRA". He asserts that the IMG's support for the IRA during his deployment was limited to verbal statements and to attending demonstrations advocating Irish Republicanism.

Attitudes to the IRA are also a feature of HN299's reports on groups campaigning on Irish matters. He records the defection of an anarchist member of the People's Democracy to the North London Claimant's Union because of the former group's "unconditional" support for the Provisionals' bombing campaign in Northern Ireland.

He states in evidence that support for

indiscriminate violence by the IRA was not particularly common in the groups he infiltrated. And while many more supported targeted attacks against the state and capitalist interests, more than half of those he met who supported Irish Republicanism were opposed to the use of lethal violence.

On occasion, HN299's reporting reflected his personal views. In one report, on an occupation of a section of Eton's playing fields by AIL members, HN299 describes the protesters' arguments as "always pathetic". And the demonstrators as "a crowd of argumentative, half-inebriated Irish labourers".

HN299 accepts that the tone of this report is sarcastic, and states that the SDS office never told him that this was inappropriate and/or not permitted.

In another report, HN299 describes a speech on Women's Liberation as confining itself almost entirely to an attack upon men at the conference, which apparently elicited "squeals of delight" from female members of the audience. He describes the female members as completely dominating the session, as if to emphasise their liberation using "obscenities to punctuate their prose" and as running onto the stage as often as possible for "maximum effect".

A report on a Marxist class records an attendee's

1	belief that come the socialist revolution in England,
2	2 million people who presented a permanent threat to its
3	continuance, including senior police officers,
4	particularly those in Special Branch, members of
5	the Security Service and all members of the Conservative
6	Party, would have to be liquidated.

HN299 notes in his statement that while some members of this class shared this belief and that such views were present to varying degrees in activist groups like the IMG, a majority of the activists he met through his deployment were not that extreme.

In a report on a discussion at a study class, HN299 reports an individual's assertion that unmasked police spies present in left wing groups should get a "good hiding". He does not recall that such comments made him feel particularly threatened; and as he remembers, the report prompted no extra measures from SDS management to protect his welfare.

While HN299 believes he was trusted by group members, he recalls that they quite often urged others to be on the constant lookout for Special Branch spies.

Unlike most of his SDS colleagues, HN299 joined a trade union while under cover, the Transport and General Workers Union, to bolster his cover. He states that he attended some meetings, but probably did not

report them because "those meetings would be covered by an ordinary Special Branch officer".

HN299 states that at meetings at the SDS safe house, which took place two to three times a week, undercover officers would sometimes speak to managers about activist meetings they had been to in front of other officers. If involved in similar activities, officers might discuss group-related matters with one another, sometimes when managers were present.

He recalls that the chief inspector or inspector of the SDS would usually come to safe house meetings and would at times task officers to go to a particular meeting or demonstration.

He also recalls very occasionally asking for and being provided with a Special Branch file about a person with whom he had come across while deployed.

Occasionally at the safe flat someone would cook a meal and the UCOs and managers would eat together.

During his deployment, HN299 would speak to
the office daily to let managers know that he was okay.
While he believes that management were conscious and
aware of officers' welfare, HN299 states that it was
nonetheless incumbent on an officer to raise with
the SDS office any serious welfare issues experienced
while undercover.

During his deployment, HN299 recalls then

commissioner, Sir Robert Mark, visiting the SDS safe

flat, and saying something to the effect that, "You

realise that you could cause me tremendous problems

under certain circumstances."

His deployment, which lasted approximately 240 weeks, ended in 1976.

HN299 gradually went to fewer meetings, and then stopped attending them entirely, telling people he was returning to Glasgow. His withdrawal strategy was planned and agreed with the SDS office.

HN299 does not recall witnessing any public disorder whilst deployed, and states that he did not participate in any. He asserts that he witnessed no violence to people or property and was involved in none. He was not arrested, charged or convicted of a criminal offence while serving undercover, and he did not appear in criminal proceedings as a witness. There is no evidence that he engaged in sexual activity while in his cover identity.

That concludes the summary of this officer.

In addition to the witness statement and documents for this officer, the Inquiry is also publishing today documents in relation to HN344, whose cover name was "Ian Cameron", and who reported on

Τ	the Anti-Internment League and the Northern Minorities
2	Defence Force. And this analysis can be found at
3	pages 90 to 92 of Counsel to the Inquiry's written
4	opening statement.
5	Thank you.
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. We will now, I think,
7	adjourn for approximately ten minutes, while
8	arrangements are made to resume ordinary evidential
9	hearings.
10	Thank you.
11	MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone. We will now take
12	a break. May I remind those in the virtual hearing room
13	to join your break-out rooms, please. The time is now
14	10.20 am, so we shall resume at 10.30 am. Thank you.
15	(10.20 am)
16	(A short break)
17	(10.30 am)
18	MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone, and welcome back.
19	I will now hand over to the Chairman to continue
20	proceedings.
21	Chairman.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
23	As at the beginning of every live evidential
24	session, a recording made earlier is going to be played.
25	If you're listening to it for the first time, please

listen carefully.

I am conducting this Inquiry under a statute,
the Inquiries Act 2005, which gives me the power to make
orders regulating the conduct of the Inquiry, including
its hearings.

In the exercise of that power, I have made a number of orders which affect what you may and may not do in the hearing rooms and after you leave them. Breach of any of the orders is a serious matter and may have serious consequences for you.

If I am satisfied that a person may have breached an order, I have the power to certify the matter to the High Court, which will investigate and deal with it as if it had been a contempt of that court. If satisfied that a breach has occurred and merits the imposition of a penalty, the High Court may impose a severe sanction on the person in breach, including a fine, imprisonment for up to two years and sequestration of their assets.

Evidence is going to be given live over screens in the hearing rooms. It is strictly prohibited to photograph or record what is shown on the screens, or to record what is said by a witness or anyone else in the hearing rooms. You may bring your mobile telephone into the hearing rooms, but you may not use it for any

of those purposes. You may use it silently for any other purpose. In particular, you may transmit your account of what you have seen and heard in a hearing room to any other person, but only once at least ten minutes have elapsed since the event which you are describing took place.

This restriction has a purpose. In the course of the Inquiry, I have made orders prohibiting the public disclosure of information, for example about the identity of a person, for a variety of reasons.

These orders must be upheld. It is inevitable that, whether by accident or design, information which I have ordered should not be publicly disclosed will sometimes be disclosed in a hearing.

If and when that happens, I will immediately suspend the hearing and make an order prohibiting further disclosure of the information outside the hearing rooms. The consequence will be that no further disclosure of that information may be made by mobile telephone or other portable electronic device from within the hearing room, or by any means outside it.

I am sorry if you find this message alarming. It is not intended to be. Its purpose is simply to ensure that everyone knows the rules which must apply if I am to hear the evidence which I need to enable me to get to

1		the truth about undercover policing. You, as members of
2		the public, are entitled to hear the same public
3		evidence as I will hear, and to reach your own
4		conclusions about it. The Inquiry team will do their
5		best to ensure that you can.
6		If you have any doubt about the terms of this
7		message, or what you may or may not do, you should not
8		hesitate to ask one of them and, with my help if
9		necessary, they will provide you with the answer.
10		PROFESSOR JONATHAN ROSENHEAD (called)
11	THE	CHAIRMAN: Professor Rosenhead, can you hear me?
12		Professor Rosenhead, can you hear me? It may be you
13		are still on mute, if, like me, you find the use of
14		these devices problematic to start with
15	Α.	I'm there now.
16	THE	CHAIRMAN: You were on mute.
17	Α.	Yes, I was, yes. Can you hear me?
18	THE	CHAIRMAN: That is a mistake we all make repeatedly at
19		the start of the use of these machines.
20	Α.	Yes.
21	THE	CHAIRMAN: You are about to give evidence. Do you wish
22		to affirm or to swear an oath?
23	Α.	To affirm.
24	THE	CHAIRMAN: Then may you please be affirmed.
25		(Witness affirmed)

1	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Ms Hummerstone.
2	Questions by MS HUMMERSTONE
3	MS HUMMERSTONE: Thank you, Sir.
4	Professor Rosenhead, your name appears in SDS
5	reports relating to activities in particular of
6	Stop the Seventy Tour. I'm going to ask you some
7	questions about that; and in particular of the reports
8	that have been attributed to three SDS officers,
9	Mike Ferguson, HN135, Jill Mosdell, HN346, and "Mike
10	Scott", HN298.
11	Before we begin, I just want to make it clear that
12	the Inquiry notes your concerns raised in your witness
13	statement and your requests for further information
14	about Special Branch interest in your activities. Of
15	course, this Inquiry is confined to looking into
16	the activities of the SDS, rather than a wider inquiry
17	into Special Branch as a whole. And so we're going to
18	confine our interest in the SDS for today's purposes.
19	With that said, can I just ask you a few sort of
20	contextual questions about Stop the Seventy Tour,
21	please.
22	Is it right it was formed in September 1969, with
23	the short-term aim of stopping the British tour of
24	the South African cricket team, which was due to
25	commence in June of the following year, but the wider

- 1 purpose was to isolate apartheid South Africa and
- 2 ultimately to bring an end to apartheid in South Africa?
- 3 Is that a sort of brief overview of the aims and
- 4 purposes of the Stop the Seventy Tour?
- 5 A. Yes, that is correct. A sporting boycott was one of
- 6 the mechanisms for bringing pressure to bear on
- 7 South Africa, and one of the more effective ones. It
- 8 had already started, but STST racked it up a notch,
- 9 I think.
- 10 Q. And they used the South African rugby team that was
- 11 touring in 1969 to 1970 to promote their aims, is that
- 12 right, that by direct action at matches of
- the South African rugby touring team; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes, the focus was on cricket, and only after a little
- while did we realise that there was a rugby tour about
- 16 to come up, and therefore we should probably try and, as
- it were, develop our tactics and the pressure during
- that.
- 19 Q. And in fact, the tactics proved to be successful,
- 20 because the invitation for the South African rugby team
- 21 was in fact withdrawn very shortly before they were due
- 22 to arrive in the UK; is that right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: The cricket team, I think.
- 25 MS HUMMERSTONE: Sorry, cricket team. I'm terribly sorry.

- 1 Of course.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Dealing now, please, briefly, with the generality of
- 4 the activities that were undertaken by the
- 5 Stop the Seventy Tour, the target of the activism was
- 6 the rugby team, is that right -- that's what you said in
- 7 your witness statement -- by the STST, and they targeted
- 8 rugby grounds, the hotels used by the rugby team and
- 9 other venues used by the team; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And also cricket grounds where matches were to be played
- by the visiting South African team in June 1970?
- 13 A. Yes, to a lesser extent, because the tour didn't take
- 14 place, but there was some preparatory activity.
- Q. And the aim, you said in your witness statement, was to
- 16 disrupt the activities of the team through what you have
- 17 called "non-violent direct action"; is that right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And by that, you mean it was not part of any plan by
- 20 those that coordinated the activities of
- 21 the Stop the Seventy Tour for a plan to use violence
- 22 towards any person, and so it's not part of their plan
- 23 for demonstrators themselves to be violent towards any
- 24 person; is that right?
- 25 A. I would put it more strongly. It wasn't just that it

- wasn't a part of our plan, it was a part of our plan
 that there should be no violence.
- Q. And you would use instead what you have called "peaceful civil disobedient methods".
- By "civil disobedience", you don't mean, do you,

 entirely non-criminal behaviour? It could include some

 criminal behaviour, for example obstructing the highway,

 obstructing the police, matters of that nature?

- A. This had already happened of course on quite a large scale in the Committee of 100 demonstrations in the early 60s, and we had the same philosophy of actually, in a sense, offering ourself up -- up as sacrifices to the law, to demonstrate that there were things that we thought were outrageous, and this was one way we could do it. So there were breaches of minor -- minor criminal law, like obstructing the highway.
 - Q. And the tactics were used to cause maximum inconvenience or to actively prevent matches taking place, or players from going about their business; is that right?
 - A. I wouldn't say maximal inconvenience, I think sufficient inconvenience for it to be noticed, noticed not only by the players and the management, but noticed by the public, because obviously the -- we needed to get the British public to be aware that a team which was racially selected and representing an abhorrent

- 1 regime was visiting the country. And it wasn't just
- 2 that the people in the ground should know that, but
- 3 people more generally should, and be recruited to
- 4 the cause.
- 5 Q. And part of that way of publicising those aims was
- the organisation of mass demonstrations; is that right?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. And I think you say in your witness statement
- 9 the Stop the Seventy Tour attracted tens of thousands to
- 10 their demonstrations?
- 11 A. Yes. I don't remember the exact number. Certainly
- tens, perhaps even hundreds of thousands in aggregate.
- 13 I'm not sure what the biggest demonstration was.
- I think possibly it was in Dublin, in fact, when
- 15 the team -- rugby team went there. But there were
- 16 certainly many thousands at a number of different
- 17 demonstrations.
- 18 Q. You have said there was no vetting in particular of
- 19 participants in those demonstrations. Perhaps it
- 20 follows that there couldn't be if there were tens of
- 21 thousands of participants?
- 22 A. I think I need to say that although I see in the record
- 23 that I attended all kinds of meetings that were taking
- 24 decisions about the Stop the Seventy Tour as a whole,
- 25 they don't -- I don't really recall those meetings.

- I don't think I was central to the planning of those --
- 2 those demonstrations at all, because by that stage I was
- 3 more involved in the -- what were called the Special
- 4 Action Group.
- 5 Q. We'll come on to that in a moment. I just want to sort
- 6 of contextualise your activities --
- 7 A. Yes. I'm apologising for my lack of memory of those
- 8 other meetings that were organising the public
- 9 demonstrations.
- 10 Q. Quite understood, Professor Rosenhead.
- It follows, though, doesn't it, if there are tens of
- thousands of participants on a march, nobody could be
- sure of the dispositions of the individual marchers?
- 14 A. There can be no vetting of -- going back to your
- 15 previous question -- of people who are told that there
- is an event and they can turn up. I think we would have
- 17 published our intentions that it should be entirely
- 18 non-violent, and have done that in every way possible,
- 19 presumably with stewards and leaflets and things like
- 20 that. But there's no way you can control a lot of
- 21 individuals; they will do their own thing. But on
- 22 the whole, I think they did comply.
- 23 Q. One of the other tactics used was that of pitch
- invasion; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Demonstrators would get onto the pitch to prevent --
- either to halt play, or to prevent players from coming
- 3 out onto the pitch.
- 4 And I think you say in your witness statement, on
- one occasion you yourself invaded a pitch and were
- 6 removed by the police; is that right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And I think you've said removed by the police before
- 9 the rugby players succumbed to the temptation to beat
- 10 you up; is that right?
- 11 A. It was quite scary because I'm not very big and they
- looked very angry.
- Q. A match in a sizeable stadium like, for example,
- 14 Twickenham, or a match like that, could attract,
- 15 couldn't it, tens of thousands of spectators? If it was
- 16 a popular match, the stands would be full of tens of
- thousands of people?
- 18 A. Yes, and the one that I got on the pitch for -- I tried
- 19 several, but I'm not very good at climbing fences.
- 20 So -- but I did succeed in Aldershot, and the spectators
- 21 there were very significantly drawn from the armed
- forces. So it was not just the people on the pitch who
- were a bit threatening, so -- so were the -- those in
- the -- who were spectators.
- Q. And I think you make that clear in your witness

- 1 statement, that the atmosphere or the threat of
- violence, you felt, came from the direction of the rugby
- 3 supporters?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. But it's right to say, isn't it, that that sort of pitch
- 6 invasion, or that sort of disruption, creates a
- 7 potential, doesn't it, for public disorder on a rather
- 8 large scale; if you've got a lot of people in the stands
- 9 angry and upset about the disruption of their match,
- there's a potential for a form of public disorder?
- 11 A. I suppose that depends upon the stewarding really.
- 12 I remember seeing the evidence that Ernest Rodker, whose
- evidence was read out yesterday, that -- by his son,
- that he was kicked by the spectators while being carried
- out. That didn't happen to me. And the stewarding
- seemed to work okay; the police took us off the field to
- a room they had and then they photographed us.
- 18 By the way, those photographs should have been
- 19 destroyed, since we were charged with nothing. And it
- 20 was only years later that somebody pointed out to me --
- I think it was in a local paper -- that the police had
- 22 held on to these. So presumably the police were guilty
- of an offence at least as serious as mine. Perhaps more
- so, because they're under some kind of duty to obey
- 25 the law more than me.

1	Q.	Would it be fair to say that the potential for some form
2		of public disorder contributed, at least in part, to
3		the success of the campaign to withdraw the invitation
4		for the South African cricket team, that it would
5		perhaps be difficult to police and difficult to manage,
6		and therefore the invitation was withdrawn?

A. You'd need to ask the government of the day; and of course most of those people are dead now. But it was — the invitation was withdrawn at the very last minute, you know, only about a week before the tour.

My impression was that it was the escalating size of the demonstrations. Which were peaceful, but were very, very large and getting bigger. I have the impression that that was the main reason. If this was happening with a rugby tour, rugby being a minority sport, and cricket coming down the road, and already there was a lot of disquiet about the exclusion of Basil D'Oliveira, a player of colour, who had been selected for the British test team and then the South Africans said they wouldn't have him.

So there was a lot of animus already among the British population, not only cricket lovers, about this (inaudible) to cricket, so that was expected to escalate, I think.

Q. The activities of the Stop the Seventy Tour, is this

1	right, depended on leaks regarding the itinerary of
2	the touring rugby team? I think you say in your witness
3	statement that Lord Peter Hain had a contact who had
4	leaked details of their itinerary, and so it was known
5	where they were going to be at any given time, and then
6	direct activities could be organised to coincide with
7	their arrivals at various destinations; is that right?

- A. Yes, but to the best of my knowledge, that information was not used in any way to organise the big demonstrations. Those were only set pieces at the -- at the grounds, not -- it wasn't using any prior information.
- 13 Q. Thank you.

Does it follow that some of the smaller episodes of direct action were activities arranged at the last minute? When the details of the itinerary were leaked?

A. I don't know how last-minute -- we would -- I never actually saw the details of this. The information would get through. I was in a little subgroup called the "Special Action Group", and we would receive that information. And I was never the one that received it, so I'm not quite sure when it was received. But generally we had enough time to -- for example, on one occasion, I went down to a hotel in Bristol where they were going to stay. And we knew about that long enough

- ahead of time to make a booking for a couple of us to
- 2 book into rooms in the hotel and make other
- 3 preparations. So, it wasn't last-minute, but -- and we
- 4 knew which hotel they were staying at in London, for
- 5 example, quite well ahead.
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- I just want to ask you about a document very

 briefly, that doesn't appear in your -- the bundle of

 documents that have been sent to you.
- 10 Please could we have on screen {UCPI/34318}, please.
- This is a Special Branch report, so not an SDS
 report, regarding an AGM of the Anti-apartheid League
 from 1969. You haven't seen this document before, so it

may be that you simply don't remember at all. But did

you -- were you in the habit of attending -- were you

- a member of the AAM, of the Anti-Apartheid Movement?
- 17 A. Yes, in fact, in the year previous I had, for one year,
- 18 been on the executive committee of the Anti-Apartheid
- 19 Movement. And I think probably I had left that in part
- 20 because I got involved in Stop the Seventy Tour, which
- 21 seemed to me a more effective way of pursuing our aims.
- Q. Thank you.

14

15

- 23 A. I think it's quite likely that I was at that AGM.
- Q. I think, later on, there's mention of your name, so it
- does look as if you were there, but I just wanted to

1	make sure whether you to sort of focus you on
2	the document a moment.
3	So can we sorry, can we turn on to page 2 of this
4	document, please, {UCPI/34318/2}.
5	There's some paragraphs in the middle that are
6	headed, "Stop the Seventy Tour". If we can just scroll
7	up slightly. Thank you very much. Just leave it there.
8	The document reads as follows:
9	"Dealing with the Anti-Apartheid's Movement's
10	activities in sport, Mr Paul Hodges, spoke of
11	the formation of the 'Stop the Seventy Tour' and said
12	that detailed plans had already been made to harass
13	the Springboks' rugby tour that was due to start at
14	Oxford a November 5. He said he appreciated that
15	the Anti-Apartheid Movement could not be linked
16	officially with the protesters because of
17	the possibility of its leaders being charged with
18	conspiracy to commit a public disorder."
19	Just pausing very briefly there. This document
20	reports a meeting that took place on 26 October 1969.
21	It appears that plans had already been made to, as it
22	says, harass the Springboks' rugby tour. Were you aware
23	of those plans? Would you have been privy to those
24	plans as part of the Stop the Seventy Tour?

