Cover sheet

[redacted]

Referral Number:

-REPORT TO CROWN PROSECUTOR REGARDING POTENTIAL MISCARRIAGE of JUSTICE
(Operation SHAY)

REPORT TO CROWN PROSECUTOR (FOR POLICE COMPLETION)

Defendant

Defendant Surname :**GRAHAM** Forename : **THOMAS**

Date of Birth : **04.10.1918** Court appeared at :**BARKING MAGS**

Date of Offence: **17.09.1977** Offence: **THREATENING BEHAVIOUR**

Date appeared at Court **12.04.1978** Result: **GUILTY FINED £50.00**

Custody Ref: **Not Available** Holmes Doc Ref:

CRO Number : **PNC ID** Privacy

Nominal number of SDS officer concerned

Holmes N-number : **N13**

Co-Defendants

1. Surname : Privacy Forename(s): Privacy D.O.B : Privacy
2. Surname : Forename(s): D.O.B

3. Surname : **CARDEW** Forename(s): **CORNELIUS** D.O.B : **07/05/36**

[redacted]

Circumstances of arrest & conviction -

BACKGROUND

During the seventies there were frequent clashes between ultra right and ultra left wing factions.

Meetings held by either group would often be subject to counter demonstrations resulting in violence and damage. The police were invariably present to prevent disorder occurring. The police would also be targeted and would often be threatened and assaulted.

A Marxist Leninist group called the Communist Party of England (CPE) were very active in demonstrating against the National Front.

Undercover police officer (UCO) N13 had successfully infiltrated the CPE and was present at many of the demonstrations during 1977.

INCIDENT

On 17th September 1977 N13 was present at a demonstration outside a National Front meeting in East Street, Barking.

The counter demonstration was held under the banner of East London Peoples Front, a "guise" for the CPE.

It appears a violent confrontation was taking place with police officers and as a result eight (8) persons were arrested, including **GRAHAM** for Public Order Offences and Obstructing Police. N13 was arrested (in his pseudonym) for an offence of Threatening Behaviour Contrary to Section 5 Public Order Act 1936.

A report provided by SDS management shortly after the event details that N13 was "knocked to the ground, whilst trying to shield two young children, and was somewhat battered by police prior to his arrest".

There is no reporting in Documents 935 and 936 regarding the actions of **GRAHAM.**

All other co-defendants appear to be arrested at the same location and time. They were all charged together and went through the court process as co defendants.

All defendants pleaded "Not Guilty".

N13 was acquitted at court. **GRAHAM** and three co defendants were found guilty. They are all subject of separate referrals.

INVESTIGATION AND RESPONSE

No separate investigation. Stand alone offences.

INTERVIEW

There is no record of any interviews taking place of any of the defendants. It was not standard procedure to interview suspects for Public Order Offences at the time.

INTELLIGENCE PRIOR and INTELLIGENCE POST

No direct intelligence has been viewed which is prior to arrest.

Information has been obtained from Special Branch reports that detail the SDS/Special Branch response to this arrest in N13's pseudonym.

PROSECUTION

UCO N13 immediately reported his arrest to SDS management, the decision making process around this arrest are set out in document D935 and D936 attached. The decision was made for N13 to appear at Court in his pseudonym. This was to maintain his cover within the CPE and maintain a presence who could report on the rising tensions between the right and left wing factions. The reports indicate that officers up to the rank of Commissioner were kept updated regarding the progress of the court case involving N13.

[redacted] A court official [redacted] was approached and informed that one of the defendants (name not given) was an "informant" and the police were anxious to safeguard the defendants from any prison sentence should that situation arise. It is reported that the court official accepted this without demure and complied with the wishes of Special Branch.

1

Thomas GRAHAM appeared over three days at Barking Magistrates Court, 10th - 12th April 1978. Privacy Privacy Privacy. He was found guilty Threatening Behaviour only, and fined a total of £50.00. Memorandum of Conviction attached.

N13 was acquitted. There are no other convictions recorded in N13's pseudonym.

OPERATION HERNE

Metropolitan Police pension records confirm that N13 died in 2005.

GRAHAM has not been seen by Operation HERNE. A check on ancestory.com reveals that a person with the same name and date of birth died in Lambeth in 2004 aged 85 years. Copy attached.

Privacy

He was aged 58 when this offence was committed.