25 A. What was the date of this meeting?

- 1 Q. 26 October 1969.
- 2 A. I can't remember when there was the first national
- 3 conference of the Stop the Seventy Tour, which must have
- 4 been then -- much around that time. Clearly, it already
- 5 existed. And since I know that I went to the first
- 6 national conference, I'm assuming that the information
- 7 was -- was public. I'm not sure what the question is.
- 8 Q. Thank you.
- 9 The paragraph goes on to say, later on in that
- 10 paragraph, that:
- "... the Anti-Apartheid Movement could not be linked
- officially with the protesters because of
- 13 the possibility of its leaders being charged with
- 14 conspiracy to commit a public disorder."
- This was, is this right, anticipating criminal
- offences being committed during the course of the direct
- 17 action that was being planned for the rugby matches; is
- 18 that right?
- 19 A. I -- first of all, I don't know who Mr Paul Hodges was.
- 20 Do we have any information on that? And who was he
- 21 speaking for? Was he speaking for
- the Stop the Seventy Tour or for
- the Anti-Apartheid Movement? It's not clear.
- Q. It seems he was a member of the Anti-Apartheid Movement.
- 25 A. Yes. There was a general perception, I think, that

1		the Anti-Apartheid Movement, while playing a very
2		valuable role, was somewhat restricted and played
3		a particular kind of pressure-group role in British
4		politics, which had which was very effective, but
5		also had its limitations. And the Stop the Seventy Tour
6		was formed out of some sense of dissatisfaction that
7		the Anti-Apartheid Movement wasn't being sufficiently
8		militant. And I think throughout this whole period of
9		several months, there was a tension between the two
10		organisations, in which the Anti-Apartheid Movement was
11		often distancing itself from the Stop the Seventy Tour;
12		while at the same time, I think, benefiting from it,
13		because it kept the issue in the public eye, and also
14		made the Anti-Apartheid Movement seem relatively
15		moderate. So there was an interesting dynamic going on
16		there.
17		But I don't think that the Stop the Seventy Tour
18		felt it was being encouraged or supported by
19		the Anti-Apartheid Movement.
20	Q.	Thank you.
21		Can we just turn on to page 3, very briefly, please,
22		{UCPI/34318/3}.
23		Right at the top of the page thank you very
24		much the second paragraph down:

"Of the 74 who attended the meeting, it was obvious

- 1 that the following were the core of the coming protest
- 2 movement."
- 3 And you'll notice the names there,
- 4 Ms Christabel Gurney, and then your name appears next to
- 5 Mr Peter Hain.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. How does that assessment strike you? Would you have
- 8 agreed with that assessment, that you were the core of
- 9 the coming protest movement?
- 10 A. I'm quite surprised. I've seen my name at the top of --
- or near the top of various lists that the police
- 12 collected, and I wasn't aware that I was particularly
- 13 central. There wasn't much of an organisation.
- I suspect I must have spoken, and maybe volubly, I
- don't know. But, therefore, having said something
- about it and supported it, maybe they thought I must be
- 17 leading something. But I think it was a very informal
- grouping. Probably people came together after events,
- 19 such as the AGM of the Anti-Apartheid Movement, to think
- 20 about how we could take this idea forward. It was an
- idea that grew, it wasn't something that was already
- 22 pre-formed at the beginning of this period.
- Q. Thank you very much.
- 24 We can take that document down now. Thank you very
- 25 much.

- 1 Can I now just ask you briefly about some context
- 2 about the Special Action Group that was the group that
- 3 you say you were involved with. This, you say in your
- 4 witness statement, was a subgroup of
- 5 the Stop the Seventy Tour; is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you said there was some overlap between that group
- 8 and the central direction of the Stop the Seventy Tour?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you mean by that you had the same broad aims?
- 11 A. Absolutely, yes. We -- we-- there was a -- it was, in
- 12 a sense (inaudible) as the Anti-Apartheid Movement could
- benefit from the Stop the Seventy Tour, but while there
- 14 being no establishable connection between them. In
- the same way, I think the Stop the Seventy Tour could
- benefit from what the Special Action Group did, while it
- 17 was entirely deniable that we were acting, as it were,
- 18 under instruction. We were autonomous: we received
- 19 information; we decided what we wanted to do. But
- 20 because we were in sympathy with the general aims of
- 21 the Stop the Seventy Tour, there wasn't a -- there was
- 22 never any tension.
- 23 Q. And you say in your witness statement that the Special
- Action Group had no public profile, didn't advertise its
- 25 existence, or claim responsibility for any action, and

- as a result, the Stop the Seventy Tour didn't need to
- 2 own those actions --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- either.
- Were you a sort of covert arm of
- 6 the Stop the Seventy Tour? Is that the effect of it?
- 7 A. Well, the "covert" makes it all sound a bit suspicious.
- 8 We were a bunch of very nice, well intentioned people,
- 9 who thought that to achieve their ends, they had to --
- 10 they needed the element of surprise, so you had to be
- 11 a little bit quiet about it. And we did take some
- 12 precautions, about trying not to phone, because we
- 13 assumed that if the Special Branch knew about us, they
- 14 would very likely be bugging our telephones. So things
- 15 like that.
- Q. What was the -- what was the purpose of -- why was it
- that you needed to have a smaller group that
- 18 the Stop the Seventy Tour didn't need to claim
- 19 responsibility for? What was the purpose of that?
- 20 A. Well, I imagine that, in terms of not claiming
- 21 responsibility, the objective was to get very large
- numbers of people to these demonstrations, and some
- 23 people would have not wished to be associated with
- 24 people doing sort of almost undergraduate pranks. It
- 25 was nothing more serious than that. One member went

- into, I think, a hotel room somewhere -- not in London,
- 2 somewhere outside -- gained access and sprayed
- 3 anti-apartheid messages in shaving cream on
- 4 the mirror -- on the mirrors in the players' bedrooms,
- 5 just to show that they'd been there. It was a sort of
- 6 disquieting tactic.
- 7 So we would do things like that, but it's not very
- 8 dignified, and it didn't really fit very well with the
- 9 serious image of the Stop the Seventy Tour generally.
- 10 Q. It undermined the sort of gravitas of the message, is
- 11 that --
- 12 A. I think so, yes. We did stuff that, while there was
- nothing wrong with it -- indeed, I would say that it was
- 14 entirely appropriate -- it didn't fit with what
- 15 Stop the Seventy Tour -- how it wanted to be seen.
- 16 Q. Thank you.
- 17 You also operated a policy of non-violent direct
- action and civil disobedience; is that right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Although, that included rather more specific and
- 21 targeted activities, such as painting slogans and gluing
- 22 locks, and getting access to hotels that the teams were
- 23 staying at; is that right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And your membership was rather more controlled because

1 you were all known to one another; is that right? 2 Α. I think "controlled" is the wrong word, but it was a sort of informal network, and I can't -- I really 3 4 don't know how it grew. I mean, I -- I knew 5 Ernest Rodker socially previously, but I think we actually -- and then having known each other, we met in 6 7 the context of anti-apartheid. So it was natural we 8 would talk about things there. But I don't know how other people came to be 9 10 involved. But it had no formal membership. It's people 11 at a meeting would -- would know about the next meeting. 12 Presumably we had phone numbers, and if anybody was 13 absent, we told them when we were getting together 14 again. I don't think meetings were that frequent, because it was all -- this all happened in just a very 15 few months. 16 17 Q. Yes. 18 With that context in mind, can we look, please, at the document which is the first national conference of 19 the Stop the Seventy Tour. This is {UCPI/8660}. 20 21 And it's behind tab 15, Sir, for the hard copy bundle. 22 23 This is a slightly blurry document. Attended by 24 a number of people. And later on we'll have a look at

it. But you, Christabel Gurney and Lord Peter Hain are

25

1	all	referenced	in	the	document

It seems to have taken the -- there was a morning session and an afternoon session, we can see the underlined type there "Morning Session" and "Afternoon Session". The morning session "open to the public as well as delegates", and the afternoon session "open to delegates only".

Can we look, please, at paragraph 5 of this document, which is on the second page, {UCPI/8660/2}.

Can we just make that a bit larger. Thank you very much.

"Michael Brierley (ph) a northern university

lecturer and Middlesex cricketer, gave a well reasoned speech in which he warned delegates against the use of violence for violence sake in their demonstrations. He spoke for the moderate within the STST who are against all forms of violence. Unfortunately neither Brierley nor any of the other speakers could satisfactorily define 'violence', which complicated the arguments for and against it."

First of all, Professor Rosenhead, does that paragraph indicate that not all the participants in the national conference were entirely ad idem on the issue of the use of violence during the course of Stop the Seventy Tour demonstrations?

1	Α.	I think, based on that paragraph and on other documents,
2		I think it would be unwise to take these reports as
3		a neutral and objective statement of what was going in
4		the meeting. I think there's an element of

There was -- I -- I am -- there's a possibility I'm rewriting it with hindsight. But I'm pretty sure I sensed no movement towards violence. The whole point of the Stop the Seventy Tour was that it needed to bring public opinion along, and violence would have the opposite effect. And I don't recall at any stage, not just at this conference but at any other, anyone advocating violence.

self-justification here for -- for police involvement.

Q. Can we look now, please, at paragraph 8. It's on the same theme, and I just want to invite your comment on it. It's on page 3, please, {UCPI/8660/3}. Thank you very much:

"During the general discussion which followed it became apparent that the only real ground for disagreement amongst the delegates was on the questions of whether or not violence should be used at the demonstrations which would take place during the cricket season, and indeed what constituted violence. Some felt that violence could only be used against the person, but others felt that property came

1 within the definition, and also the threat of violence 2 at a later date was in itself a violent demonstration. Despite this difference, it was stressed that the STST 3 4 was not a monolithic organisation, and its avowed policy was one of non-violent militant direct action, if individuals or individual groups felt that violence was 7 called for then they must be the final judges of what action was appropriate. This concluded the morning 8 session."

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Professor Rosenhead, that -- is this right, that indicates that whilst the sort of publicly stated case for the Stop the Seventy Tour was one of non-violence, in fact what was being sort of communicated at the meeting was that each person must take their own course, and whilst the Stop the Seventy Tour wouldn't condone any violence, if people thought violence was appropriate, that was up to them. Does that sound a fair assessment?

Absolutely not, no. I think this is self-serving Α. nonsense, to be honest. I think this is somebody writing a report, I can only think writing down what he thinks his superiors would like to hear, used as justification. There was absolutely no sense that everybody could -- that it was a smorgasboard where everybody could do what they wanted.

1	Stop the Seventy Tour was absolutely clear that anyone
2	that took part needed to be non-violent. And it's
3	possible that at this meeting a person or two may have
4	said that they disagreed with this, but there was no
5	take-up, no I don't believe that to be the case. But
6	if it was, there was certainly no take-up and no sense
7	that this was a strand which needed to be reported about
8	in one of the longer paragraphs.
9 0.	Thank you, Professor Rosenhead.

Thank you, Professor Rosenhead. Ο.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 Can we just briefly look now, please, at 11 paragraph 7.

> It's on the same page, if you just scroll up slightly, please.

In fact, it's a paragraph that begins over the other page, and it comes from a speech to the morning session by Peter Hain. But the paragraph I want to just -the part of it I want to just look at is on the top of the third page, please, where Peter Hain says:

"The support which had been gained in such a short time should not be allowed to dissipate at the end of the Springboks tour. From attacking apartheid in sport they must move into the wider field of racism both in South Africa and in this country, and finally the capitalist system which nurtured it."

An attack on the capitalist system which nurtured

1 racism in this country and in South Africa: was that 2 something that you contemplated, and something that

others agreed with at that meeting, can you recall? 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that would have resonated, yes. Clearly --Α. I mean, I used to watch Lancashire play cricket in Liverpool, which was my home town, but I wasn't exactly a major cricket fan, and that had been some time previously. I guess that some -- some people who were involved in this cared about sport very deeply, but I think we would all care about politics and racism in politics much more so. So it was not about stopping a tour from happening, it was about putting pressure on the regime in South Africa to change. And in the end, of course, it -- through international ramifications and

sanctions and so on, that became what happened.

I -- I think you also have to recall the very substantial links between London as the centre of capitalist finance and South Africa. There were major campaigns going on about that. Barclays Bank was the subject of a campaign for, I would have thought, more than a decade -- I don't quite know how long it went on -- support by the National Union Of Students, and all sorts of others, but because of its complicity at that period in the apartheid regime, and it was perhaps the largest bank operating in South Africa.

- 1 there was also all kinds of finance capital that was
- 2 supporting the continuation of the existing system. So,
- 3 that was seen as collaboration between basically
- 4 a fascist regime in South Africa and the capitalist
- 5 system which we were part of.
- 6 Q. Is that what you think -- is that how you would
- 7 interpret the expression "the capitalist system which
- 8 nurtured it", an attack on the banking regimes that
- 9 funded Southern African minority white rule? Is that
- 10 how you're interpreting that expression?
- 11 A. This is a -- this is a speech by Peter Hain, who was
- then in the Young Liberals, and afterwards migrated to
- 13 the Labour Party. He was -- Peter will speak for
- himself, no doubt, tomorrow. But he was by no means an
- 15 extreme leftist in the Labour Party; I would say
- a centrist. I had great respect for what he did there.
- But I would think it's unlikely that he's talking about
- 18 root and branch revolution; I don't think that was his
- 19 bag.
- Q. Thank you.
- 21 Paragraph 10, please, towards the bottom of this
- page.
- 23 I'm just going to finish off with this document and
- then we're going to have a short break,
- 25 Professor Rosenhead, because it's near the time to have

```
1
             our break.
 2
                 Paragraph 10. This is a paragraph which deals with
             the future plans of the Stop the Seventy Tour. And at
 3
 4
             (1):
                 "A 'welcome' should be extended to the 'White'
 5
             South African cricket team when they are ..."
 6
 7
                 "Welcome" in inverted commas:
                 "... if this was at all possible to arrange.
 8
             The TU spokesman ..."
 9
10
                 Something that's been redacted for privacy reasons:
                 "... (... of SOGAT) said he would endeavour to
11
12
             arrange for everything concerned with the tour to
13
             be 'blacked', which was considered an appropriate form
14
             of action, and this would commence at the Airport
15
             itself"
                 What does that mean? What did he mean by "blacked"?
16
             Well, trade unions were much more -- had a much bigger
17
         Α.
18
             membership and more -- more heft, if you like, in those
19
             days than they do now, where they've been reduced by
             antitrade union legislation, etc. I'm trying to
20
21
             remember what branch SOGAT organised. Does anybody
             know?
22
23
         Q.
            It was print.
             Print, yes.
24
         Α.
                 So they -- they couldn't actually interfere with
25
```

1		the workings of Heathrow Airport. And therefore,
2		I assume what they're talking about is trade union
3		solidarity, that they would call on other unions to
4		behave in that way. In the event, of course, it didn't
5		happen. So perhaps he was slightly he or she I
6		imagine he was overstating their ability to have
7		things "blacked" means that you basically you
8		don't cross a picket line, that sort of arrangement.
9		That if one union is taking action, others don't violate
10		their picket lines.
11	Q.	Thank you.
12		Over the page, please, page $4 \{UCPI/8660/4\}$, and

Over the page, please, page $4 \{UCPI/8660/4\}$, and then the small paragraph at the top of the page:

"A national demonstration should be held at Lords on Saturday June 6 1970, the opening day of the Tour, and it should be of such proportions that it should effectually finish the Tour."

Does that mean it was anticipated that it would be such a large demonstration that the cricket team would simply give up and go home because the possibility of playing another match when confronted with that sheer weight of numbers would be entirely pointless?

- A. I'm not sure what this -- who is supposed to have said this.
- Q. These are simply the plans that have been put in place

- by the Stop the Seventy Tour for action in the future
 for the forthcoming summer.
- A. And who said that? (inaudible) gave the authority for it?

- Q. These were group discussions held by various parts of the Stop the Seventy Tour in group discussions during the course of the conference during a delegates' --
 - A. It sounds like this is one of the bright ideas that was performed in a break-out group, which -- as we call it now. It doesn't mean it was necessarily brought in by everybody, but that's the way it works. The ideas you have generated are then fed in, and not necessarily accepted or rejected but are there as a resource.

I don't -- I think it's quite a strong aspiration.

Maybe it could have worked. It didn't have to, because the government pulled the plug. But I think the government pulled the plug because of worries about what would happen in terms of the scale of demonstrations if they didn't. So I think, in a sense, the statement is true, because the thought of such demonstrations was probably sufficient to get the tour cancelled.

Whether -- had it gone ahead, how it would have played out, we don't know. But certainly, if there had been many tens of thousands of people surrounding Lords

1		and bringing traffic to a stop, and stopping the match
2		from going ahead, I think that would have provoked
3		a crisis, certainly. But whether that would have
4		happened, I don't know.
5	Q.	And perhaps the third idea that was mooted during these
6		break-out groups, which is set out there, plays into
7		that:
8		"As a preliminary to (2)"
9		Ie as a preliminary to the mass demonstration:
10		" it was felt that local demonstrations should be
11		held outside all the grounds where the South Africans
12		would be playing at the earliest possible date after
13		the beginning of the Cricket Season. These
14		demonstrations should take the form of a propaganda
15		exercise consisting of leafletting and picketing
16		the grounds. The leaflets should contain a warning that
17		if the South Africans played at the grounds then
18		the matches would be disrupted. The date of these
19		demonstrations would be decided later"
20		That's all about the propaganda, is it, of this
21		potential mass demonstration should the tour come to
22		fruition?
23	A.	This is a combination, I suspect, you know, inferring
24		from I don't remember this idea, or necessarily

the meeting in which it took place. But it's building

- 1 support. If you -- this is a form of advertisement.
- 2 You'd go to the grounds where the games would be played.
- 3 Therefore, people who are interested in cricket would be
- 4 going to those grounds. And it's a possibility of
- 5 informing them of what may happen. They may be
- 6 recruited to it. They may use their influence to say,
- 7 "Look, this is serious, we don't want this to happen,
- 8 can't we get the tour stopped, " you know? There could
- 9 be a number of things that could happen from getting
- 10 the word around.
- 11 MS HUMMERSTONE: Thank you.
- I think that's probably a convenient moment, Sir.
- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 14 Before we break, could you clear up two minor
- matters for me, please. Can I take it that the Michael
- Brierley referred to there is the man who went on to
- 17 become the captain of the England cricket team?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: And the Special Action Group of which you
- 20 speak, is that the same as the Direct Action Group
- 21 spoken of by Mr Rodker?
- 22 A. I don't know the name "Direct Action Group", but there
- 23 was only one, so it may be different terminologies were
- used.
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

1 We will now break for 15 minutes to let the shorthand writers have a break, and you as well. 2 3 Will you be back in 15 minutes' time. 4 Thank you. MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone. We will now take 5 a break. May I remind those in the virtual hearing room 6 7 to remember to join your break-out rooms, please. The time is 11.20 am, so we shall reconvene at 11.35 am. 8 9 Thank you. 10 (11.20 am)11 (A short break) 12 (11.35 am)MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone, and welcome back. 13 I will now hand over to the Chairman to continue 14 15 proceedings. Chairman. 16 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 18 Ms Hummerstone. 19 MS HUMMERSTONE: Thank you, Sir. 20 Professor Rosenhead, I want you to look at another 21 document now please. This one is {UCPI/14399}. 22 That's at tab 3, Sir, in the hard copy bundle. This is a London region conference of 23 24 the Stop the Seventy Tour, held on 5 April 1970. 50 25 delegates present, and the chairman Ernest Rodker.

In paragraph 4, somebody whose name has been
redacted for privacy reasons spoke about the -- sorry,
if we can scroll down, please, to paragraph 4 on that
page. Thank you. A little bit further. Thank you very
much --:

"... spoke briefly about the subject of Apartheid and expressed the hope that those present would not be too disappointed if the coloured population seemed somewhat wayward in supporting the Campaign. This was due to two factors: firstly that many coloured people felt cricket did not really affect them, and secondly that for various reasons it was very hard to mobilise them in any great numbers. He concluded by saying that STST was a small organisation but with a hard core of militants, who could help to destroy apartheid and racialism."

The expression used there "a hard core of militants", does that strike you as a fair or accurate assessment of the Stop the Seventy Tour, "a small organisation with a hard core of militants"?

A. First of all, I'm just curious as to who was saying this. It says "[Privacy]". So this, presumably, is not an undercover cop speaking, so I'm not quite clear why the name has been removed. It would help to understand whether we should -- what weight we should give to

- 1 the views expressed here. I don't know if anybody can
- 2 provide any clarification on in what role this person
- 3 was speaking.
- 4 Q. A number of names of private citizens have been removed
- 5 to protect their privacy. That's why that name has been
- 6 redacted. And no, that's right, it's not an
- 7 undercover officer speaking?
- 8 A. Could we just scroll up a bit, so I can see under which
- 9 item of the agenda this is coming, because that might
- 10 give me a clue as to whether this was --
- 11 Q. Could we go to paragraph 3, please.
- 12 A. So which part of the agenda is this?
- 13 Q. It appears to be the beginning of the agenda.
- 14 The agenda was somebody who was taking over from
- somebody else who had been indisposed, "Apartheid in
- 16 Sport and Racialism in Britain". It appears to be under
- 17 that item of the agenda.
- 18 A. It's hard to know. Sometimes people do make speeches
- 19 which represent their own views, and obviously it sounds
- 20 as if he was -- he or she was an invited speaker, and
- 21 presumably representing views from outside
- 22 the organisation. It doesn't mean he or she has got it
- wrong.
- I think the language is perhaps redolent of certain
- 25 militancy of the day. I think, as you say, instead of

saying "hard core", you said "small number of committed individuals", I think that would be true. But to call them a "hard core" -- there was no party line. I mean, I -- I was not in any organisation with any of the other people who were -- that I was working with, other than Stop the Seventy Tour. So the idea of militants is normally nowadays seen as a group with a line that it's trying to push on everybody else, and this is simply not a good description.

Stop the Seventy Tour was a nice, floppy, liberal, alternative organisation, which many people could join who had maybe different activities outside but agreed on this one thing. So I don't think that's the normal sense of "hard core" and "militants".

I think if you wanted to say there was a -- a -- a loose penumbra of feeling that South Africa was really so unpleasant that many people who had different views on other things would agree on South Africa, and that some people were more committed to that than others, and particularly, of course, in the Anti-Apartheid Movement, a lot of those would be exiled South Africans. We were mostly not -- Stop the Seventy Tour, we were mostly born and bred Brits, rather than emigrés. And I guess South Africans might have been a little bit more committed, because it was their home that we were

- talking. Whereas, for me, it was an option. If you
 like, this is an expression of my values, it wasn't that
 my life was on the line. And I think that might be true
 of many others. So "hard core militants" doesn't sound
 right at all.
- 6 Q. Thank you.

- A. I can't comment on the extent to which what is described there as "coloured people", because that was the language of the day, I can't say whether that was accurate or not.
- Q. No, I'm not asking you to comment on that. Thank you.

 Can we move on, please, to page 2. I want to look

 at paragraph 6 {UCPI/14399/2}:

"It was agreed that in view of the possibility of conspiracy charges arising from any activity which could be construed as urging others to disrupt public events, it would be wiser not to discuss detailed activities at this stage, but that a 'Planning Committee' should be set up which could advise branches on tactics which might prove successful in disrupting cricket pitches.

The Planning Committee would consist of a small group of 'known' (trusted) delegates and could meet at a convenient date in the near future."

Is that a reference, do you think, to your group, the Special Action Group, there, or is that something

- 1 different?
- 2 A. It -- I have no recollection of this, but it does seem
- 3 that that could have been the germ of the idea which led
- 4 to the Special Action Group.
- 5 What was the date of this document?
- 6 Q. The document is dated 7 April, and reflects a meeting on
- 7 5 April 1970.
- 8 A. Well, the Special Action Group had been in existence for
- 9 quite some time before that.
- 10 Q. Right.
- 11 A. So I don't think that can be it. That may have been
- just -- that must be some other activity.
- Q. Right, okay. Thank you.
- 14 We can take that document down now, please.
- Can we now look at document {UCPI/8607}.
- That's tab 9 of the hard copy bundle, Sir.
- This is a meeting which with took place on
- 18 7 May 1970, so not very long after that previous
- meeting, and it's a meeting of the Special Planning
- 20 Group of the Stop the Seventy Tour, held in a room at
- 21 the London School of Economics, attended by nine people.
- In paragraph 3, the purpose of
- 23 the Special Planning Group is said to be:
- "... to suggest, coordinate and implement activities
- 25 within the London Area from the time of the arrival of

- the South African Cricket team until their departure."
- I think in your witness statement you query whether
- 3 that in fact was a meeting of the Special Action Group;
- 4 is that right?
- 5 A. I find this -- is there a list of who attended at the
- 6 end? Because that would help to clarify that point.
- 7 Q. There is, but they have been redacted for privacy
- 8 reasons, and only your name appears, I'm afraid,
- 9 Professor Rosenhead. But there are nine people in
- 10 attendance, including yourself.
- 11 A. I would say first, just in passing, that S108 was my own
- room at LSE; it was a lecturer's room. It was a small
- 13 lecturer's room. It's very thin and not very long. How
- on earth there were nine people there ... I think this
- policeman has invented that. How could there be nine
- 16 people in that room? It was hard to get four in.
- So I -- I don't -- I don't know.
- 18 Also, if there are redactions, this suggests it
- 19 wasn't the Special Planning Group, because then there
- 20 would have been other names there which are the subject
- 21 matter of this Inquiry, and if those names are not
- there, how can it have been the Special Planning Group?
- 23 Why is Ernest's name not there? Why is Mike Craft's
- 24 name not there? And so on? I find it hard to know what
- 25 this was, this meeting. Is there any evidence further

1		down that would
2	Q.	Yes, can we we're going to move down the report.
3		Can we look at paragraph 3, please.
4		Well, first of all, sorry sorry, just briefly
5		going back there's in fact two paragraph 3s in this
6		document. Can you just scroll up slightly, so that we
7		can see that what the points of discussion were likely
8		to be during this planning group:
9		"The group, therefore, had at this stage broad
10		discussions on the following points:-
11		"(i) Heathrow demonstration.
12		"(ii) Tickets.
13		"(iii) Demonstrations outside Lords June 6th"
14		Which is the first day of the cricket tour:
15		"(iv) Hotel demonstrations."
16		Just scroll up slightly so we can see the whole of
17		that paragraph 3:
18		"At this stage no definite plans have been made for
19		a demonstration at Heathrow, mainly because of the lack
20		of information about the time and place of arrival of
21		the teams. However, the general assumption was that
22		they would arrive at 8 am on June 1st by a scheduled
23		BOAC flight at Heathrow. To this end members of
24		the planning group agreed that a 'recce' should be made
25		of the Airport, with particular reference to the exits

- 1 therefrom. It was generally felt that it would be
- 2 impossible to prevent the team from leaving the Airport,
- 3 but that incidents could well be staged on the route
- from the Airport to their hotel."
- 5 Does this sound like the sort of thing that your
- 6 Special Action Group would have discussed --
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. -- (overspeaking) -- direct action targeted at
- 9 the cricket team?
- 10 A. No. This is clearly much more public, not in any way
- 11 clandestine at all. The reference there to
- the "planning group" suggests it could have been
- the group that was mentioned --
- Q. In the previous -- (overspeaking) --
- 15 A. -- (inaudible) -- didn't have in the last document you
- had up.
- So I guess I must have been a member of it. But of
- 18 course, it didn't last very long, because the tour got
- 19 cancelled and none of this was -- was required. So
- I have no recollection of it. And presumably other
- 21 people whose names have been redacted were on
- 22 the planning group but not on the Special Action Group.
- 23 So I think this might be an entirely different activity.
- Q. Thank you.
- 25 The reference to "incidents" being "staged"

- 1 en route -- so it says:
- 2 "... incidents could well be staged on the route
- 3 from the Airport to their hotel."
- 4 What sort of incidents might have been contemplated
- 5 by the Special Planning Group?
- 6 A. As I say, this wasn't the Special Planning Group, as far
- 7 as I can tell. And I have no idea what that could have
- 8 been. But certainly if there were incidents to be
- 9 carried out, then you'd have thought it would need to be
- 10 rather carefully organised, whatever they were.
- I imagine they could have been some kind of traffic
- interruption, but it's hardly likely. I can't think
- 13 what else it would be, but you could hardly do this
- thing in an open public meeting, you know, plan how
- 15 you're going to block a road or something. And
- 16 certainly we did not do that in the Special
- 17 Planning Group. This -- it was never -- in the Special
- 18 Action Group, whatever you want to call it. It -- it
- was never something that I recall even being on
- the agenda, let alone agreed to.
- 21 Q. Right.
- 22 Can we turn on, please, to page 2 in this document
- and look at paragraph 5 {UCPI/8607/2}. Thank you.
- 24 Somebody whose name has been redacted for privacy
- 25 reasons:

Τ		presented plans and derial photographs of
2		Lords, and described the 'defences' as they existed at
3		present. He said that there would probably be two
4		points of attack open to demonstrators:-
5		"(a) From the raised stand (believed 'G' and 'H'
6		stands) there was a drop of approximately 5 feet to
7		the ground, and as there was no barbed wire or other
8		obstruction at this stage it seemed the most likely
9		place from which to launch an attack."
10		And (b):
11		"From the gates opposite the pavilion, from
12		where rollers etc were brought on the ground.
13		"Therefore, bearing these two places in mind,
14		demonstrators should be advised to purchase tickets for
15		these areas."
16		The expression used there "from which to launch an
17		attack", can you recall what might have been meant by
18		that? What attack would be launched?
19	Α.	I think this is like the hyperbolic language elsewhere.
20		It's not a language we would ever have used. You know,
21		I refer to it. I think this is the person writing.
22		This is, again, presumably a covert report we're seeing
23		here not a public document, and he's whoever's
24		writing it is can do that with the certainty they
25		will not be refuted or adjusted(?); and the terms seem

to be directed to make this seem more serious than
I think it can have been.

I -- this section of it does look more like the sort of thing the Special Action Group might have got involved in. So maybe this was some kind of hybrid that was both planning demonstrations and the purchase of tickets and so on, which would be a mainstream STST activity. We didn't have any money. We didn't have any mechanisms for acquiring tickets or distributing them to other people. So this seems to be an odd mixture of things going on here.

Assuming this meeting took place. I don't imagine that meetings were invented, but I think the way it's described is -- seems to me implausible that we would have done. We -- there were -- I can remember odd meetings where we talked about what we might do at the beginning of the cricket season, if it happened.

And they were -- they weren't planning pitch invasions, because that's not what we did. We didn't mobilise large numbers of people. What we did was done with a very small number of people, sometimes one or two, sometimes six. You know, I don't remember.

But certainly we would not organise anything that involved large numbers of people. The ideas we had for cricket grounds were things like could we -- could we

1		find somebody who could fly a model aeroplane over
2		the cricket ground with a streamer with an
3		anti-apartheid message. It was that sort of thing, not
4		things involving large bodies of people. So whatever
5		this meeting was about, it wasn't Special Planning
6		Group. And I think it's been souped-up for the eyes and
7		ears of the senior officers, in terms of the language.
8	Q.	Thank you.
9		Can we scroll towards the bottom of the page,
10		please.
11		That's great, thank you.
12		I just want to ask you about these series of points:
13		"In view of the different groups and organisations
14		which would be present on June 6th it was difficult to
15		make specific plans for any one type of action on
16		the ground, but the following points were felt to be
17		relevant:-
18		"(a) A mass attack on the ground was desirable, but
19		this should be delayed until near the lunch interval.
20		Before and after this, demonstrators should endeavour to
21		get onto the pitch in twos and these, and once having
22		reached the playing area, the best advice was that each
23		should 'do their own thing'.
24		"(b) General distractions should be mounted
25		throughout the period of play in the form of shouting

_	and moving about so as to distract the players attention
2	as far as possible.
3	"(c) Newspapers should be brought in and allowed to
4	blow over the pitch wherever possible. The possibility
5	of using balloons was discussed, but it was felt that
6	they were not very effective.
7	"(d) The use of fireworks, flares and other
8	materials of a similar nature was advocated. It was
9	said that 'Blacks' (believed Black & Edgington LTD) 22
10	Grays Inn Road, WC1, apparently stock a 'mini-flare'
11	used by climbers and others as a form of distress
12	signal, which has a small launcher attachment little
13	bigger than a fountain pen, and could therefore be
14	easily smuggled into the ground."
15	And if you could just scroll down to the next page,
16	3, $\{UCPI/8607/3\}$, just to the top of that page, just to
17	finish that paragraph.
18	Somebody whose name has been redacted for privacy
19	reasons:
20	" said that he was endeavouring to obtain the key
21	to a school Army training Unit in order that he
22	could 'make use' of its stock of thunder-flashes."
23	Can you just return to the previous page, please,
24	$\{UCPI/8607/2\}$, and little paragraph (a).
25	The advice that people "should endeavour to get onto

- the pitch in twos and threes" and once there "do their
- 2 own thing". Can you remember what might have been meant
- 3 by that, by the expression "do their own thing"?
- 4 A. Where was this meeting taking place?
- 5 Q. It took place on 7 May 1970 at the LSE, and is said to
- 6 be a meeting of the Special Planning Group of
- 7 the Stop the Seventy Tour --
- 8 A. Is it supposed to be in my room or not?
- 9 O. It was in room S108.
- 10 A. That was my room. I -- I imagine that that's not
- invented and I was there. I have no recollection of it.
- 12 It's a different order of planning than we would
- 13 normally have been involved with.
- 14 So what was the specific question?
- Q. Can you recall what might have been meant by the advice
- that demonstrators on reaching the pitch in twos and
- threes should "do their own thing"?
- A. Well, the one time that I got onto the pitch, I got onto
- 19 the pitch and there was nothing to do, I just had to
- 20 wait there until someone came and removed me. And I
- 21 imagine -- there is no action to take once you're there.
- 22 You might, I suppose, try to elude the police, so as to
- 23 make the interruption longer. I didn't, I just waited
- 24 to be taken away. And I imagine that's what they mean.
- There was no specific action, rather than that. That's

- 1 code for: do some terrible things.
- Q. The points, the advice under (d), the use of fireworks, flares and the possible use of "thunder-flashes", what
- 4 was the purpose of using fireworks and flares and other
- 5 sort of --
- 6 A. Well, note it says "was advocated". That means somebody
- 7 brought forward this bright idea. It doesn't really
- 8 mean that we decided to do it. And I think that was
- 9 probably somebody who was a little bit more adventurous
- than most of us would have been happy with. I can't say
- now because, as I say, I only went(?) to(?) a collection
- of the meetings.
- But people were casting around for things to do that
- might interfere with the game. I remember the one --
- one scheme -- we actually had a meeting in the flat of
- a sympathiser, which I don't know that is reported on.
- 17 It was a flat that actually overlooked Lords. And we
- 18 were thinking about, would it be possible to have
- 19 a high-pressure hose that could sort of somehow project
- 20 a parabolic arc of liquid onto the pitch over the stands
- and so on.
- So there were all kinds of crazy ideas going on
- 23 which never went anywhere, as the model aeroplane never
- 24 went anywhere either. And I think this is probably just
- one of those brainstorming ideas that -- that's why you

- do the brainstorm: you try and get ideas out, and some
 may get implemented, some may not. It doesn't look as
 if this one had any take-up. But in any case, as we
 know, the opportunity to use these ideas was removed
 from us by HM Government, who stopped the tour -- got
 the tour stopped, shall we say.
- Q. And the use of fireworks, or "thunder-flashes", or
 however they're referred to, presumably their use would
 be to create anxiety and -- amongst the public watching,
 who wouldn't necessarily know how to attribute the
 noises they were hearing --
- 12 I don't think that idea was ever accepted. And had it Α. 13 got near to implementation, we would have had to think 14 seriously about those aspects. But it didn't, so this was just an idea lying on the table. And it wasn't 15 immediately negated, because it looks like we were 16 17 thinking about all sorts of ideas, trying to think of 18 things that we could do that would be effective. none of these things were decided on. 19
 - Q. We can take that document down now, please. And the next document I want to look at is $\{MPS/736368\}$.

21

- Which is behind tab 1 of the hard copy bundle, for your note, Sir.
- This is another meeting of the Special

 Planning Group held on 13 May this time, a little later

1	than the previous one. Again, nine people appear to
2	have been present. The points of discussion are
3	the "Heathrow demonstration", the "hotel
4	demonstrations", the "June demonstrations", when
5	the tour was actually underway, and "future activities".
6	If we scroll down, please, slightly down the page,
7	so that we can look at the whole of paragraph 4, please.
8	Thank you very much.
9	The start part of that paragraph says that

The start part of that paragraph says that

the demonstrations at the airport couldn't be finalised

because no one knew when -- quite when

the South Africans would have arrived. But the second

paragraph says:

"The Airport has been 'surveyed' and photographs taken, and the general conclusion is that the Flight will arrive at No. 3 Building, and that the South Africans will be 'spirited away' through a VIP Lounge into a waiting coach and thence to their hotel. If advance information is received that they are actually in transit, then an advance warning system to demonstrators will be put into operation, to get them to assemble at the Airport. It is considered feasible that a 'commando group' of some 20 demonstrators could gain access to the area where passengers from the 'plane disembark to throw fireworks etc, thus giving

the South Africans a 'rousing' welcome."

Again, the reference to the use of fireworks, but this time at the airport. Again, would the purpose of using fireworks at the airport be to create panic amongst those people arriving and other users of the airport at that time?

A. First of all, discounting the hyperbolic language of whoever this is -- it seems like the same person -- was using, I think these were ideas that had not been accepted, they're ideas that were floating around. We were nowhere near implementing any of these things.

The fireworks weren't bought. There were no names.

This is not operational. This is, if you like, some thoughts being put out that demonstrators could be stationed in the car park. But there's nothing definite here. This is thoughts about how one might go ahead with something that was going to take place in the following month, surrounded by uncertainty.

Almost certainly, very little of this would have been put into action. And it's not been accepted that we were going to go through the airport throwing fireworks.

I'm assuming that I was there, because it's my room, and that some discussion of fireworks was -- was in place --

- 1 Q. Yes, your name appears at the end of the report as
- 2 somebody who was in attendance.
- 3 A. Yes, that's what I thought.
- 4 By the way, I noticed that at the beginning it says:
- 5 "From a reliable and delicate source."
- 6 Now, I know this is code, police code. Can somebody
- 7 tell me what that means?
- 8 Q. It's the way that the reports begin in this era. That's
- 9 how intelligence reports are written in this era,
- 10 Professor Rosenhead.
- 11 A. I don't think all of them have that, but maybe I'm
- 12 wrong. I thought that sometimes it meant that it was an
- undercover policeman, and another form of words meant
- 14 that it was somebody who was a member of
- the organisation that was supplying information. Was
- 16 that right?
- 17 Q. I simply don't know, Professor Rosenhead, I'm afraid.
- 18 A. Okay. Sorry, I'm not sure I answered your question
- 19 entirely.
- Q. You did.
- 21 A. The idea of a commando group. Nobody would -- none of
- us would have used that language. We
- 23 weren't militaristic. This is being translated into a
- 24 kind of jargon for something which was disorganised,
- 25 fluid, people interacting with each other. It wasn't

1	a board of directors with people taking instructions or
2	coming to (inaudible) conclusions. It was thinking
3	about what we might do in preparation for what might
4	happen later, perhaps.

Q. Can we turn over onto the second page, please, and look at the top paragraph, paragraph 5, {MPS/736368/2}:

"As had been agreed, each of the London Groups will be given a specific date and time when they are expected to picket outside the team's hotel. It was suggested that once the hotel was known individuals from each group should familiarise themselves with its layout and thus be in a position to gain access whenever possible or necessary. It was also suggested that although there would undoubtedly be police guards at the various entrances and exits, there would perhaps be times when this guard would be relaxed, and if there were a sufficient number of demonstrators present, then that would be the time to march boldly into the hotel and stage some form of 'happening'; the most spectacular would be the release of smoke bombs in the foyer or near the South Africans if they could be located."

This is evidently a suggestion made by a member of this nine group -- Special Planning Group.

Again, a reference to a "smoke bomb". Is that in order to create sort of maximum anxiety and worry

- amongst the South African team and other members of the people using the hotel?
- A. This is quite -- assuming this is accurate, roughly,
 this is clearly wishful thinking by one person, sort of
 brainstorming some ideal scenario that they hope they
 might get it on (inaudible). None of this could
 conceivably have happened. I'm sure none of us were
 taking this at all seriously.

I mean, it's -- you can't take things that are said at a meeting as a commitment of even those other people at the meeting, unless it says that was decided. And this -- this, obviously a policeman, or a policeman's agent, or whoever it was that was the reliable and delicate source, would have been looking for things like this to report, regardless of whether they were agreed or not. And it's quite clear that these -- no steps were taken to implement these. Unless you can find me other evidence that that was the case.

I have to say, I'm going entirely from documents not from memory. My memory is limited to the sorts of people who were there, the sorts of status of the organisation, of discussions, that it was not a dedicated cell, it was people -- there would have been different people at each meeting, and so on. It was not -- I'm afraid we weren't that disciplined.

1	Q.	Just completing our look at this report. I	n
2		paragraph 6, it says:	

"Apart from the obvious forms of demonstration already discussed at length the following additional points have arisen:-

"A meteorological balloon is to be purchased, also a cylinder of hydrogen, with the intention of releasing ticker tape or similar substances over Lords during the match."

"A 'Free Radio' expert (not identified) has stated that it should be possible to jam police communications at crucial periods. It was stated that he has the equipment which is necessary for this and is prepared to assist."

Can you remember that suggestion being made?

A. No, it's -- the whole thing is littered with wishful

A. No, it's -- the whole thing is littered with wishful thinking and wild speculations, and I don't have any recollection. The fact that it didn't register suggests that it was never taken forward in any way; or possibly, given that it doesn't fit with my -- my general politics and my state of mind, I think it's -- I probably dismissed it as bluster, as it -- well, we don't know because we didn't get to the stage of the cricket tour, but my doubt is that any of this would have happened.

And we would have stuck to stuff that we had been doing

1		already, which was like mass demonstrations and
2		occasional little forays of one or two people to try and
3		add a little bit of extra spice to the to the sauce.
4	Q.	Thank you.
5		I think we can take that document down now, please.
6		Can we now look, please, at $\{UCPI/8635\}$ behind tab 4
7		in the hard copy bundle, please.
8		Now, this is a meeting of the National Committee of
9		the Stop the Seventy Tour at which you were present,
10		which took place on 24 May 1970, so just after the time
11		that the invitation extended to the South African
12		cricket team had been withdrawn, and so the campaign had
13		been successful.
14		I just want to ask you a couple of questions
15		about it, please. Paragraph 1(ii), I think, there was
16		a I'll just make sure I've got the right document.
17		Sorry, yes:
18		"It was agreed that they participate in
19		the Ruskin-Kitson march over the weekend but that STST
20		should not meet at Lords as arranged but in
21		Regent's Park."
22		If you could just scroll up a little bit, please.
23		Thank you:
24		"The June 6th rally which was being organised by
25		the Anti-Apartheid Movement was going on as planned, but

1	the general feeling of those present was that the Rally
2	would be somewhat of an anti-climax, and that most STST
3	supporters would not be particularly interested in
4	participating. Under no circumstances should STST use
5	it as a 'Victory Rally'."

Does that indicate that the march was likely to be
a great deal smaller than had been anticipated?

- 8 A. I think it says so in terms.
- 9 Q. That the purpose of the Stop the Seventy Tour had been achieved?
- 11 A. No, the rally was not the Stop the Seventy Tour's rally,

 12 as I understand it, it was the Anti-Apartheid Movement,

 13 and the only question was whether STST should

 14 participate, and in what way.
- 15 Q. Thank you.