There is no trace of any files in IMOS which relate to the pseudonym used by N13.

OUTSTANDING ENQUIRES

IMOS checks for files in relation to **GRAHAM,** Communist Party England, and associated groups around 1976 - 1978.

Check annual report for 1977/1978 to establish the groups that N13 was reporting on.

General registry check for Beat Crimes at Barking.

Consider tracing the [redacted] court official [redacted] for any knowledge around these events.

CPS to establish if any Court files are still in existence.

CONSIDERATIONS

1. N13 appears at Court in his pseudonym court official is *informed that* ***one*** *of the defendants is a "****source****" that needs protecting.*

2.There is no reference in Documents 935 and 936 regarding the specific actions of the other persons arrested with N13.There is reference to the "valuable intelligence" that N13 has been providing regarding the confrontations between the left wing and right wing factions.

3. N13 was subsequently arrested on 14th April 1978 in similar circumstances again in his pseudonym, and appeared at Lambeth Magistrates Court on 29th June 1978. He was found guilty of Threatening Behaviour, Contrary to Section 5 (1) Public Order Act 1936 and was fined £40, costs of £20 and bound over to keep the peace for 12 months. (There are no convictions recorded on PNC in N13's pseudonym.) This will be subject of a separate referral.

4. The UCO and GRAHAM are both deceased.

MEDIA

There is no current press or media interest in relation to this case.

EXHIBITS/FORENSICS

Not applicable in this case

CASE PAPERS

There is a reference to "Beat Crimes Barking" on the microfiche, but no specific reference number.

HERNE INTERVIEW OF UCO

No interview of the UCO has taken place re this event. UCO is deceased.

[redacted]

Referral Number:

ROLE OF THE OFFICER (AUTHORISED ACTIVITY)

N13 was deployed into the Communist Party England during this period and it is recorded that N13 was due to leave the field in five months time.

Revelation at the time

There is no record in any of the Special Branch records from this period of any revelation to the defence regarding the use of UCO's.

The court official was made aware that there was a "source" amongst the defendants.

Material provided to CPS			
	Attached - N/A - Location - Destroyed		Attached - N/A - Location - Destroyed
Authority:	**N/A**	Crime Report:	**N/A**
Pocket note Book:	**N/A**	Custody Record:	**N/A**
Technical:	**N/A**	Interview record:	**N/A**
Policy of SIO:	**N/A**	Court Files:	**N/A**
Intel Reports:	**N/A**	PNC records:	**Attached**
Internal Memos:	**Attached**	Statements:	**N/A**
Policing Plan:	**N/A**	VIDEO/Photo:	**N/A**
Op order:	**N/A**	Incident LOG's:	**N/A**
Exhibits:	**N/A**	Other (Specify):	
IMOS Files:	**N/A**	**Memorandum of conviction**	**PNC Microfiche**
		Ancestory.com record	

Officer completing: [redacted] Rank/Job title: **INVESTIGATOR** [redacted]

3

Signature: [redacted]

Telephone : **(0207) 161** [redacted] Email: [redacted]**@met.police.pnn.uk**

OIC name : **DI** [redacted] Date:**14.11.2014**

[redacted]

[redacted]

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Other Document

Number:
D935

Title: ANS/35 - REPORTS RE ARREST OF [redacted] N13 17/09/1972

5.
SB Memorandum 5A

6.
DAC to see 5A please
SB
06/01/1978

7.
SB Memorandum 7A
DAC to see please
Seen, thank you

SB 17/04/1978

8.
SB Memorandum 8A

DAC

N13

It is unfortunate that [redacted], having the outstanding charge against him at Barking Magistrates Court dismissed on 12 April, was again arrested on 15 April during the right wing/left wing clash at Brixton.

As you are aware, this officer, through excellent work and no little courage, has securely penetrated the CPE (M-L) – the most violent organisation of the ultra-left whose basic policies include direct confrontation with police. The information he has supplied, and continues to supply, is of great value to Uniform Branch in the public order field.

N13

I shall be seeing [redacted] personally on Thursday 20 April, and will discuss the arrest as well as his continuing role in this field. Clearly his security and well-being must take priority but I would be very reticent to recommend his withdrawal from the field at this time, in view of the considerable potential for violence in the right wing/left wing confrontations now taking place.