And finally this. Paragraph 3 -- if you could just scroll down a little bit, please. Thank you. And maybe just make it a little bit larger. Thank you very much:

"The general consensus of opinion was that although STST had served its purpose, the organisation which had been set up should not be disbanded but should remain as a latent power in the event of any other 'apartheid team' endeavouring to enter Great Britain. It was felt also that the numbers of supporters who had been mobilised so successfully over the past months should

not be left to dissipate their enthusiasm, and it was essential that STST found another 'cause' as soon as possible. Discussions would take place with group leaders to seek their ideas of possible 'targets' but the one which received the most ..."

If you can just scroll down:

"... general support was the Caborra Bassa Dam project. It would therefore seem likely that those who remain supporters of the STST now that the Tour has been cancelled will have their thoughts directed towards this scheme."

The Caborra Bassa Dam project, there was a group called the "Dambusters Mobilising Committee". Is that what's envisaged there, that the Stop the Seventy Tour supporters would focus their attentions instead on the Dambusters Mobilising Committee, or just causes or other campaigning groups towards the aim of the Dambusters Mobilising Committee, which was to stop the building of that dam? Can you remember?

A. I don't remember the specific meeting or this specific proposal, but there were clearly discussions that I do remember, about what we do with Stop the Seventy Tour now that it's achieved its objective, which is a very unusual situation for a political group like this to be in. And I was certainly someone who was arguing that

with all this mobilisation, we would know -- we'd have
the names and details of thousands of people round
the country who'd taken the time and trouble to get in
touch with us, and that a movement could be made or

5 reinforced out of this.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

I don't remember the -- that there was ever an agreement on a target or a focus for such a group. And I don't -- and I'm pretty sure that there wasn't, and that therefore the Caborra Bassa Dam development happened, the mobilisation happened independently of any influx from STST. Individuals may well have gone, and I believe that Christabel Gurney, who's on this afternoon, will be able to to give you better information on that than I could.

- Q. Thank you.
- My -- my experience of that discussion was that the idea 16 17 of maintaining STST as a separate activity, obviously 18 with some change of name and focus, was not implemented. And in a sense, the group was -- I don't know how 19 20 democratic it was. Obviously the people who turned up 21 to demonstrations had no vote on necessarily a new 22 voice. And so a relatively small number of people were 23 involved in organising. And, for whatever reason, by 24 whatever dynamic internal to that group, which I wasn't 25 central to, basically it was wound up; there was no

- 1 successful organisation. Which I found -- thought was 2 disappointing and a waste.
- Can we move on very quickly, please, to the -- sorry, 3 Q. 4 just look at -- (overspeaking) --
- (inaudible). 5 Α.
- The next document is $\{MPS/736399\}$. 6 Q.
- 7 Thank you.

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8 This is a report which you deal with in your witness statement between paragraphs 7 and 10, about a meeting 10 said to have taken place in your room at the -- or certainly at the LSE, about a plan to form a group 11 12 called Keep Politics Out of Cricket Committee, and to 13 circulate a petition and invite signatories to 14 the petition to attend the ground, which would have 15 resulted in a confrontation between demonstrators and the police. And this report says that an advertisement 17 was placed in the Telegraph and in The Times with a box 18 number.

> And if we scroll down, we can see the text that appeared in the Telegraph advertisement, at paragraph 4 in the personal column of the Daily Telegraph:

"Cricket lovers help circulate a petition to save t 70's Tour, Keep Politics Out of Cricket. Write to CL 11182, Daily Telegraph, EC4."

And I think you say in your witness statement that

- 1 this was a complete fiction created by an acquaintance
- of yours, who wanted to discredit you and your political
- affiliations, to undermine you in the eyes of another,
- 4 and this was a complete fiction that has been reported
- 5 by the undercover police officer; is that right?
- 6 A. That's correct, yes --
- 7 Q. -- (overspeaking) --
- 8 A. Would you like me to expand on that? I could do so.
- 9 Q. Well, I don't want you to -- I don't want to trespass
- into any matters that might be private to you, because
- 11 I note that -- of course you don't necessarily need to
- give us the background, but I just wanted to ask you
- this.
- It's said to be a meeting that took place in your
- room with a number of other people, including somebody
- 16 who'd not previously taken any part in any
- 17 Stop the Seventy Tour activity. I think that's in
- 18 paragraph 3. And the text that apparently appeared in
- 19 the Daily Telegraph gives a box number. And I just
- 20 wanted to ask you this. That box number, it would mean,
- 21 wouldn't it, that this advertisement couldn't on its
- face be linked to you?
- A. Had I put it in, it would not have been traceable, no,
- 24 no.
- 25 Q. No. And it appears that the report seems to say that on

1	11 May, this advertisement did appear in the Daily
2	Telegraph. Can you recall that? Do you know anything
3	about that?

A. No. I had nothing to do with any of this.

And it's interesting, if you go -- scroll up a bit, you see this one says information acquired "from a reliable source", it's not "from a reliable and delicate source", so I guess it's coming from some different source. It's not -- and evidently it's not from a meeting, it's something that's been acquired outside the meeting.

I'm -- I don't know by what mechanism this was noticed and brought to the police's attention and linked to me. It seems the advertisement doesn't mention me. Possibly Special Branch approaching the newspaper to say who put -- who put this advert in? I don't know. To whom would it be sent?

My guess is -- this is not the only example of its kind; there was another one at very much the same period, inviting people to write in for pornographic material to me by name, but this was in -- I think that one, I think, was in either City Limits or Time Out, I can't remember, and that one was spotted by a friend of mine who happened to be doing the layout on the magazine, that was --

- 1 Q. Presumably in order to link it to you, you were named in
- that advertisement, were you?
- 3 A. I was named in that one. My guess is the other one
- 4 could only have been that all this stuff on people
- 5 writing to me from the box number, the box number would
- 6 come to me, and I would therefore be aware of the risk
- 7 that if I went on seeing the person that I was seeing,
- 8 that all this stuff could -- could -- could be
- 9 escalated. That's all I can think. But it is nothing
- 10 to do with politics, and everything to do with jealousy
- and rage and upset.
- 12 Q. Thank you very much.
- 13 A. It's a bit shocking to see it here in a public inquiry.
- 14 Q. Thank you. We can take that document down now, please.
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Hummerstone, I think it's right to say,
- isn't it, that this document is not, we think, an SDS
- 17 document?
- 18 A. Ah --
- 19 MS HUMMERSTONE: That's right.
- 20 A. -- so it would (inaudible) Special Branch, yes.
- 21 MS HUMMERSTONE: That's right. I'm grateful.
- 22 Final document before we move on to the arrest and
- 23 prosecution at the Star & Garter. {UCPI/8245}, please.
- I should say, this is a very poorly -- a very poor
- 25 quality document, and it's quite difficult to read.

- This is a document -- a report about the meeting of
 the London Action Committee Against Racialism, which
 appears to have held at a private house on

 10 September 1971.
- The subject of the meeting is mainly a protest to be arranged at the Miss World competition in 1971. And if we scroll down to paragraph 4 and 5, please:
 - "The plan of action would be similar to that of
 the previous year. After gaining admission, they hoped
 to generally disrupt the contest. If, however, access
 to the Albert Hall was [something] plans would be made
 to sabotage the news broadcasting vehicle which were
 usually parked in the vicinity."
- In the previous year, it's right, isn't it, there

 had been a disruption of the Miss World contest by

 the Women's Liberation Movement; is that right? Or

 the Women's Liberation Front; can you recall that?
- 18 A. Yeah, in the previous year, but (inaudible) make sense,
 19 yes.
- 20 Q. And this was a plan to stage something similar.
- 21 A. So it seems, yes.

9

10

11

12

13

- 22 Q. But if not, to sabotage the news broadcasting vehicle.
- 23 How would that have been achieved, the sabotage of 24 the news broadcasting vehicle? Can you recall?
- 25 A. I have no recollection of this at all.

```
1
                 To what extent was this connected to STST? I see
             Peter Hain's name is there, just visible at the bottom.
 2
             I don't know who was -- without the full document,
 3
 4
             I don't know where this was or who was participating.
 5
            If we just scroll down, we can see that you were
         Q.
             present, but other names present have been redacted for
 6
 7
             privacy purposes. So you were present at this meeting,
             but is your evidence that you've got no recollection of
 8
 9
             it?
10
         Α.
             I'm afraid not, no.
11
         Ο.
             Okay.
12
                 Just in the paragraph that's visible there,
13
             paragraph 7:
                  "On Tuesday 21 September 1971, Peter Hain was due
14
15
             to ..."
                 I think it must say "appear":
16
17
                  "... at Bow Street Magistrates' Court."
18
                 Somebody who's redacted for privacy reasons:
                  "... at the earliest ..."
19
20
                 I think that must be "opportunity":
21
                  "... would liaise with the Young Liberals in
22
             the hope that a combined effort could be made to disrupt
             the court proceedings, together with a demonstration."
23
24
                 Can you recall any conversations of that nature?
```

Sorry, no. This -- this is -- I'm assuming that I was

- 1 actually there. I don't remember who else was there.
- I can't imagine what the nature of the meeting was, or
- 3 that I would have been in any way involved with that
- 4 aspect, since it seemed to be a Young Liberals thing.
- 5 Q. So you can't recall what might have been envisaged for
- 6 the disruption of the court proceedings?
- 7 A. No. Absolutely not.
- 8 Q. Thank you. We can take that document down now, please.
- 9 I'm now going to move on to the final topic, which
- is the demonstration at the Star & Garter hotel,
- 11 the subsequent arrest and conviction at the magistrates
- 12 court.
- 13 It seems to be -- I'm just looking at a purple
- 14 screen. I wonder if that document can be fully taken
- down. Thank you.
- We understand that the plan at the Star & Garter
- 17 Hotel where the English rugby team were staying prior to
- 18 their departure for South Africa was to attempt to
- 19 disrupt their departure to the airport, is that right,
- 20 to interfere with their taking an aeroplane to fly to
- 21 South Africa to begin their tour; is that right?
- 22 A. Ideally have them miss the plane, yes.
- Q. And you say in your witness statement that the plan had
- 24 been to block their coach which was due to take them to
- 25 the airport in the car park, so block the passage of

- 1 the coach from the car park to the road to take them to
- 2 the airport, and the demonstration was staged in the car
- 3 park; is that right?
- 4 A. Mm-hm.
- 5 Q. That was a plan, it seems, that took shape at the house
- of Ernest Rodker, and HN298, "Mike Scott",
- 7 the undercover police officer, was present at that
- 8 meeting, it seems.
- 9 Can you recall "Mike Scott", or somebody of that
- name, being involved in anything about this matter?
- 11 A. No. Zero. And from the statement of HN298, who
- 12 I gather is "Mike Scott" -- we don't know what his real
- name is, and I have had no photographs of him at the
- 14 time, which would enable me to identify him.
- 15 But from his own evidence -- and I accept some of
- this is almost certainly true -- he appeared on that
- 17 afternoon -- or was it morning; I don't even know what
- 18 time of day it was -- having, he said, been told about
- this meeting by Peter Hain's mother, whom he had phoned.
- 20 So he'd phoned up someone who was a dedicated and
- 21 experienced South African political activist who'd been
- 22 exiled to this country, who had been the subject of
- 23 amazing police intrusive behaviour in South Africa, and
- she is supposed to have told him, "Oh, there's something
- 25 interesting going on this afternoon. Why not go to this

- address in Fulham." I find this so implausible.
- 2 But the point is, this guy therefore shows up,
- 3 having had no contact whatsoever with the group, having
- 4 heard about this meeting somehow -- presumably they're
- 5 not obliged to tell the truth, but it does seem to me
- 6 more likely that they'd obtained their information in
- 7 some other way, possibly by a telephone tap or some
- 8 other thing, I don't know. But the result is he
- 9 parachutes into this meeting and is accepted, because we
- 10 were not security-conscious, we didn't worry. He said,
- "I heard about this from your mum", or something.
- I don't know what he may have said. And we were milling
- 13 around. In fact, I'm sure Peter wasn't there, so --
- 14 because Peter didn't get involved in these sorts of
- 15 activities.
- So I -- I guess we took him for granted, because
- 17 the only people who knew about this were people who had
- 18 been told by people who were already involved in
- 19 the Special Action Group.
- 20 So you may say that was naive of us, but there we
- 21 are. I have no visual recollection of him.
- 22 Q. This -- the Special Action Group, having been formed in,
- what, 1969 or thereabouts, was still ongoing, was it, in
- 24 May 1972?
- 25 A. This was a sort of loose social contacts and friendship

group, yes. So we knew some of the people. And I think ACAR, which you mentioned a meeting of -- a document just now, that was one of the attempts to maintain -- to generate some kind of continuing activity from STST. We were not part of ACAR, but we were an informal group who thought we'd like to do some more stuff on the apartheid question, which was our main preoccupation at the time.

I think you should realise that at the time,

South Africa was the dominant political activity for
those who were progressive. Just as, for some years
later, Vietnam became the central activity. As now, in
the same way Palestine is the centre of activity for
people who care about human rights. So you need to
understand its status, that we were not just -- not just
dilettantes saying, oh, let's have another go at
South Africa. This was a concern that had been going on
by then since the boycott of South African goods
started, which was ten to 15 years previously. It had
been a continuing thread of activity.

So we gathered together, and we did various actions together. I can't remember all of them, but one of them was around -- there was the publicity that we picked up for a trade centre that was going to be -- South African trade centre that was going to be located in St Martin's Lane, in a big -- in an office building there. And we

1		did those activities to make it clear to the people that
2		might be letting it to them that this would cause
3		trouble, and we had demonstrations there.
4		So this was not a one-off activity, the hotel in
5		in Richmond, but it was probably, I think, the last
6		activity that we did it in that way.
7	Q.	Right, thank you.
8		Can we now look at some of the documents and try and
9		piece together a kind of an account from
10		the available documents, please.
11		Can we have up on screen {MPS/526782}.
12		This is a composite document, and so we're going to
13		be sort of dotting around a little bit, I'm afraid.
14		This is the officer's report about events of that
15		day. And he begins his report setting out that this was
16		a it started it was initiated by a meeting at
17		Ernest Rodker's home address, and goes on to say that
18		there were 21 people present.
19		At the bottom of paragraph 2 he says this:
20		"There appeared to be only a small group of three or
21		four who had actually been involved from the beginning
22		in the planning of what might correctly be described as
23		a military style operation. Rodker was the key figure
24		of this group which also included Jonathan Rosenhead."
25		Is that right? Were you and Ernest Rodker two of

1		the main instigators and organisers of the activities of
2		this group, in particular in the planning of this
3		particular event, which you say?
4	Α.	I think that's right. I am sure there were others, but
5		we were certainly deeply involved.
6		To call it a "military style operation" is
7		overestimating the chain of command that we had. It
8		was but we did try to think through what you might
9		call a deployment: how we might manage to make
10		that parking lot hard for the coach to get out of. It
11		would have been foolish to go there without thinking
12		that through.
13	Q.	Yes.
14		Scrolling on down the document, please:
15		"Rodker, with the help of a fairly accurate hand
16		drawn map of the relevant part of Richmond, indicated
17		where people and cars should be positioned according to
18		the turn of events, and outlined a variety of manoeuvres
19		designed to cope with most eventualities."
20		And paragraph 4 sets out the detailed plan that was
21		to be put into place:
		"After some discussion about who should fill what
22		
22		roles, which was governed to a large extent by whether

that [Privacy] would be the 'strikers'. It was their

1 job to turn off the fuel supply to the England rugby 2 team's coach or otherwise immobilise it, and then to indicate to [Privacy], who would be playing football in 3 a nearly field, that it had been done. They in turn 4 would wait until the players begun to board the coach and then indicate to ..."

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Someone who has been transcribed as "PT":

"... who would be stationed on Richmond Hill looking down upon them. It was his job to inform Rodker and [Privacy] that the players were boarding the coach, and that they should block the Petersham Road side of the car park with their cars. After a time lapse of 11/2 minutes, the other three cars, also parked on Richmond Hill, were to block the Nightingale Lane car park exit. The drivers of the vehicles were then to lock them up and block the path of the coach with their bodies. To complicate matters further, Rodker had ordered two 'skips' to arrive at the upper car park at 4 pm."

You say in your witness statement that you think that sounds broadly accurate, is that right, that that was the plan?

Α. I think it's actually rather impressive. Remember, we're doing all this without mobile phones, so I'm not quite clear how the signalling was going on. I imagine

- 1 people up on the hill waving to somebody down below who
- 2 could see them, or vice versa. But yes, I'm -- I think
- 3 Ernest was probably more involved in that than --
- 4 the organisation than I was, but it looked quite a well
- 5 thought out plan.
- 6 Q. You have submitted to the Inquiry a series of printouts
- 7 of the area. And I don't know quite how this is going
- 8 to work remotely, but perhaps we can just try and just
- 9 have a little look to see whether we can make it clearer
- 10 as to the geography.
- They are at {UCPI/34071}, please. If I can just
- have that up. If this is simply not going to work, then
- 13 perhaps we'll abandon it, but we'll have a go.
- 14 Is this right, this shows the outside of what was
- then the Star & Garter hotel; is that right?
- 16 A. Yes, I think -- I'm guessing this is -- I don't think
- 17 this is the part of the car park that I was in. This
- is, I think, a lane that's leading from the main road to
- 19 the hotel, and then there's another -- sorry, you can't
- 20 see me. But looking at the picture, off to the left,
- I think there was another car park, another entrance.
- I think you come in one way and out the other, or
- vice versa.
- 25 A. -- I think the coach was on the other side.

- Q. We've got an aerial view coming up, so perhaps we can
- 2 scroll on to the next page, please. And I think you'll
- 3 have to turn the image of that.
- A. It's a very nice hotel, by the way. My brother had his
- 5 60th birthday party there, as it happens, so I was able
- 6 to revisit.
- 7 Q. It looks delightful.
- 8 This shows a slightly different view of the hotel
- 9 and car park. And you see -- did you say round to
- 10 the left?
- 11 A. I think so. This is going from memory.
- 12 Q. And that appears to be a road leading up -- if you look
- at the extreme left of that image, a road leading up
- past the hotel on a slight incline; is that right?
- 15 A. Going down the side -- that is, I think, the public road
- 16 probably. But I'm not quite -- I may have got the car
- 17 parks in the wrong order, but there was a -- one --
- 18 I think there's a way in and out from that lane into
- 19 different parts of the car park.
- Q. The next image may make it a little bit clearer, because
- it's a Google Maps image. It may not, but we'll just
- look at it briefly. If you can just help me with this
- as someone who evidently knows the geography.
- We can see the little sort of hotel sign in
- 25 the middle of the image there, the kind of little bed

- sign, with a road, Nightingale Lane, leading from there
 to the Petersham Road, and then running a little further
 up the page, Richmond Hill running down the page past
- 4 the words "The Wick".
- The parts in white there, that's the hotel premises;

 is that right? That's the car parks and the hotel
- 7 itself, and the roads are then in white: Nightingale,
- 8 Petersham Road and Richmond Hill, which are the roads
- 9 that we're concerned with; does that sound right?
- 10 A. Yes, it doesn't actually look at all like how I remember
- 11 it. But Nightingale Lane is something -- I guess that's
- 12 probably about a few hundred yards long, I'm not sure.
- 13 It's not quite as short maybe as it looks there. And
- it's -- and it's almost a country lane.
- Q. And a part of the plan was that the -- there are
- 16 evidently two exits to the car park, the Petersham Road
- 17 exit and the Nightingale Lane exit. So it looks as if
- 18 there's an exit at the top of Petersham Road going down
- 19 from the hotel, and then one leading out from the other
- 20 part of the car park; is that right? I mean, it's very
- 21 difficult to see from -- (overspeaking) --
- 22 A. I'm sorry, I should obviously have gone back and had
- a look at it before -- they may have changed the
- 24 arrangements by now.
- Q. Don't worry.

- 1 Let's just move on, please. Next page, please,
- page 4 {UCPI/34071/4}. Actually, I'm not really sure
- 3 this is going to assist, but I want you to have an
- 4 opportunity to look at it, since you've provided it.
- 5 What we can see there is in fact that's the other
- 6 part of the Star & Garter, isn't it, rather than
- 7 the hotel?
- 8 A. That's the wrong building.
- 9 O. Yes. The Star & Garter hotel --
- 10 A. That was for ex-servicemen and so on. It's now been
- 11 converted into luxury flats, I believe. But that's not
- 12 it.
- 13 Q. The Star & Garter Hotel in fact is at the top left,
- isn't it, where we can see very faintly
- written "Nightingale Lane", it's just up there,
- and "The Wick"?
- 17 A. I don't think you can see the Star & Garter Hotel from
- 18 there, as far as I'm aware. I think it's further away
- 19 than that. It's up on the top of the hill where it's --
- 20 the Petersham Hotel is further down towards the river.
- Q. Thank you.
- Over the page, please, {UCPI/34071/5}, page 5 gives
- a rather more extreme sort of zoom out.
- A. You can see there, the distance is much greater.
- 25 Q. Yes. So we can see the Wick is right up next to

- 1 Richmond Hill, and the restaurant at the Petersham, the
- Petersham Hotel, is down towards Petersham Road, right
- 3 at the bottom of that -- towards the bottom of that
- 4 stretch?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And then Nightingale Lane leading from
- 7 the Petersham Hotel to the Petersham Road there.
- 8 And finally, please, the final one of your documents
- 9 that you have provided to the Inquiry, which has
- 10 probably maybe the clearest, next page, please, page 6,
- $\{UCPI/34071/6\}$, which shows, doesn't it, the car park in
- 12 a little bit more detail perhaps, and the positioning of
- the Petersham Road and Nightingale Lane.
- 14 Do you know where the individual cars were parked
- and what entrances were supposed to be blocked? Can you
- help us with that?
- 17 A. I can't even remember for sure where the -- where
- 18 the coach was. I'm pretty sure -- my car was one of
- the ones which was used to block, and I'm pretty sure
- it's in that car park that's going up the hill towards
- 21 Nightingale Lane. That's my guess, that's where it was.
- 22 Because I think there was an exit there, and we put
- a couple of cars there to stop the coach getting out too
- easily.
- 25 Q. Right.

_	_		_								
1	Α.	And	Ι	think	there	was	another	exit	onto	Petersham	Road.

- 2 But I'm not sure. It doesn't look as if there is
- 3 necessarily an exit there.

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ο.

4 I think that the coach was probably located in 5 the shadow that's just behind the hotel, the shadow that

the hotel is casting. That would be my best guess.

- Thank you. Thank you.
- Can we return, please, now to {MPS/526782}, and this 8 time to page 10 of that document, {MPS/526782/10}, and 9 10 just enlarge it a little, please.
- This is going back to the report by HN298 of 11 12 the events of that day. And just looking at 13 paragraph 6:

"Before departing for Richmond, Rodker gave out the telephone numbers of several solicitors and the NCCL. He destroyed all the notes he had made about the days activities and told the others that if they were arrested it was essential that they should deny having met together previously and should say that they had received a note through the post, outlining the action which had been planned. He added that this was to squash any possibility of a charge for conspiracy."

Was it anticipated, therefore, that you may be arrested for the action that you took on that day?

- 1 A. I don't think there was any -- I don't remember
- 2 discussion about conspiracy. It wasn't a major part.
- 3 This was maybe, like, a little -- little warning that
- 4 you give to people. You know, like, if -- when people
- 5 were going out on demonstrations, they sometimes --
- 6 people used to hand out leaflets saying, "If you are
- 7 arrested, this is what -- this is what your rights are,
- 8 this is what you should do."
- 9 I think this was more a low-key precautionary
- 10 statement. I don't think -- I don't remember being
- aware of it, I don't remember destroying any -- any
- 12 notes. I don't think I knew what the law on conspiracy
- was.
- 14 Q. It wasn't in anticipation of the commission of any
- 15 criminal offence, you don't think?
- 16 A. No, it's just that I think we were vaguely aware that --
- 17 that things that were not themselves crimes could become
- 18 a crime if they were -- if you -- if there was
- 19 conspiracy to do them. And so we didn't want to run
- 20 that risk. Something in that area, anyhow.
- 21 Q. And can you recall -- you may not -- did you go straight
- 22 from Mr Rodker's house to the Star & Garter Hotel; can
- 23 you recall that?
- 24 A. I must have done. I cannot remember -- I can't remember
- 25 the meeting at Ernest's house or the journey, I just

- remember being in the car park. But there's been lots
 of time for the memories to go.
- Q. Paragraph 8 in this report describes the movement of the cars into the position and the arrival of the police:

"By 3.55 pm all were in their allotted positions and almost immediately the signal was received for Rodker and [Privacy] to leave. After 1½ minutes the other cars departed from the Nightingale Lane exit. As they did so, a lorry containing an empty 'skip' turned in front of them and drove into the car park. The three cars followed and were confronted by a police officer, who made no attempt to stop them blocking the coaches exit. They positioned the cars, locked them and left, later to join other demonstrators standing by the coaches with placards. It would appear that the officers' presence in the car park had deterred [Privacy] from making any attempt at immobilising the coach."

Does that roughly accord with your memory of the episode?

- A. It's not inconsistent. Certainly I don't remember the detail, but there's nothing there that I would see to be wrong.
- Q. Can we move on to paragraph 10, please. Paragraph 9
 sets out the attempts to move the vehicles to block

1		the that were blocking the passage of the coach, and
2		paragraph 10 says:
3		"A melee ensued with the demonstrators sitting on
4		and in front of the vehicles while they were being
5		lifted. One of the hotel staff succeeded in ripping off
6		half the front bumper of one car from its mounting."
7		I think that was in fact your car, and the police
8		took responsibility for that damage.
9		Can you recall a melee? Would you have described it
10		as a "melee"?
11	Α.	No. No, there was a there a group of people all
12		clustered round the coach, and I imagine sitting down.
13		But there was no no fisticuffs; nobody was trying to
14		restrain us in any way.
15		By the way, I don't think it was the bumper,
16		I thought it was the roof rack that got damaged. But
17		who knows; it doesn't matter now. The officer may have
18		accepted responsibility for it, but I never got any
19		money.
20	Q.	Can we just very briefly return to paragraph 5, just
21		slightly up the page. Paragraph 5, the final two
22		sentences of that paragraph:
23		"Rosenhead volunteered the use of three flares which
24		he had with him but there was not much support for this.
25		(Later, at the car park he lit and threw a flare)."

1		Can you recall doing that?
2	A.	Absolutely not. I think this is possibly mistaken
3		identity. (inaudible) likely. Most of this report
4		seems reasonably accurate apart from a slight inflation
5		of language. I I wouldn't have even had anything to
6		light the flare with. I have no recollection of ever
7		owning a flare in my life. It seems possibly somebody
8		else. But I don't remember any smoke in the or or
9		or light, or anything of that kind, in the whole
10		event. It was all a beautiful sunny day, and I don't
11		remember anything consistent with that. That doesn't
12		necessarily mean me I didn't do it, but I
13		certainly don't think I did.
14	Q.	Let's just look very briefly at another report, please,
15		{MPS/737087}.
16		This is tab 6 of your bundle, Sir.
17		Thank you.
18		Paragraphs the second paragraph and the third
19		paragraph. This is the report from the officer one
20		of the officers that attended this incident, and
21		the second and third paragraph set out what they found
22		there:
23		"The patrolling officer, SPS [redacted] attended at
24		once. Just after 4.10 pm about 30 persons arrived and
25		mingled with a crowd of hotel staff and residents and

some building workers in the car park of the hotel. Two
of them left Morris mini motor vehicles in the car park
entrance at Nightingale Lane, blocking the way out for
the rugby team's coach which was about to leave.

"With help from members of the public and police whom he had called to the scene, the SPS tried to move one of the vehicles. Then some of the thirty people who had just arrived started sitting down in the road outside the same entrance and completely blocked the way. They did so in groups of three or four at a time. As each group sat, SPS [redacted] asked them to move. They refused, and they were arrested, whereupon a fresh group replaced them.

"Fourteen persons acted in this way, and they were taken in two vans to this station and charged."

Does that accord with your memory of how this episode played out?

A. I don't remember it in that detail. I don't remember this idea of people sitting down one by -- you know, in small groups, rather than all together. It could well have happened. I wouldn't substantiate that or deny it. It's -- it's -- it wouldn't make that much difference if we'd all sat down together or done it in groups. And I can't think quite why we would have done it in groups, but maybe we did.

- 1 Q. It's right, I think -- from your witness statement, it's
- 2 right to say you've got little independent recollection
- of the court proceedings that followed your arrest.
- 4 Your first appearance, it seems, was on 14 May -- sorry,
- 5 we can take that document down now, please -- when it
- 6 appears that not guilty pleas were entered to
- 7 obstructing a highway and to a new charge of
- 8 obstructing -- you were charged with obstructing
- 9 the police on that occasion as well, and a not guilty
- 10 plea entered to that as well.
- Thereafter, you were bailed, it seems, until
- 12 14 June, and then two meetings took place of your group
- at private houses. I'm just going to look very briefly
- 14 at those meetings, please.
- 15 Can we have up $\{MPS/737109\}$.
- This is a meeting which took place on 21 May, so six
- 17 or seven days after that first court appearance, at your
- 18 house, and 13 people were in attendance, including, as
- 19 we now know, HN298, "Mike Scott", and also including
- 20 Ernest Rodker and Christabel Gurney.
- This was a meeting, was it not, where you discussed
- your forthcoming court appearances and how you were
- proposing to deal with the case in hand; is that right?
- A. So it seems, yes.
- 25 Q. Paragraph 2, it's set out there that you had obtained

- a number of press photographs. And in fact, you've
- 2 submitted a number of photographs with your witness
- 3 statement. Are those the same photographs that are
- 4 referred to in that paragraph?
- 5 A. They must be, yes.
- 6 Q. Thank you. I'm not going to take you through those, but
- 7 those have been now published.
- 8 At paragraph 6, please, if we just scroll down
- 9 the page slightly.
- 10 A. Can I just say on that that the stuff about photographs
- showing people in the road. I was never in the road,
- 12 and yet I was -- I was accused of being in the road. So
- 13 I don't know whether there was -- as -- Ernest is
- reported as saying that he was photographed in the road.
- I just can't say. But if so, he was the exception. We
- were in the car park, and it's quite a large car park,
- 17 as you saw. We were near the hotel and not at the road
- 18 end.
- 19 Q. And that formed, I think, the basis of your defence for
- 20 your trial; is that right?
- 21 A. And there were photographs.
- 22 Q. At paragraph 6 -- yes:
- 23 "Rosenhead next began to compile a list of those who
- 24 had witnessed the arrest of any of the others, but most
- 25 could not recollect the more important details that had

```
And then over the page, please, {MPS/737109/2}:
 2
                 "Finally it was agreed that a small group including
 3
             Rosenhead, Rodker [and two others] ... would arrange an
 4
 5
             interview with Ben Birnberg ... in about 10 days time.
             Rodker suggested that all concerned should prepare a
 6
 7
             statement of what had happened ..."
 8
                 And in fact, you did, I think, speak to a solicitor
             and arrange for the solicitor to represent the group as
 9
10
             a whole; is that right?
             Not just a solicitor; Ben Birnberg is a highly esteemed
11
12
             human rights lawyer of an older generation(?). He's
13
             still alive, I have to say. He's a wonderful guy.
14
             I don't -- I don't think -- he did become my own
             solicitor many years later, but I don't know who
15
             contacted him on this occasion.
16
         Q. And the request for notes about what had happened.
17
             fact, we have one such set of notes, do we not? I think
18
             you have submitted it. Perhaps we can have an extremely
19
             brief look at it. {UCPI/33628}, please.
20
21
                 That just sets out the sort of covering letter, as
22
             it were:
23
                 "Herewith my rather uninformative account of
24
             the events of that fateful Friday afternoon ..."
                 If we can turn on to page 2, please, {UCPI/33628/2}.
25
```

occurred."

1		This is at tab 17, Sir, of the hard copy bundle.
2		It's not terribly easy to read. The top paragraph sets
3		out the movement of the cars that this individual
4		witnessed, and the movement of the arrival of
5		a delivery van and a skip at the car park, the skip of
6		course having been organised by Ernest Rodker, and
7		the people in the car park.
8		The second paragraph says this:
9		"A smoke flare was set off about 10 minutes after
10		the start of the blocking attempt but well away from
11		the scene of the action."
12		That's probably a reference, isn't it, to the flare
13		that was mentioned in "Mike Scott's" report, but you say
14		don't think that was set off by
15	Α.	Well, it might have been me, but I just don't remember
16		it. I'm not I'm not in a position to deny something
17		I can't remember.
18	Q.	The next paragraph reads:
19		"After about twenty minutes most of
20		the demonstrators had disappeared. I could only see
21		Mike Scott and a girl whose name I believe [Privacy]
22		trying to prevent the police from moving a red mini too
23		roughly from the car park entrance."
24		And then in the fourth paragraph this writer says he
25		then saw:

- 1 "Four demonstrators, including Mike Scott and 2 Ernest Rodker sitting on the grass verge of the road in police custody." 3 4 You say you weren't aware of the presence of "Mike 5 Scott". Does it follow then you can't really recall who was doing what and whether he might have been actively 6 7 involved in the --I didn't -- I didn't know he existed until these --8 Α. 9 these papers started to emerge. 10 Q. Thank you. Can we move on, please, to the next document. 11 12 going to take this quite shortly, {MPS/737108}. 13 This is a report of a second meeting of some of those arrested after that demonstration at 14 15 the Star & Garter, held very shortly before your second
- appearance at court. This is on 11 June.

 Again, "Mike Scott", the undercover officer, was

 present at this meeting. It appears that at this

 meeting you gave an account about your meeting with

 the solicitor Ben Birnberg. Can you recall giving such
- 21 an account? Perhaps you can't.
- 22 A. The account being what, sorry?

no, who knows what I --

25

- Q. An account of your meeting with Ben Birnberg.
- A. I can't see that in the text. "Rosenhead reported" --

- 1 Q. It may not much matter.
- 2 Perhaps we can just move on to paragraph 7, please?
- 3 A. Because it's all deleted that I can't -- I've got really
- 4 no recollection of what it might have been that -- that
- 5 I was reporting. That might have helped, but ...
- 6 Q. Some matters have been redacted from this document
- 7 because they contain matters of legal professional
- 8 privilege, which is why they can't be --
- 9 A. Yeah, I wasn't objecting, I was just explaining why
- I couldn't, yeah --
- 11 Q. -- (overspeaking) --
- 12 A. -- (inaudible).
- Q. Paragraph 7, can I just ask this:
- 14 "There was some concern over the costs of the case
- and there was unanimous agreement that these should be
- defrayed equally regardless of individual fines and
- 17 costs. Rodker suggested forming a defence fund as
- 18 a means of raising a proportion of the expenses and it
- 19 was decided to set up 'The Richmond Defence Fund'.
- 20 [Privacy] agreed to insert an appeal in 'Peace News 'and
- 21 Rosenhead added 'And Christabel Gurney can do the same
- 22 with AAM.'"
- 23 Was it anticipated that the Anti-Apartheid Movement
- 24 might be able to meet some of your defence costs?
- 25 A. There was no expectation, but it was an exploration that

Τ		since Christabel has been involved from then until now
2		with anti-apartheid matters that she would be well
3		placed to find out if they would do it.
4	Q.	Just moving on very briefly, please, to paragraph 8:
5		"The only fundamental difference to be resolved was,
6		that at least three of the number"
7		And it sets out the names there:
8		" wanted to try to establish what they described
9		as 'a precedent of furthering Mackensie representation'.
10		Not only did they want, as is traditionally permitted,
11		a layman in the court who could suggest lines of
12		questioning for the defendant to pursue but furthermore
13		a layman who was able to represent them in the same way
14		that a solicitor might. They anticipated that
15		the Magistrate would not permit this and that they would
16		be granted a further remand of one month for their case
17		to be presented to the Queen's Bench Division."
18		Do you have any recollection of a further remand?
19		After your case was heard on 14 you appeared in court
20		on 14 June it doesn't appear that you were convicted
21		on that day, unlike others. Might you have been part of
22		this group that sought the furthering of McKenzie
23		representation? If you don't remember
24	А.	I didn't understand why I was I think Ernest was
25		remanded as well, for a further period. And I didn't

1	know why I wasn't dealt with. Some people were dealt
2	with summarily on the occasion and others were not. And
3	I noticed I got a bigger fine. Some people got £2 fine,
4	and I got a £10 fine. I don't know why I was privileged
5	in that way. I didn't do more than the others; it was
6	the same offence.
7	MS HUMMERSTONE: Sir, I notice the time. I've only got two
8	more reports left to look at. It's likely to take about
9	ten minutes. I don't know whether it's more sensible to
10	complete this now before breaking, or whether to adjourn
11	for lunch and come back at ah, sorry, I'm told
12	the shorthand writer requires a break. I'm awfully
13	sorry. But I've literally got ten minutes more, so it
14	won't be very long after lunch.
15	THE CHAIRMAN: May I just ask the shorthand writer through
16	you, please, to reconsider? You've got ten minutes
17	left. We then have to have a quarter of an hour break,
18	to permit anyone who has any questions to put them to
19	you. It may well be that Mr Ryder wants to re-examine,
20	and all of that is going to take us well into the lunch
21	break if we run until we finish it.
22	It seemed to me to be sensible for you to finish
23	your ten minutes now, and for us then to break for lunch
24	when all of those things can take place without
25	interrupting proceedings further. Otherwise, Ms Gurney

- is going to be, I think, unreasonably delay.
- 2 MS HUMMERSTONE: I'm told that the shorthand writer is
- 3 agreeable to that course, so we can complete this now,
- 4 I'm grateful.
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: May I just confirm with Professor Rosenhead
- 6 that that does not inconvenience him?
- 7 A. That's fine.
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Good.
- 9 MS HUMMERSTONE: Thank you very much.
- The penultimate report, please {MPS/526782}, which
- is the composite that we've already looked at, but
- 12 I want to just look at a different page of it, please.
- And can we go to page 4, please. $\{MPS/526782/4\}$.
- 14 Paragraph 6 of that. This is about your appearance in
- 15 court on 14 June of 1972. Paragraph 6 indicates at
- the conclusion of the proceedings Christabel Gurney
- 17 Privacy and Mike Scott, HN298, were found guilty and
- 18 fined and conditionally discharged.
- 19 But at paragraph 5, it appears that your case and
- that of Ernest Rodker were adjourned until 28 June.
- 21 Have you got any recollection of why that happened?
- 22 A. No, and apparently there were two other people as well
- with us, and I have no idea why we were selected for
- this treatment. Sorry.
- 25 Q. Can we go back, please, to page 3 of this document, just

- to see if this sheds any light on it. It may not, but

 I just want to ask you. {MPS/526782/3}.
- This is the SDS management report on this incident,
 reporting back as to what had happened from HN294, who
 was the DI of the SDS at the time, who says that, in
 the third paragraph down, having said that various
 appeared on 14 June:

8 "The remaining seven asked for these cases to be
9 heard at a higher court and have been further remanded
10 until 28.6.72."

11 Can you recall requesting that your case was heard
12 by the Crown Court?

- A. I assume this was part of the advice by Ben Birnberg, and I assume that he was saying there was an advantage to going to a higher court. Maybe you can express your arguments in a fuller way, I don't know. And I guess that the people -- the seven who didn't do that were ones who just wanted to get it disposed of now and not have to come back again another time. So I assume it was that sort of self-selection, rather than anything else.
 - Q. We can take that document down now, please.

The point at issue during your trial, you say in
your witness statement, was the location of
the demonstration and whether or not you were

- obstructing a highway or simply impeding the progress of
- the coach in the car park; is that right? That was
- 3 the main --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- force(?) of that issue.
- 6 A. Well, that was the issue about whether we were guilty as
- 7 charged. Obviously the main issue was about apartheid
- 8 South Africa, but that was not --
- 9 Q. Sorry, that was the main issue -- that was the main
- 10 point at issue during the course of the court
- 11 proceedings.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you say that whilst you have no independent
- 14 recollection of the court proceedings, you assume you
- 15 pleaded guilty and had a trial on that issue?
- 16 A. Pleaded not guilty.
- 17 Q. Not guilty, and had a trial?
- 18 A. Yes. I remember that must have been 28 June.
- 19 Q. And you say that you seem to remember -- that you gave
- 20 evidence that you were in the car park, and you seem to
- 21 remember others saying the same; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- I'd just like to say, my mother -- my late mother
- 24 was a magistrate up in Liverpool, and she knows that
- 25 I tell the truth, and she also knew that police officers

- tell the truth. So when I told her what had happened
- with police officers basically lying through their teeth
- 3 about where we were, she found this really -- a lot of
- 4 cognitive dissonance; she didn't know how to handle
- 5 this. She had to believe that police officers could lie
- 6 or that I did. So I recall this issue very acutely
- 7 because of that.
- Q. Yes, yes.
- 9 The final document I want to just very briefly look
- at is {MPS/737126}, please. And whilst that's just
- 11 being obtained, you say that you seem to remember giving
- evidence, you seem to remember others giving evidence.
- 13 Can you recall -- does it follow, since you can't recall
- "Mike Scott" at all, you can't recall whether he would
- 15 have given evidence during the course of the trial?
- 16 A. No, no.
- Q. Or in fact whether he was in the same court proceedings
- 18 at that stage as you were?
- 19 A. He -- he was remanded to the higher court as well, was
- 20 he?
- Q. No, it doesn't appear so.
- 22 A. So, the proceedings in the first court were extremely
- abbreviated, as I recall, because they processed it all
- very quickly.
- I think the fact that he was there is outrageous,

1		that he sat in on our meetings with Ben Birnberg,
2		because Ben came to the court, I think, on that
3		occasion. So he would have been privy not just to
4		reports of the interaction with the lawyer, but actually
5		there, and then clearly reporting that to the police, so
6		they would know that we were going to be making a case
7		about being in the wrong place. All of that is, it
8		seems to me, a total miscarriage of justice, and speaks
9		very badly for the police ethics of that time, or of
10		that place.
11	Q.	This final document just sort of perhaps completes this
12		episode.
13		Can we turn on to page 3, please, of this document
14		{MPS/737126/3}.
15		Towards the bottom of the page, the bottom two
16		entries, we can see that Ernest Rodker appears to have
17		been convicted of obstructing a highway and obstructing
18		the police, and he was fined £2 with £10 costs, and

a conditional discharge, on 12 July 1972.

Your name appears thereafter, also charged with
obstructing the highway and obstructing the police, also
on the same date, but fined £10 with costs, but no

evidence offered on the second charge, that of

obstructing the police. Can you recall that?

A. Well, I didn't obstruct the police. But it's

1		interesting that I I was that charge was
2		dismissed. Ernest was not dismissed. He was
3		conditionally discharged and bound over, or whatever,
4		for two years. Yet he gets only a £2 fine and I get
5		a £10 fine. I'm not quite sure what I did to annoy
6		the magistrate. Really weird.
7	Ο.	Does it follow, since you had no awareness of "Mike

- Q. Does it follow, since you had no awareness of "Mike Scott" in your group, that you had no awareness during the course of those criminal proceedings that there was an undercover officer in your midst, you weren't informed of that?
- A. No, and reading the document, it seems that nobody in the court was informed. Indeed, I think the -- well, I'd be interested to hear "Mike Scott's" evidence.

 I think he's talking on Tuesday. But in his written evidence, he doesn't say that anybody in the court was involved, which is extreme.

MS HUMMERSTONE: Thank you, Professor Rosenhead.

And you've set out in your witness statement

the consequence that you see as having flowed from that

lack of disclosure, and those are all going to be taken

into account by the Chairman when he considers what

information to pass on to those that might need to see

it.

That completes the questions I have for --

- 1 A. Can I say one more thing? Are you going to give me
- 2 a chance? I didn't interrupt you, did I?
- 3 MS HUMMERSTONE: No, not at all, professor. That was going
- 4 to be my final question, Professor Rosenhead.
- 5 We have your very helpful and complete witness
- 6 statement that will be taken into account. But I wanted
- 7 to ask you whether there was anything that you wanted to
- 8 add that you haven't perhaps set out in that document,
- 9 that you wish the Chairman to take into account.
- 10 A. Clearly, I mean, this Inquiry is about what happened
- 11 then, but it's also clearly supposed to inform
- decision-making now. And I do think that the --
- 13 the emphasis then entirely on radical -- liberal or
- radical and trade union organisations, to the virtually
- 15 complete neglect of any danger from the right, which
- arguably was much greater, is striking. And I'm worried
- 17 -- I think we should think about this in terms of what's
- going on now.
- I am concerned, in terms of the behaviour of our --
- 20 my very own undercover officer, about the current
- 21 legislation about making it actually -- changing
- 22 the legal basis for covert human intelligence sources
- 23 activities. So I do think that the -- I hope that this
- Inquiry will perhaps retrospectively get those powers
- 25 reconsidered.

1	And the general picture that's going on at
2	the moment of reinforcing police powers is worrying
3	given the evidence that we have in this case. And there
4	is a continuing police culture, if you like, of
5	the abuse of power. And I don't think I think people
6	are doing this valuable service for the community, which
7	is raising issues which are uncomfortable. They were
8	uncomfortable for the powers that be in 1972, even
9	though we now think that opposition to apartheid is
10	everybody's against it, but they weren't then.
11	And people who are pushing against the established view
12	should not be subjected to these kinds of intrusive
13	powers unnecessarily.
14	MS HUMMERSTONE: Thank you, Professor Rosenhead.
15	Sir, those are all the questions I have
16	for Professor Rosenhead.
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
18	May I ask Mr Ryder, if he is listening, whether he's
19	likely to have any re-examination?
20	MR RYDER: Thank you, Sir. At the moment, I don't
21	anticipate any questions.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. I ask mainly to help with timing
23	so that Ms Gurney is not told to appear when or
24	requested to appear when she's not actually needed.
25	MR RYDER: Yes, of course.

```
1
         THE CHAIRMAN: Very well. We will adjourn now until 2.15,
 2
             when, if there are any further questions of
             Professor Rosenhead, they will have been forwarded to
 3
 4
             Ms Hummerstone, and she will, if she thinks it right,
 5
             ask them. Otherwise, we will then proceed to hear
             the evidence of Ms Gurney.
 6
 7
         Α.
             Thank you.
         MR FERNANDES: We will now take a break for lunch. May
 8
 9
             I remind those in the virtual hearing room to remember
10
             to join their break-out rooms, please.
                 Hearings will resume at 2.15 pm. Thank you.
11
12
         (1.18 pm)
13
                            (The short adjournment)
14
         (2.15 pm)
15
         MR FERNANDES: Good afternoon, everyone, and welcome to
             the afternoon session of today's evidential hearings.
16
17
             For those in the virtual hearing room, please remember
18
             to turn off both your camera and microphone.
19
                 I will now hand over to the Chairman,
             Sir John Mitting, to continue proceedings.
20
21
                 Chairman.
22
         THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
                 As at the beginning of every live evidential
23
24
             session, a recording is going to be played.
                 I am conducting this Inquiry under a statute,
25
```

the Inquiries Act 2005, which gives me the power to make orders regulating the conduct of the Inquiry, including its hearings. In the exercise of that power, I have made a number of orders which affect what you may and may not do in the hearing rooms and after you leave them. Breach of any of the orders is a serious matter and may have serious consequences for you.

If I am satisfied that a person may have breached an order, I have the power to certify the matter to the High Court, which will investigate and deal with it as if it had been a contempt of that court. If satisfied that a breach has occurred and merits the imposition of a penalty, the High Court may impose a severe sanction on the person in breach, including a fine, imprisonment for up to two years and sequestration of their assets.

Evidence is going to be given live over screens in the hearing rooms. It is strictly prohibited to photograph or record what is shown on the screens, or to record what is said by a witness or anyone else in the hearing rooms. You may bring your mobile telephone into the hearing rooms, but you may not use it for any of those purposes. You may use it silently for any other purpose. In particular, you may transmit your account of what you have seen and heard in a hearing

L	room to any other person, but only once at least
2	ten minutes have elapsed since the event which you are
3	describing took place.

This restriction has a purpose. In the course of the Inquiry, I have made orders prohibiting the public disclosure of information, for example about the identity of a person, for a variety of reasons.

These orders must be upheld. It is inevitable that, whether by accident or design, information which I have ordered should not be publicly disclosed will sometimes be disclosed in a hearing.

If and when that happens, I will immediately suspend the hearing and make an order prohibiting further disclosure of the information outside the hearing rooms. The consequence will be that no further disclosure of that information may be made by mobile telephone or other portable electronic device from within the hearing room, or by any means outside it.

I am sorry if you find this message alarming. It is not intended to be. Its purpose is simply to ensure that everyone knows the rules which must apply if I am to hear the evidence which I need to enable me to get to the truth about undercover policing.

You, as members of the public, are entitled to hear the same public evidence as I will hear and to reach

1	your own conclusions about it. The Inquiry team will do
2	their best to ensure that you can.
3	If you have any doubt about the terms of this
4	message or what you may or may not do, you should not
5	hesitate to ask one of them and with my help if
6	necessary they will provide you with the answer.
7	Good afternoon.
8	Ms Hummerstone, are there any questions which may be
9	asked of Professor Rosenhead now?
10	MS HUMMERSTONE: I have been told that there are no
11	questions from the parties to ask Professor Rosenhead.
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
13	Professor Rosenhead, two things, if I may.
14	First, I don't think you were asked formally to
15	confirm that the witness statement that you made on
16	21 February 2020 was true to the best of your knowledge
17	and belief?
18	A. It was it is.
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
20	I know that you are concerned, as am I, about
21	the conviction that you, sustained all those years ago,
22	and would, if I am satisfied that there may have been
23	a miscarriage of justice, want me to refer it to a panel
24	which is to consider these things. May I take it that
25	you would like me to do that promptly, rather than right

- 1 at the end of the Inquiry?
- 2 A. That would be good, thank you.
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: I will do my best to do that.
- 4 A. Shall I disconnect from this now?
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. I was going to say, if your grasp of
- 6 technology is as bad as mine was when I started on this
- 7 exercise, then I hope you don't have too much
- 8 difficulty, but thank you very much.
- 9 A. Perhaps they will do it for me. And thank you.
- 10 (The witness withdrew)
- 11 MS CHRISTABEL GURNEY (called)
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Christabel Gurney, can you hear me?
- 13 A. Yes, I can. Can you hear me?
- 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Indeed. And you are not muted. I understand
- 15 your technical competence is greater than that of
- 16 Professor Rosenhead or myself.
- 17 A. I doubt it, but on this occasion it's the excellent
- 18 technical staff who have coached me.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Splendid.
- 20 Then Ms Hummerstone will have some questions for
- 21 you.
- Ms Hummerstone.
- 23 I'm so sorry. First of all, you must say whether
- 24 you wish to be sworn or affirmed.
- 25 A. Affirm.

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Affirm, then may the words be spoken to you, 2 please. 3 (Witness affirmed) 4 Thank you. 5 Ms Hummerstone. Questions by MS HUMMERSTONE 6 7 MS HUMMERSTONE: Thank you, Sir. You were active in the Anti-Apartheid Movement 8 between 1969 and 1994. You were a member of the AAM 9 10 executive committee and editor of the AAM monthly newspaper; is that right? 11 12 Yes. For part of the time the editor of the paper. Α. 13 Q. I'm grateful. And you received an OBE for your political service, 14 15 in particular in the field of human rights in connection with your work with the AAM; is that right? 16 17 Yes. Α. 18 You have provided a statement to the Inquiry setting out Q. 19 not only your response to the questions posed to you by 20 the Inquiry based on the documents which you name, but 21 also the political context both in the UK and southern 22 Africa in which you were politically active with anti-apartheid campaigning groups in the 1970s; is that 23 24 right?

25

Α.

Yes.

- Q. Can you -- have you had an opportunity to review that
- 2 statement prior to giving evidence today?
- 3 A. Yes, I have.
- 4 Q. And can you confirm that it's true to the best of your
- 5 knowledge and belief?
- 6 A. Yes, I can.
- 7 Q. Just so that you understand, the Inquiry has noted your
- 8 concerns about wider investigations to be made.
- 9 The Inquiry has no remit to investigate wider
- 10 Special Branch activities or police activities, but is
- 11 simply looking at the activities of
- the Special Demonstration Squad in its various
- incarnations. I just want to make that clear before
- I ask you any further questions.
- You appear in reports that have been attributed to
- 16 HN135, Mike Ferguson, HN346, Jill Mosdell, HN339,
- "Stewart Goodman", HN329, "Douglas Edwards", and HN298,
- "Mike Scott".
- 19 I'm going to ask you first, please, about your
- 20 activities with the Anti-Apartheid Movement.
- 21 You set out in your witness statement the origin of
- the AAM as the boycott movement in 1959, and that its
- 23 purpose was to campaign against apartheid in
- 24 South Africa, more broadly white-minority regimes in
- 25 southern Africa; is that right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You have said in your witness statement that to be
- a member of the AAM, you paid a subscription, you filled
- in a membership application form, and you were then in
- 5 possession of a membership card; is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. But that anybody could become a member of
- 8 the organisation?
- 9 A. Yes. The Anti-Apartheid Movement wanted to recruit as
- 10 many members as it can. It was totally above ground and
- 11 open.
- 12 Q. I understand.
- 13 You have set out in your witness statement that
- 14 the group used conventional campaigning tactics which
- were lawful and open, and you give us examples:
- the presentation of petitions to Downing Street and to
- 17 Parliament, public meetings, pickets, demonstrations,
- 18 vigils, letter-writing campaigns and the putting on of
- 19 cultural events to popularise your cause; is that right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you also organise mass demonstrations as part of
- 22 the AAM? Was that part of the -- (overspeaking) --
- 23 A. Yes. I don't know if I should say at this point, but
- the whole point of the Anti-Apartheid Movement was to --
- 25 well, the Anti-Apartheid Movement never thought that it

1	could effect change in southern Africa and South Africa
2	which it was primarily concerned with, on its own. It
3	was very much supporting people inside South Africa who
4	were struggling against the system. But to do that, it
5	realised that Britain in many ways, through trade and
6	investments and through diplomacy, supported
7	the apartheid regime, and the Anti-Apartheid Movement
8	saw its role as trying to stop that support.

So the whole point was to help the people inside the country by preventing assistance to the apartheid government coming from our country, because we thought that, you know, our business was the policies of our government. And to do that, it wanted to create as wide a movement as it could, to effect that change.

Q. Thank you.

Can you give -- when you refer to "mass demonstrations" in your witness statement, can you give an idea of the sort of numbers involved in a sort of typical AAM demonstration?

A. It changed very much over the years. At the very beginning, counter-intuitively, after Sharpeville, the massacre at Sharpeville, there was a very large demonstration, where I think -- well, comparatively large. And I think -- I think we said 20,000 -- well, all these estimates are rather problematical. And then

- in the 70s support fell off a bit, because nothing very
- 2 much was happening inside South Africa. Then in
- 3 the 80s, which was the heydey of the Anti-Apartheid
- 4 Movement, there were a quarter of a million people at
- 5 Clapham Common at a rock festival, in effect. And then
- 6 many more in 1988 at the big demonstration about -- to
- 7 mark Mandela's birthday, calling for the release of
- 8 Nelson Mandela, where I think there were a million
- 9 people in Hyde Park.
- 10 So it varied enormously over the years.
- 11 Q. And the demonstrations that were organised, were they
- organised in cooperation with the police? Or how
- 13 were they -- how was the sort of security arrangement
- managed?
- 15 A. Yes, I was never -- I was never involved on that side of
- it, but I do know, yes, they were involved in
- 17 collaboration with the police.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 A. The routes were always agreed with the police, yes.
- Q. Thank you.
- I just want to look briefly, please, at document
- $\{MPS/742860\}.$
- 23 Sir, for the hard copy bundle, that's behind tab 10.
- 24 This is in fact an SDS report; it's a Special Branch
- 25 report.

1	It is a report about a demonstration that took
2	place, organised by the AAM, on 25 October in 1970. And
3	paragraph 2 sets out that there was a it was:
4	" preceded by a small march, organised by
5	the Streatham Labour and Liberal Parties"
6	Of some 20 people, that walked up Brixton Hill and
7	over the bridge to the Victoria Embankment, accompanied
8	by uniformed police throughout, with no incidents taking
9	place.
LO	But can we move on, please, to paragraph 3, which
L1	concerns the main demonstration:
L2	"The main demonstration commenced as expected with
L3	a march which assembled on the Victoria Embankment
L4	outside Charing Cross Tube Station. By the time
L5	the march moved off, at about 2.40 pm, some 3,500 to
L6	4,000 persons were involved, mainly students, including
L7	contingents from many of the universities in this
L8	country, and other organisations representing the broad
L9	spectrum of political bodies sympathetic to
20	the Anti-Apartheid Movement."
21	And we'll see in a moment that broad spectrum. But
22	is it right to say this was a politically democratic
23	group, there was no particular political sort of
24	political I'll put this in a different way.
) 5	This wasn't party political wasn't of the left or

1 the right, this was a	kind of broad coalition of
-------------------------	----------------------------

2 different political groups that may have been involved

in anti-apartheid -- the Anti-Apartheid Movement; is

4 that right?

A. Yes, that is right. I mean, from the very beginning the Anti-Apartheid Movement is -- one of its early manifestos said it wanted to be a party -- a movement of people who belonged to all political parties and none;

and the "none" component was very important.

Q. Yes.

Paragraph 4 says that the meeting was a meeting after the march had come to its end, but:

"... commenced at 3.30 and ended at 4.50 by which time some 8,000 persons were present in and around Trafalgar Square. A summary of the speeches made is included later in this report. In general the crowd was peaceful and no incidents occurred, at this stage, connected with the Anti-Apartheid demonstration. There were however, small contingents of anarchists, obviously intent on 'trouble' but lacking the necessary leadership and influence to be able to arouse support."

And then it goes on to talk about a small contingent of 60 Maoists who had arrived with the intention of protesting at Canada House over the treatment of the FLQ, a separatist movement in Quebec.

1	And over the page, ${MPS/742860/2}$, it sets out
2	difficulties experienced during the demonstration, with
3	the small groups of demonstrators, who may or may not
4	have been political allied to the AAM.

Is this right to say, that whilst the demonstrations were organised as peaceful marches, on occasion they could attract those -- those with less peaceful intentions? Would that be a fair assessment of any of the mass demonstrations that were organised by the AAM?

- A. It certainly wasn't what the AAM wanted, because the AAM was very -- very keen, obviously, to get press publicity on the issues. And I remember how, you know, disturbing it was that as soon as there was any trouble, you know, and any conflict, the press always seized on that and the issues got lost.
- 16 Q. Thank you.

Later on in this report -- I don't think we need to turn to it -- but those addressing the demonstrators included the Right Reverend David Sheppard, Bishop of Woolwich, and Reg Prentice MP, amongst other people, just to give a flavour of those people supporting the AAM at that stage.

Can we look now, please, at another document {MPS/742861}.

Your tab 12, Sir, in the hard copy bundle.

Τ		This appears to be a report of the AGM of
2		the Anti-Apartheid Movement held at the National Liberal
3		Club. Who would have had access to the AAM's AGM?
4		Would it just be members, or would there be public
5		access?
6	A.	It was just members, and there was no and the press
7		were excluded.
8	Q.	Thank you.
9		The bottom of that first page, please, sets out
10		a list of people who were elected to the major principal
11		offices and the national committee of the AAM. And we
12		can see set out there the president, Right
13		Rev Ambrose Reeves, the vice-president, Sir Dingle Foot
14		QC, Trevor Huddleston and Jeremy Thorpe.
15		And over the page $\{MPS/742861/2\}$, sponsors,
16		Reg Prentice MP and David Steel.
17		The National Committee, still on that page, just
18		a little bit further down a little bit further down,
19		please. Thank you.
20		You can see that elected onto the National Committee
21		were Joan Lestor MP and you and Peter Hain.
22		Does that give a flavour of the type of movement
23		the AAM was? It appears to be supported by MPs and
24		establishment figures from a variety of different
25		political backgrounds.

- 1 A. Yes, I think so. Joan Lestor was a Labour MP,
- 2 David Steel of course was a liberal MP. And actually,
- 3 the Anti-Apartheid Movement was always very -- was keen
- 4 to attract Conservative members. In the early years,
- 5 there was John Grigg, who was Lord Altrincham, who
- 6 wasn't a very central member of the Conservative Party
- 7 but had tried.
- 8 Q. Thank you.
- 9 And then perhaps just to complete that point, can we
- 10 turn to page -- turn to page 16 {MPS/742861/16}, please,
- of this document, if we can scroll forward to page 16,
- which gives a list of organisations affiliated to
- 13 the Anti-Apartheid Movement: the Africa Bureau,
- 14 the Association of Scientific, Technical & Managerial
- 15 Staff, etc -- I'm not going read them all out:
- 16 the Fabian Society, the Student Christian Movement, the
- 17 Union of Liberal Students, United Nations Association
- 18 and organisations of that nature. And the same point
- 19 probably goes for over the page, organisations with
- 20 observer status, which I'm not going to trouble you
- 21 with.
- 22 Can we go back then, please, in this document to
- paragraph 14, which is at page 4, please, bottom of
- 24 page 4. {MPS/742861/4}.
- This reads that somebody whose name has been

- redacted for privacy reasons, who appears to have been from Bournemouth:
- "... was very critical of the left wing image of
 that the AAM was now associated with and he was firm in
 his opinion that it would never obtain the mass support
 from ..."
- 7 And it reads over the page $\{MPS/742861/5\}$:
- 8 "... the British public until this image was changed."
- Was there a difficulty with the AAM achieving a sort of left wing image as time went on?
- I think this -- the AAM didn't want to have a left wing 12 13 image. I think the early 70s were the most difficult 14 time in terms of getting a kind of widespread public support. This was partly because of the issue of 15 the ANC and the struggle in South Africa, because 16 the Anti-Apartheid Movement was known to support 17 the ANC, and -- and actually, the whole business of 18 saying -- of opposing trade and investments -- British 19 trade and investment in South Africa, because of that, 20 the Anti-Apartheid Movement was seen also to be publicly 21 22 criticising government policy and company investments in 23 South Africa.
- Q. In your witness statement, you make a reference to being

 -- the AAM being portrayed by its opponents as being

dominated by communists as a means to discredit it. Is

2 this part of -- is this an articulation of the same sort

of concern, do you think, by this individual from

4 Bournemouth?

8

9

14

19

5 A. The Anti-Apartheid Movement was -- it certainly wasn't

6 dominated by communists, but there were, to their

7 credit, I think, people who were in the Communist Party

who were very committed members of the AAM. But there

were many other people as well, many, you know,

10 Labour Party, Liberal Party, and as I said, people of no

11 political party. And again, I think part of the problem

was the Cold War was very dominating at the time.

13 The ANC was seen to have support from the Soviet Union,

and that kind of reflected on the Anti-Apartheid

Movement. You know, incorrectly, I think, because

16 the Anti-Apartheid Movement supported the ANC, but they

17 did support everyone within South Africa, including

18 the South African Liberal Party of course, who was

opposing apartheid from within the country.

Q. Thank you.

21 Can we move on, please, to paragraph 27 in this

22 document, which is at page 7 {MPS/742861/7}. And it's

at the bottom of the page. And it's a resolution

24 proposed by you to the AGM, concerning the building of

25 the Caborra Bassa dam. And in a little while we'll get

1	on to looking at the documents about
2	the Dambusters Mobilising Committee, which I know you
3	were also involved with.
4	You, in the course of this resolution, the let me
5	just find the right part:
6	" \dots condemns the building of the Caborra Bassa Dam
7	in the Tete province of Mozambique since it will
8	strengthen the Portuguese colonists in their war against
9	the people of Mozambique assist Rhodesian
10	businessmen to erode sanctions, thereby contravening the
11	United Nations sanctions against the illegal Smith
12	regime [and] increase South Africa's influence in
13	the region"
14	And if we go over the page, please, $\{MPS/742861/8\}$.
15	Sort of a third of the way down that first
16	paragraph, with a "(3)" next to it:
17	" therefore instructs the Executive and National
18	Committees (a) to intensify pressure upon [Her Majesty's
19	Government] to ensure British compliance with
20	the UN Security Council sanctions resolutions [and
21	then] to continue full support for the
22	Dambusters Mobilising Committee"
23	Which we'll come on to in a moment.
24	How would such pressure be applied to Her Majesty's
25	Government? How were you anticipating such pressure

- 1 would be brought to bear?
- 2 A. By raising questions in the House of Commons, by
- 3 petitioning, by getting people to write letters to their
- 4 MPs. Yeah.
- 5 Q. Thank you. We can take that document down now, please.
- 6 A. The UN Security Council resolution was in relation to
- 7 Rhodesia, and of course, at the time, Britain was still
- 8 the de jure kind of power in Rhodesia, because there had
- 9 been an illegal declaration of independence in 1965.
- 10 The sanctions are in relation to Rhodesia and not South
- 11 Africa.
- 12 Q. Thank you.
- Can we look now, please, at this document,
- 14 {UCPI/8442}; tab 22 in the hard copy bundle.
- This is a meeting which took place at a private
- address. A meeting of 15 people, all believed to be
- 17 active members of the Anti-Apartheid Movement, is noted
- 18 there. At this meeting, one of the 15 apparently is
- 19 the undercover officer.
- I think you comment in your witness statement that
- 21 this was not in fact an AAM sponsored activity, because
- 22 it involved individual direct action, because the point
- 23 of the discussion is a sit-in at South Africa House, is
- 24 that right? And that wasn't something that the AAM
- 25 particularly engaged in, that sort of activity?

1 A. That's right.

The background to this was that Ahmed Timol was -had been arrested in South Africa, and he had in effect
been -- he had been murdered by the South African
security police as a detainee. He was a good friend of
many of the South African exiles. He had lived in
London previously. And actually, information had been
collected on him and sent back to South Africa from
here.

He was a good friend of some of the South African exiles, who were extremely distressed and very angry about his murder, as you can imagine. And so it was felt that they just had to do something that was kind of, you know, more than just standing outside South Africa House, which is what the Anti-Apartheid Movement normally, if anyone died in detention, would mount a picket to get publicity, and stand on the pavement outside South Africa House and shout slogans. And people were so upset that they wanted to do something more.

And so this meeting -- Herbie Pillay was

a South African exile; he was a friend of mine. And so
this meeting was mostly of South Africans living in
London, with a few people like me, who by that time were
very involved, you know, as friends and -- and as

- 1 colleagues, who were drawn into it. So it was an 2 exceptional occasion.
- Q. If we can just scroll up very slightly, I just want to focus on paragraph 6 for a moment, please.

5 Paragraph 5 says:

- "... it was agreed for security reasons, the persons

 organising the sit-in would approach reliable and

 sympathetic members by word of mouth only for their

 support in this campaign."
- 10 A. We'd rather missed the boat there, hadn't we, because
 11 we'd already been infiltrated.
- 12 Q. And paragraph 6:

20

21

22

23

24

25

"It was generally agreed, having gained access to

South Africa House, the sit-in would be quite militant

and it would be necessary for the security guards to use

force to eject the demonstrators. In view of this,

members who were under suspended sentences or are not in

possession of a British passport were not encouraged to

avail their support."

The use of the word "militant" there, can you cast any light on what that might mean in this context, a militant sit-in at the South Africa House?

A. I think that's very much a gloss of the undercover policeman who was writing his report. It's his interpretation. I can't -- I can -- I remember

1	the sit-in, I don't remember the meeting. But I'm quite
2	sure that the fear was that the security guards would
3	would in fact be, you know, as violent as they could get
4	away with being in removing us, and there was no

5 intention on our part to provoke them.

In fact, I remember sitting -- there's a kind of -a gallery above the entrance lobby in South Africa House
and people threw water on us and then we were carried
out. But all we did was sit there, and all we ever
intended to do was sit there, you know, go as limp as we
could and be carried out.

- Q. The reference there to people who had suspended sentences, was it envisaged that there may be arrests from this activity?
- 15 A. Yes, there may have been. But again, that's

 16 a reflection on the attitude of the -- the police

 17 towards the -- towards us, rather than our, you know,

 18 intentions.
 - Q. We can take that document down now, thank you.

Other documents relating to the AAM concern a picket
of South Africa House and a petition presented to
the British Government to make International Day for the
Elimination of Racial Discrimination, and a mass
demonstration to accompany the presentation of that
petition.

1		Can you recall the sort of numbers that might
2		have might have participated in a mass demonstration
3		to present that petition? Can you recall
4		the presentation of that petition?
5	A.	I can't. We do have a photo of it. I don't know if we
6		submitted that if our barrister submitted that?
7		The photo just shows the MP, who included Joan Lestor, I
8		remember, (inaudible) and some people standing behind.
9		But it was a weekday, and I don't think I wouldn't
10		have thought it was a very large number.
11	Q.	No. There are a number of reports that deal with
12		the presentation of that petition. Can we just turn
13		briefly, please, to this document, {MPS/737006}.
14		This deals with the times of the picket which were
15		going to mark International Day for the Elimination of
16		Racial Discrimination, and the second paragraph reads:
17		"As March 21 is a normal working day it is
18		anticipated support will be diminished, although it is
19		expected that publicity shortly in 'AA News'
20		[Anti-Apartheid News] will raise some 200 supporters.
21		The organisers are anxious that picketing should be
22		peaceful so as to avoid adverse publicity."
23		Is that typical of the sort of messaging that came
24		out from the AAM at this time relating to pickets and
25		demonstrations?

- 1 A. Yes, very much so, for the reason that I already said,
- 2 that if there was any trouble on demonstrations,
- 3 the message, the kind of purpose for which
- 4 the demonstration has been called just got lost, and all
- 5 the reporting was about the -- the problems.
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- 7 Next report I want to very briefly look at, please,
- 8 {UCPI/8448}, please.
- 9 This is a report about a vigil to be held outside
- 10 wherever the -- I think that should be
- "Pearce Commission", rather than "peace commission" was
- 12 sitting.
- Pearce Commission, I think you've mentioned this in
- 14 your statement: it was a commission set up by
- the government to test the acceptability to
- the Rhodesian African majority of the constitutional
- 17 agreement in the British Government with the white
- 18 minority regime; is that right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And it was anticipated that a vigil would be held
- 21 outside. What would -- holding of a vigil: what would
- 22 that actually mean in practice? What would you do
- 23 during a vigil?
- 24 A. It would be a group -- not usually a very large group of
- 25 people, usually holding placards, saying, "listen to

- 1 the Rhodesian majority democracy", "one person one vote
- in Rhodesia".
- 3 Q. Right.
- 4 A. If -- if it was the evening, there might be -- or later,
- 5 there were vigils outside South Africa House when people
- 6 were going to be hanged. If it was dark, there might be
- 7 torches or quite (inaudible) safe (inaudible).
- Q. And did vigils held by the AAM, did they attract any
- 9 violence or public disorder, or anything of that nature?
- 10 A. No, never, ever, because they were relatively small
- 11 numbers of people, you know, and they were supposed to
- 12 be fairly solemn affairs.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 And the next report, please, and one of the last
- I want to refer to about the AAM {MPS/737656}.
- This is a report about a Christmas party at your
- home address held on 9 September 1972 that 40 people
- 18 attended. 75 pence admission, which included a curry
- 19 meal, and you could pay an extra 12 pence for drinks,
- and we can see a number of people set out there who
- 21 attended that Christmas party.
- 22 Did that Christmas party have -- did that have
- a fund-raising aspect to it, or was it simply
- 24 a celebration?
- 25 A. No, it was -- would have been a fundraising party.

- Those amounts seem very small now, but at the time they
 amounted to something.
- I still feel -- I still feel disturbed about this

 and really surprised that it was considered -- that

 the undercover police thought it was worth coming to

 what would have been a very -- you know, a small

 Christmas party in my flat. There was absolutely

 nothing subversive in any way about it.
- 9 Q. I was going ask you that. At the party, would any
 10 future protests have been discussed or anything of that
 11 nature?
- 12 A. No, it would have been a purely -- it was just before
 13 Christmas; it would have been a purely social occasion.
- Q. Thank you.
- 15 You can take that document down now, please.
- 16 Can you recall any serious public disorder at any
 17 demonstrations organised by the AAM?
- 18 A. No, I can't.
- Q. You say in your witness statement that you were not surprised that the AAM was a target of undercover policing. Why were you not surprised by that?
- A. Well, I think it was the spirit of the age. You know,
 it was known that the police were -- were involved in
 most kind of, I'm afraid, attempts to change government
 policy, you know, in other respects, and I was -- I am

- surprised now at the extent of it. I never realised at the time that there were undercover policemen, certainly at the meetings that you have -- that we have seen the documentation about now. I'm very surprised that they attended Anti-Apartheid Movement AGMs, because they were -- although the press were -- were excluded, there was always a press statement afterwards.
- But what we were aware of was that our telephones

 were tapped because, you could -- you know, you could

 hear the clicking on the line, so -- so we always knew

 that the police were interested in what we were about to

 do. But -- but why, you know, I can't imagine.
- Q. I want to move on now, please, to ask you some short questions about the Stop the Seventy Tour.

In your witness statement you say that you were not involved in the central organisation of that group but you took part in the campaign against the rugby or cricket tours as a grassroots anti-apartheid activist; is that right?

20 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. I wonder if we could just have a quick look, please, at document {UCPI/34318}, which is a document, I think, that you supplied to the Inquiry. It's not an SDS document, it's a Special Branch document.
- It's behind tab 4, Sir, of the hard copy bundle, in

1	the	section	that	has	got	Ms	Gurney's	documents	in	it
2	rath	er than	the	Inqui	iry (exhi	ibits.			

This is a reference -- this is a report about
the annual general meeting of
the Anti-Apartheid Movement in December 1969, and on
page 2 of that document, if we can just turn over
the page, please {UCPI/34318/2}, there's a passage about
the Stop the Seventy Tour in the centre of that page, if
we can just have a quick look at that:

"Dealing with the Anti-Apartheid Movement's activities in sport, Mr Paul Hodges spoke of the formation of the 'Stop the Seventy Tour' and said that detailed plans had already been made to harass the Springbok's Rugby Tour that was due to start at Oxford on November 5."

Were you aware of those plans, the detailed plans made to, as it says here, "harass the Springboks rugby tour"? Would you have been aware of those plans?

A. Not -- not at that moment -- not precisely what was planned in Oxford. I am -- I now know that there was a group called the Fireworks -- Fireworks Committee -- Fireworks Day Committee in Oxford, and so they were planning it, on a local level, with Oxford students. But we didn't at the time know, no, what was going on happen.

- Q. Mr Paul Hodges goes on to say that:
- 2 "... he appreciated that the Anti-Apartheid Movement
- 3 could not be linked officially with the protestors
- 4 because of the possibility of its leaders being charged
- 5 with conspiracy to commit a public disorder."
- In the next paragraph he says that:
- 7 "... while he would welcome them serving a prison
- 8 sentence for a sit-down strike, or some other peaceful
- 9 form of protest, he would not expect them to face
- 10 similar penalties for being engaged officially in
- 11 the sort of protest he and his friends were organising.
- But, he emphasised, the officers of the AAM were aware
- of their plans and, later in the debate, in answer to
- 14 a question from the floor, the Chairman ... stated that
- they were aware of the planned activities to stop
- 16 the Rugby Tour, but it was important that there was no
- 17 publicity on the issue from the meeting, involving
- 18 the AAM."

- 19 What was the connection between the AAM and
- 20 the Stop the Seventy Tour? Can you recall?
- 21 A. Yes, I can. The Stop the Seventy Tour, as we heard from
- 22 Jonathan this morning, it's -- well, its main -- main
- 23 activity was to organise non-violent direct action and
- 24 try and get onto the pitch.
- 25 The AAM was very -- very aware that if it engaged in

1 anything like that, it could be done -- be prosecuted 2 for conspiracy. It had many other issues that it wanted to act on. It was, you know, certainly not going to be 3 very eager -- not -- very anxious not to be closed down 4 5 in that way. And also, quite separately, because of its desire to have a really broad base of support, it did 6 7 not want to be identified with non-violent direct action because it was afraid that that would restrict its base 8 because it was afraid it would alienate some of its 9 10 potential supporters.

I mean, at the same time, I think it is true that it could see, as Jonathan, again, said this morning, that the non-violent direct action, you know, would have a positive -- would have -- would make it more difficult for the tour to be completed. So, you know, it was not against it happening, but it just didn't -- it wasn't itself going to organise it.

18 So --

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

- 19 Q. -- (overspeaking) --
- A. -- there were younger people like me in

 the Anti-Apartheid Movement who, as individuals, wanted

 to be involved in the non-violent direct action, which

 indeed I and other young members of

 the Anti-Apartheid Movement were, but not under

the auspices of the Anti-Apartheid Movement.

1		And what the Anti-Apartheid Movement did, which
2		Jonathan referred to this morning but didn't make clear,
3		was that the Anti-Apartheid Movement organised the mass
4		marches outside the grounds. So it had had a big part
5		in the campaign against the tour, but a slightly
6		different area of activity.
7	Q.	And the relationship between the two
8		the Anti-Apartheid Movement and
9		the Stop the Seventy Tour was not a public one
10		necessarily; is that right?
11	Α.	Yes. There were actually tensions, because
12		Stop the Seventy Tour got a lot of the press publicity,
13		but broadly, both sides both groups recognised that
14		they were working towards the same end, which was
15		the cancellation of the tour. So they worked together
16		in that way.
17	Q.	Just turning over the page, please, page 3 of this
18		document. I just want to ask you, as I asked
19		Professor Rosenhead this morning {UCPI/34318/3}
20		I think you may have heard at the top of that page,
21		the writer of this report says:
22		" it was obvious that the following were the core
23		of the coming protest movement."
24		And you mentioned there along with a number of
25		others, including Professor Rosenhead and

- Lord Peter Hain. Do you agree with that description,

 that you were the "core of the coming protest movement"?
- No, again, I think that reflects a sort of 3 Α. 4 misunderstanding, or lack of understanding by 5 the undercover police officer who -- whoever it was who was reporting on the meeting, because in fact, I mean, 6 7 I was not -- Peter Hain obviously was at the core of --8 of the movement, the leader of the movement. I -- I was not at the core of the overall movement in STST, but 9 within the Anti-Apartheid Movement I would have been one 10 of the people who was most keen that 11 the Anti-Apartheid Movement should be playing a very 12 13 active role in the Stop the Seventy Tour, in the overall
- active role in the Stop the Seventy Tour, in the overall
 campaign against the -- these sports tours.

 Q. Thank you. You can take that document down now, please.

 And in fact, you yourself, individually, played

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And in fact, you yourself, individually, played a role in some of the non-violent direct action, as you describe it, leading up to the arrival of the South African cricket team, or not, as it happened?
- A. Yes, I wasn't involved in any of the -- the sort of Special Group activities that were discussed earlier this morning, but I did, certainly on the first occasion, the Oxford -- the scheduled Oxford match had to be moved to Twickenham, and I was certainly inside the ground then trying to get -- trying, ineffectually,

- 1 to get onto the pitch.
- Q. Right, thank you.
- 3 You say in your witness statement you took part in
- 4 discussions with those involved in
- 5 the Stop the Seventy Tour about how to campaign against
- 6 the forthcoming Springboks tour as part of your role on
- 7 the National Committee of the AAM. How frequently were
- 8 those discussions? Did they happen at intervals, or
- 9 just before a demonstration? What -- just help us with
- 10 how often you had those conversations between you.
- 11 A. I can't remember -- I really can't remember exactly.
- 12 The National Committee meetings were held every
- 13 three months, so it didn't happen then. And I --
- 14 although I was, you know, one of the more active
- 15 grassroots members, I -- I was not a staff member of
- the Anti-Apartheid Movement. In fact, there was a staff
- 17 member, Alan Brooks, who, sadly, now is no longer with
- 18 us, who would have been the kind of -- the official
- 19 responsible person on the AAM staff on the sports
- 20 campaign.
- Q. Thank you.
- 22 And during the course of discussions with
- the Stop the Seventy Tour, would their plans be
- 24 disclosed to the AAM and --
- 25 A. No, I would have thought the AAM didn't actually want to

1 know. No, they ... 2 Ο. Thank you. Can I now look, please, at document {UCPI/8635}, 3 4 please. 5 Sorry, excuse me. I'm just going to get my hard copy for this, because it's a lot easier. 6 7 This is a meeting of the National Committee of 8 the Stop the Seventy Tour. This is not included in your bundle because you weren't present at it, but I just 9 10 want to ask you about one detail that appears in it. This is a meeting that took place once the tour had been 11 12 cancelled, when the Stop the Seventy Tour had achieved 13 its aim and were looking for another focus for their 14 activism. 15 At the bottom of the page, the -- scroll down, please, to (iii) at the bottom of the page. Thank you 16 17 very much: 18 "The general consensus of opinion was that although the STST had served its purpose, the organisation which 19 had been set up should not be disbanded but should 20 21 remain as a latent power in the event of any other 'apartheid team' endeavouring to enter 22 Great Britain. It was felt also that the numbers of 23 24 supporters who had been mobilised so successfully over 25 the past months should not be left to dissipate their

- 1 enthusiasm, and it was essential that the STST found in
- 2 our 'cause' as soon as possible. Discussions would take
- 3 place with group leaders to seek their ideas of
- 4 possible 'targets' but the one which received
- 5 the most ..."
- And over the page {UCPI/8635/2}:
- 7 "... general support was the Caborra Bassa Dam
- 8 project. It would therefore seem likely that those who
- 9 remain supporters of STST now that the Tour has been
- 10 cancelled will have their thoughts directed towards this
- 11 scheme."
- 12 You were a member of
- 13 the Dambusters Mobilising Committee, which was
- 14 campaigning against the building of
- 15 the Caborra Bassa Dam. Was there an influx of STST
- 16 support for campaigning groups against
- 17 the Caborra Bassa Dam project that you can recall at
- 18 this time?
- 19 A. No, there wasn't. Not that I can remember, at all.
- Q. Was there any link between
- 21 the Dambusters Mobilising Committee and the STST that
- 22 you can recall?
- A. No, there wasn't.
- Q. No, okay. Thank you very much.
- 25 I'm going to move on then now, please, to asking you

- 1 a few questions about
- 2 the Dambusters Mobilising Committee, and I'm going to be
- 3 tripping over my words endlessly if I continue to call
- 4 it that, so I'm going could call it "the DMC", because
- 5 it just makes it a little easier.
- 6 You were, is this right, the Secretary of the DMC
- between 1970 and 1972, in fact throughout its existence;
- 8 is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you became involved through your membership of
- 11 the AAM.
- 12 It was a movement -- a campaigning group set up by
- the ANC to provide a focus for protest about
- 14 the building of the Caborra Bassa Dam, which -- and you
- 15 have explained the difficulties with the building of
- 16 that dam in your witness statement -- a joint project
- 17 between Mozambique, South Africa and Rhodesia,
- Mozambique, of course, then being under Portuguese
- 19 colonial rule, and, as we've seen, a project which both
- 20 undermined UN sanctions against Rhodesia and bolstered
- 21 apartheid in South Africa.
- 22 And this organisation, is this right, campaigned to
- 23 ensure that British companies did not get involved in
- that project; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Was it affiliated to any particular political party,
- 2 the DMC?
- A. No, it wasn't. It was a liaison body, a sort of
- 4 coordinating committee, of different various
- organisations, none of which were political parties,
- 6 except maybe Young Liberals may have been affiliated.
- 7 The UN Students Association, the Committee for Freedom
- 8 in Mozambique, Angola and Guiné, the UN(?) Union Youth,
- 9 plus the NUS, I mean the students, and I can't
- 10 remember -- yeah, I can't remember (inaudible). Oh, and
- 11 Portugal. There were Portuguese students who were very
- 12 active, because the Salazar -- there was still
- 13 a fascist, Salazar, and Caetano, at the time, was
- the dictator in Portugal. So there was quite a large
- 15 body of Portuguese democratic activists exiled in
- 16 London.
- 17 Q. And also a group called The Haslemere Group. That's
- 18 referenced quite often in the documents. That was
- 19 a group campaigning to highlight the causes of poverty,
- is that right -- international poverty?
- A. (Inaudible).
- 22 Q. Thank you very much.
- 23 You're referred to in a number of the reports,
- 24 perhaps unsurprisingly given your position in the group.
- Was it a sort of bi-weekly meeting -- or, sorry,

- 1 bi-monthly meeting that you had once every two weeks?
- 2 A. I can't remember, but that sounds about right. It was
- quite intensive, you know, for the brief period,
- 4 the couple of years, or the very long period it existed.
- 5 Q. The reports seem to show relatively small numbers at
- 6 the meetings. I think in your witness statement you say
- 7 that only the representatives of the member organisation
- 8 attended. Does that indicate that that didn't represent
- 9 the total numbers of supporters, that was simply
- 10 the representative bodies who sent along, as it were,
- a delegate to those meetings?
- 12 A. That's the person who represented, say, the NUS would go
- 13 back to their organisation and say, you know, "This is
- 14 the plan; can we contribute to this activity"
- -- (overspeaking) --
- Can I add something here, because I did find some
- 17 minutes, as opposed to an undercover police report, in
- one meeting and saw that "Douglas Edwards", who is one
- 19 of the -- I don't recall "Douglas Edwards", but he is
- 20 recorded as sending reports of the meetings, and he was
- 21 down as representing an organisation called
- the Action Committee Against NATO.
- 23 Q. Yes.
- 24 A. I have no recollection of that or, you know, knowledge
- of that organisation. But the reason -- it probably was

- a bona fide organisation, because Portugal was a member
- of NATO, and so one of the concerns of the people who
- 3 were -- well, of the Dambusters Committee was that
- 4 Portugal was using NATO equipment in its colonial -- in
- 5 its war on Mozambique.
- 6 Q. Yes, and these meetings were infiltrated by, as you say,
- 7 "Douglas Edwards", HN326, who attended -- whose name
- 8 appears on the bottom of all these reports about
- 9 the Dambusters.
- 10 A. I don't know how he managed to be there, because he did
- 11 represent -- he was a representative of a bona fide
- 12 organisation.
- Q. Was there concern amongst
- 14 the Dambusters Mobilising Committee that the movement,
- or the campaigning group would be subject to
- infiltration or surveillance?
- 17 A. No. I mean, I think we were -- looking back, we were
- 18 naive. I don't think it ever occurred to me as
- 19 the Secretary that anyone who actually attended
- the meetings, you know, would be an
- 21 undercover police officer.
- 22 Q. Again, can you just highlight for us the sort of
- 23 campaigning, the sort of ways in which your campaigning
- 24 will manifest? What did you do as a group? How did you
- 25 publicise your cause?

Well, the main thing I remember doing -- the whole --Α. the purpose of the committee was to -- was to campaign, and try and do what we could to stop British companies being (inaudible), and the rumour was there were plans, I think, for -- the Swedish -- the main component of the consortium putting the dam together originally was a Swedish company called ASEA, and ASEA had to pull out because of the strength of public opinion in Sweden and probably because of the Swedish government's position. And then the rumour was that General -- GEC here would step in and take over, so we were very concerned to make sure that didn't happen.

But as I remember it, the most -- what we mostly did, we endlessly talked about doing research on companies. There was another small company called United Transport that was based in Gloucestershire that was -- had a -- rumoured to be -- I think it did have a contract to move equipment around for the dam and so we were looking for ways to bring pressure on these companies.

All I can remember is -- that we did is research, and then we bought shares in the companies, you know, individual shares. And certainly I didn't attend the United Transport AGM, but I'm sure -- I remember that someone did. It was in Lydney in Gloucestershire.

```
1
             And the -- as I remember very clearly, the most
 2
             successful activity that we mounted was to go along to
             Barclays Bank's AGM, because Barclays' DCO was -- had a,
 3
             quite minor actually, role in supplying finance --
 4
 5
             guaranteeing finance to some other company for the dam,
             and we had a very successful attendance -- successful in
 6
             the sense that we got a lot of press coverage, including
 7
 8
             in the Financial Times. We went along to
             Barclays Bank's AGM in 1971 and we asked questions, and
 9
10
             the Chair -- the undercover police hadn't done their
11
             work then, because they clearly hadn't warned Barclays
12
             that we were coming. We asked lots of questions, and
13
             the Chair, you know, couldn't cope with the meeting. It
14
             was perfectly good humoured and certainly not violent,
             but we did, you know, raise a lot of questions and
15
             make --
16
             -- (overspeaking) --
17
         Q.
             -- (inaudible).
18
         Α.
             -- there were a number of reports that deal with
19
         Q.
             the planning of the buying of the shares, and matters of
20
21
             that nature, that we'll come to very briefly.
22
                 Can I then take you to just a few of these reports,
23
             just to highlight some of the activities that you were
24
             undertaking.
```

First one, please {UCPI/8111}.

```
2
                 This appears to be in fact the discussion of some
             minutes of a meeting that have been received, minutes of
 3
             a meeting of the DMC when a demonstration is planned:
 4
                 "... [a] suggestion for a 'major event' ..."
 5
                 In the second paragraph:
 6
 7
                 "... at Easter was not accepted ..."
                 And it was going to be moved to Whitsun as "a more
 8
             acceptable date".
 9
10
                 A "major event", what sort of form would a major
             event take in the -- in this -- for this sort of group?
11
             What would a major event look like?
12
13
             I can't -- I really can't remember what that referred
         Α.
14
             to, because we didn't -- the Dambusters Committee never
             organised large scale demonstrations in the sense of,
15
             you know, trying to convene a lot of people in one
16
17
             place.
18
                 What we did do is kind of educational work, and
             the next paragraph refers to a "teach-in", which I think
19
             would be much more the kind of major event we would have
20
             been looking at. There was a lot of student support,
21
22
             and certainly student support for the Barclays campaign,
23
             and students were -- you know, teaching seemed
24
             appropriate for --
         Q. -- (overspeaking) -- was a teaching organisation --
25
```

That's behind tab 1, Sir, of your hard copy bundle.

- 1 A. -- (inaudible) -- students. So I don't know what that
- 2 referred to.
- Q. Okay, thank you.
- 4 And reference there also with the teaching organised
- 5 by the Haslemere Group is that a number of people have
- 6 agreed to buy the Barclays shares --
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. -- in order that they could attend the bank's AGM, and
- 9 that's the protest you were just talking of where you
- 10 all asked -- stood up and asked a question during
- 11 the course of the AGM.
- 12 A. That was in the April of that year -- no, April of
- 13 the next year. That year, we didn't manage to
- 14 distribute the shares. You know, the legal hurdles you
- had to jump over to actually transfer the shares didn't
- 16 sort of -- that didn't materialise.
- 17 Q. Thank you.
- And a number of the reports refer to the coordinated
- 19 sit-ins of branches of Barclays and other British firms
- 20 involved in the Caborra Bassa Dam building. Is that
- 21 the sort of activity that the DMC would engage in?
- 22 A. I think it was the sort of activity we discussed, but
- I don't recall because I don't remember that ever
- happening. I think we were a group of, you know,
- 25 aspiring and energetic young people who had sometimes

```
1
             planned things that we couldn't carry out. It was -- we
 2
             had quite a small base.
            Well, perhaps this report will illustrate that.
 3
         Ο.
 4
                 Can you take that one down please and replace it
             with {UCPI/8114}, please.
 5
                 Behind tab 13 in the hard copy bundle, Sir.
 6
 7
                 A meeting which took place on 12 November 1970, 13
 8
             people present. A discussion of the targets of
             a proposed sit-in to take place on 26 November.
 9
10
             Barclays Bank; ICI, Millbank; GKN House on Kingsway; and
11
             United Transport in Berkeley Square.
12
                 And the report goes on to say -- if you just scroll
13
             down slightly, please:
                 "Buildings (b) and (c) ..."
14
15
                 That is ICI and United Transport at Berkeley Square
             House -- no, sorry, GKN -- ICI and GKN:
16
17
                 "... were not allotted to any particular group and
18
             this was left in abeyance. It was felt that lunchtime
             was the most opportune time, since this ensured the best
19
             support. However, it was acknowledged that support
20
21
             would be small and not entirely enthusiastic."
                 Does that accord with your memory of some of
22
             the activities of the DMC and their approach to sit-ins
23
```

I can't -- I don't -- I can't remember that. But on

24

in general?

Т		the other hand, I also can't remember any of those
2		sit-ins sit-ins taking place. I think if I had been
3		involved if I, personally, had been involved in any
4		of them I would have, I think, if they had taken
5		place I probably would have remembered something
6		as something like a sit-in.
7	Q.	Okay, thank you.
8		One final report about the DMC before we move on to
9		talk about the Star & Garter incident. {UCPI/8116},
10		please.
11		This a meeting of the DMC at a public house this
12		time rather than at the AAM premises at
13		Charlotte Street, attended by nine people, a meeting
14		apparently called to discuss an article that had
15		appeared in the Daily Telegraph which had concerned
16		the group:
17		"The article seemed to have frightened a few members
18		of the Committee, and they decided that in future they
19		should check the wording of their mailing more
20		thoroughly. Christabel Gurney said she had helped to
21		draft the leaflet in question with several other members
22		of the Committee. It had apparently found the way into
23		the hands of the York Police, 'presumably through an MP
24		having been given a copy'"

And the decision was made to issue a press release

1 in the Morning Star: 2 "'The Dambusters Mobilising Committee states that it knows nothing of Mr Ian Colvin's article in 3 4 the Daily Telegraph ... and that none of what was said 5 in that article has ever been approved by the Committee for circulation in their official mailing list'." 6 7 Then someone whose name has been redacted for 8 privacy purposes: "... said that whilst they didn't wish to denounce 9 10 the ideas put forward in the leaflet, they obviously couldn't endorse them because of the legal dangers." 11 12 Apparently a leaflet that you assisted in producing. 13 Can you recall what it was that worried the other 14 members of the committee that was contained in that 15 leaflet? I'm afraid I can't. It's intriguing. I imagine it was 16 17 something that, you know, was a bit too -- stepped over 18 the mark that people drew back from, but I really can't 19 remember. And the reference to "legal dangers" there, does that 20 Q. 21 encourage you in that assumption? 22 It does, yes. Α. 23 Q. Thank you. 24 Right, I'm now going to -- you can take that down,

25

please, now.

```
1
                 I'm now going to move on to asking you some
 2
             questions about the Star & Garter.
                 I'm not going to be very long, but, Sir, I notice
 3
 4
             that we -- I notice the time and it might be that
             the shorthand writer would like a brief break since
 5
             we've come to a total change of topic. I'm not going to
 6
             take very long with Ms Gurney on this topic.
 7
         THE CHAIRMAN: I think they had quite a long morning this
 8
 9
             morning and, in fairness to them, we must give them
10
             a break.
                 Ms Gurney, I have to break for the shorthand writers
11
12
             to have a brief rest. Can you be back in quarter of an
13
             hour?
14
         Α.
             Yes.
15
         THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
         MR FERNANDES: Good afternoon, everyone. We will now take
16
17
             a break. May I remind those in the virtual hearing room
18
             to remember to join your break-out rooms, please.
19
                 The time is now 3.25 pm, so we shall reconvene at
20
             3.40 pm. Thank you.
21
         (3.26 pm)
22
                                (A short break)
         (3.40 pm)
23
24
         MR FERNANDES: Good afternoon, everyone, and welcome back.
             I will now hand the Chairman to continue proceedings.
25
```

1 Chairman. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 3 Ms Hummerstone. 4 MS HUMMERSTONE: Thank you, Sir. 5 Ms Gurney, can you hear me? Yeah. 6 Α. 7 Q. Can you hear me? Yes, I can. 8 Α. Thank you very much. 9 Ο. 10 I'm going to ask you just a few questions, please, about your activity and the arrest outside 11 12 the Star & Garter Hotel and followed by the court 13 proceedings. We note your concern about the paucity of 14 15 the documents in relation to that matter. I think you were particularly concerned that your documents 16 contained no inclusion of any material that indicated 17 18 the degree to which prosecutors and the court were made 19 aware of the presence of an undercover officer during 20 the course of those proceedings. You have been sent all 21 the material that we are in possession of, okay? I just 22 wanted to make that clear. You were involved in a protest outside 23 24 the Star & Garter Hotel, which aim was to disrupt

the departure of the British Lions team coach; is that

- 1 right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. The plan appears to have taken shape at the house of
- 4 Ernest Rodker. Is it right to say that you have got
- 5 really no recollection of the planning of this event?
- 6 A. No, I don't.
- 7 Q. Do you have any recollection of the part that you played
- 8 during that demonstration?
- 9 A. No, I don't. I just have a recollection of being in
- 10 court. For many years, I knew I had been -- gone to
- 11 court and I knew I'd -- I remembered Ben Birnberg being
- involved and I knew I'd been fined, but I couldn't
- actually -- for very many years, I had no recollection.
- 14 And I knew it was something to do with the sports
- campaign, and then subsequently, in 2013, with my
- historian's hat on, I did an oral history interview with
- 17 Ernest Rodker, who had press cuttings, and, you know,
- I was listed in those charged and so then I realised
- 19 what it was all about, but I still couldn't remember it.
- Q. So, does it follow that it would be really of no value
- 21 whatsoever for me to ask you any questions really about
- 22 your activities during the course of that demonstration?
- 23 A. No, I'm afraid not, except I could explain one little
- 24 point that came up this morning about paying the fines.
- 25 There's a document that says that Peace News --

- 1 Q. Yes, thank you. I was going to ask you about that.
- 2 That would be helpful.
- 3 Do you want to do that now, because then we'll get
- 4 that out of the way and I can just ask my few remaining
- 5 very small number of questions?
- 6 A. I'm sure the point there was, at the time, I was
- 7 the editor of Anti-Apartheid News, and so what was in --
- 8 what the -- what I being asked to do was to put
- 9 something -- there would be something in Peace News
- 10 which would appeal to their readers to contribute to
- a fund, and I was being asked to put a similar notice in
- 12 Anti-Apartheid News to appeal to the readers of AA News,
- 13 and --
- 14 Q. And can you recall whether that happened?
- 15 A. No, I can't.
- 16 Q. No, okay.
- 17 A. I've got to check in a copy of Anti-Apartheid News, but
- I haven't done that.
- 19 Q. Thank you.
- 20 You attended court on 14 May, it appears you pleaded
- 21 not guilty, and thereafter you attended court on
- 22 14 June. It seems that you had -- can you recall
- whether you had a trial?
- 24 A. No, I can't.
- 25 Q. No. So you can't recall whether you gave evidence or

- 1 not?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. No.
- 4 A. I just recall that I was fined.
- Q. And you were, thereafter, convicted of obstructing the highway and obstructing the police; a fine of £2 and
- 7 a conditional discharge for 12 months.
- 8 Just this then. Did you have any knowledge at
- 9 the time that in your midst was an
- 10 undercover police officer who both participated in
- 11 the demonstration and thereafter in the court
- 12 proceedings? Was that fact ever disclosed to you?
- 13 A. No, it wasn't. I am very surprised, you know, and
- 14 concerned.
- Q. You say in your witness statement that you were not
- surprised that the police tried to infiltrate this small
- 17 group involved in protests of this nature, even though
- 18 you thought it was wrong for them to do so. Why were
- 19 you not surprised that the police would have had some
- interest in that sort of group?
- 21 A. I suppose because we -- we did assume, you know, back in
- 22 the day, that the police were pretty hostile to what we
- 23 were trying to do. But although I say that in my
- 24 statement, I am surprised they succeeded in infiltrating
- 25 some.

- 1 MS HUMMERSTONE: Thank you. I've got no further questions
- 2 for.
- 3 Sir, those are my questions for Ms Gurney.
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 5 Questions by THE CHAIRMAN
- 6 Ms Gurney, I wonder if I could possibly press you
- 7 a little -- press your memory a little on what took
- 8 place in the Magistrates' Court. I know your
- 9 recollection of it is imperfect, but if I ask you number
- of specific questions, you may or may not be able to
- 11 help me. Can I try?
- 12 A. Of course.
- 13 THE CHAIRMAN: The record shows that you -- that after
- 14 a trial on 14 June 1972, you and a number of others were
- 15 convicted and fined and conditionally discharged.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: I wonder if the technicians could arrange so
- that I can see Ms Gurney, please. I hope she can see
- 19 me. I can only see a very small shot of her and I would
- 20 like, if I may, to be able to see her fully.
- 21 I'll carry on while they're trying to arrange that.
- 22 A. I can see you.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Good. Ah, and I can now see you. Excellent.
- 24 Splendid.
- 25 Do you remember whether you were represented by

- 1 Benedict Birnberg or by someone from his firm?
- 2 A. I'm sure -- by -- I can't remember whether it was
- 3 someone from his firm or by -- or by him.
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: But you were legally represented?
- 5 A. Yes, I'm sure. That's what -- for some reason I do
- 6 remember that we went to Ben Birnberg and ...
- 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 8 Do you know whether you paid for the legal
- 9 representation?
- 10 A. I very much -- I -- I'm not sure, but I very much doubt
- it. I don't -- I don't remember any -- I think I would
- 12 remember. I -- I had no money at the time. I think if
- 13 I had been, you know, required to pay something --
- I certainly remember I was fined -- I probably would
- 15 remember. That's (inaudible).
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: To this question you may not be able to give
- 17 an answer from your recollection. Do you remember
- 18 whether or not you, or any of you, applied for legal
- 19 aid, or whether this was done by Benedict Birnberg on
- what we now call a "pro bono basis"?
- 21 A. I don't know. I mean, if I'd been required to fill in
- 22 extensive forms, I probably would remember. But if
- 23 someone had done it for us, then I wouldn't. I don't
- know.
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: I think, even in those days, if you had

- applied for legal aid, you would have had to have filled
- in a form yourself, personally.
- 3 A. I really am not sure.
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
- 5 You don't remember yourself giving evidence; am
- 6 I right about that?
- 7 A. No. And again, I think if I had to give evidence -- if
- I had given evidence -- because I remember being in
- 9 court -- you know, I probably would have remembered it.
- 10 It would have been more taxing and more of an effort.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 12 As I understand it, the defence case was that
- 13 the obstruction, such as it was, took place in
- 14 the car park and therefore could not amount to
- the offence of obstructing the highway.
- 16 A. Mm.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Does that jog a memory with you?
- 18 A. That -- I have no memory of that, I'm afraid.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: But there was some line of defence being
- 20 advanced, you weren't simply sitting there passively
- 21 seeing what the police said and then saying nothing and
- then the magistrate deciding?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: No, all right.
- 25 Do you remember whether anybody on your side gave

- 1 evidence?
- 2 A. No, I don't.
- 3 THE CHAIRMAN: You mean you simply don't remember?
- 4 A. No. I mean, the fact that I can -- I remember being in
- 5 court and remember so little about it I think suggests
- 6 that it was over very quickly, but that's as far as
- 7 I would be able to go.
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
- 9 And finally on this topic, I have been told by both
- 10 Ernest Rodker's son and by Professor Rosenhead that they
- 11 would like this question of whether there has been
- a miscarriage of justice to be referred to the panel
- 13 quickly rather than at the end of the Inquiry. Am
- I right in assuming that's your wish as well?
- 15 A. Yes, yes.
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 17 A. I do have a few -- a couple of other points I would like
- 18 to make.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 20 A. Is that in order?
- I mean, the first one is that I do feel that it was
- 22 wholly inappropriate for the undercover policeman,
- 23 especially -- police officers to be spying, especially
- on members -- on meetings of
- 25 the Anti-Apartheid Movement, you know, which did act --

which was -- its only rationale was to call for

democracy in South Africa and it was not in any way

a subversive organisation. So I think it was a misuse

of time and public funding, and inappropriate.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the other point is that I do regret that there is no -- there has been no investigation into the activities of the network of -- of undercover operations that was coming out of the South African embassy, because they were so much more serious than anything that we, or any of the organisations I was involved in did. For example, there were burglaries of the Anti-Apartheid office and nobody did very much about them. And then, much more seriously, in 1982 there was a very serious bomb attack on the ANC office in Central London, in Penton Street, where the Anti-Terrorist Squad of course sprang into action after the event. The back of the building was completely demolished. But the police apparently had no knowledge that that was going to happen because they hadn't been investigating that -- the network. And then later there was a serious arson attack, in 1985, on the Anti-Apartheid Movement office, and it seems to me that it would have been much more appropriate for undercover police officers to be investigating what the South Africans and their sort of semi-criminal

1		network here were up to than investigating
2		the Anti-Apartheid Movement.
3		And then the last point is one that I think has
4		already been made, that I do hope that this Inquiry
5		one of the results of this Inquiry will be that history
6		does not repeat itself and that people who are
7		justifiably protesting now about various aspects of
8		government policy I mean, well, maybe who think
9		they're doing it justifiably and are behaving kind of
10		acting within the law, aren't subject to the same
11		obstructions and undercover surveillance that we were,
12		and I'm afraid that current legislation, the courts
13		the Police Crime and Courts Bill that's going through
14		and the Covert Human Intelligence Bill that's going
15		through will make that more likely.
16		So I do hope that this Inquiry has the positive
17		outcome that it makes things more equitable and just in
18		the future. Thank you.
19	THE	CHAIRMAN: Your last point is some way down the road,
20		I'm afraid, and by the time I get to consider

As far as your first point goes, why were groups like the Anti-Apartheid Movement infiltrated, unhappily, the female undercover officer, Jill Mosdell, who produced the reports that we have, died and so we're not

recommendations, I hope to be assisted by a panel.

2 As far as why the undercover police did not 3 infiltrate South African units, all I can say is I know 4 they didn't and I would have been surprised if they had thought that was within their remit. But there is 5 absolutely no reason to believe that any South African 6 7 entity was infiltrated by an undercover officer. Would you mind waiting for 15 minutes, because 8 9 questions may be asked, which will be put to you at 10 the end of that time, although on past form, I don't expect they will be? 11 12 Yes, okay. Α. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 14 MR FERNANDES: Good afternoon, everyone. We will now take 15 a break. May I remind those in the virtual hearing room to remember to join your break-out rooms. 16 The time is now 3.55 pm, so we shall resume at 17 18 4.10 pm. Thank you. 19 (3.54 pm)20 (A short break) 21 (4.10 pm)22 MR FERNANDES: Good afternoon, everyone and welcome back. I will now hand over to the Chairman to continue 23 proceedings. 24 Chairman. 25

1

able to ask her.

1	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
2	Mr Ryder, do you have any questions in
3	re-examination, there being none no questions from
4	any other person?
5	MR RYDER: No, thank you, Sir.
6	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
7	Ms Gurney, in that case, your evidence concludes.
8	Thank you for your assistance. As always, it is
9	fascinating to hear those who have participated in
10	the events into which I am inquiring, personally and
11	first-hand. Thank you.
12	A. Thank you.
13	MR FERNANDES: Thank you, everyone. The hearings have now
14	finished for the day. We shall resume at 10.00 am
15	tomorrow.
16	(4.11 pm)
17	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday,
18	30 April 2021)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	PAGE
3	Summary of evidence of HN299/HN342 &1
4	HN344
5	
6	PROFESSOR JONATHAN ROSENHEAD19
7	(called)
8	Questions by MS HUMMERSTONE20
9	MS CHRISTABEL GURNEY (called)121
10	Questions by MS HUMMERSTONE122
11	Questions by THE CHAIRMAN168
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	