SB
19/04/1978
Commander

9.
ACC N13
You will wish to know of the arrest, for the second time, of [redacted] in political public disorder circumstances. Subject to any observations you may have, I intend to ride this particular case, leave him in the field at this extremely sensitive time of possible political/racial confrontation, and consider the question of his withdrawal later in the year.

Given that he and his colleagues, if they are doing their jobs properly, are inevitably going to be part of the general crowd confronting police, it is almost equally inevitable that there will be the occasional arrest – it is indeed fortunate that such occasions are very rare. I nevertheless think that the risk – provided it is controlled, supervised and measured in the way we do it – is commensurate with the importance of the intelligence we derive.

I have directed Cdr Ops (SB) to liaise with Cdr 'M' division and will keep you informed of developments.

SB
20/04/1978
DAC

10.
DAC 'C' (SB)
I agree with the action you … and have updated the Commissioner. … in due course.
….
24/04

11.
… Ops (SB)
… … for immediate report after court hearing – or sooner if there are any significant developments.

…
25/04/1978
DAC

12.
SB Memorandum 12A

DAC
Superintendent ... seems to have established a beneficial liaison here. We must now await the hearing.

SB
02/05/1978

13.

A/...
Seen. Thank you. ... in due course.

SB
02/05/1978

14.

Special Branch Memorandum 14A

DAC
The liaison work undertaken by Superintendent PRYDE had the desired effect, which was reflected in the sentence. The £100 bind-over was very useful insofar as [redacted] may be able to preserve a somewhat lower profile for a while. The officer only has five more months to serve in this field, and under the circumstances there would appear to be no need to withdraw him at the moment. N13

He is still producing first-rate information in the Public Order field and his morale remains high.

SB
03/07/1978

15.

ACC
You may wish to apprise the Commissioner of what, in all the circumstances, may be regarded as a satisfactory conclusion to this rather sensitive case. We took much care

to supervise the proceedings at all stages and the overall risk, in my view, was commensurate with the high grade intelligence being produced .

I will keep the papers in my personal safe .

SB
05/07/1978

16.
DAC
Seen and noted thank you – well taken care of.

09/07/1978 ...ACC

14A

Memorandum

Special Branch
3rd July 1978

Commander 'Operation'

N13

1. [redacted] charged (as a member of the Communist Party of England – Marxist Leninist) with threatening behaviour contrary to Section 5 Public Order Act 1936, following the clash between the ultra-left and the extreme right wing during the Brixton bye-election in April 1978, appeared at Lambeth Magistrates Court on Thursday 29th June 1978 .

2. He was found guilty and fined £40 with £20 costs and bound over in the sum of £100 to be of good behaviour for 12 months.

5 3. Throughout the remand and before the trial contact was maintained with [redacted] a court official [redacted] and our appreciation for his assistance and co-operation was expressed at the end of these proceedings.

A/Chief Superintendent

12A

[redacted]

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

Memorandum

Special Branch
28th April 1978

Commander 'Operations'

N13

1. [redacted] appeared at Camberwell Green Magistrates Court on 26/04/1978 and was remanded on bail to 29th June 1978.

6

2. Contact has been established with [redacted] **a court official** [redacted], who was told that our interest in this case consisted of the fact that the defendant (cover name given) was a valuable informant in the public order field whom we would wish to safeguard from a prison sentence should the occasion arise.

7

3. **The court official** accepted this situation with understanding and was completely co-operative and I shall continue to maintain liaison with him during the remand and when this case appears at Court.

Superintendent

8A

Memorandum

Special Branch
18th April 1978

Commander 'Operations'

N13

1. [redacted] (as a member of the Communist Party of England – Marxist Leninist) was arrested at 5.50pm (1750) on 15th April 1978 (15/04/1978) in Brixton High Road following the clash between the ultra-left and the extreme right wing at the National Front meeting held at Loughborough School, Minet Road, Brixton .

2. He was charged at Paddington Police Station with using threatening behaviour with intent to provoke a breach of the peace contrary to Section 5 Public Order Act 1936 and bailed in his own recognisance to appear at Camberwell Green Magistrates Court on Wednesday 26th April 1978.

8 8

3. The arresting officers were PC [redacted] and PC [redacted]

[redacted]

MPS-0722618/11

neither of whom are known to [redacted] N13

Superintendent

7A

Memorandum

Special Branch
17th April 1978 (17/04/1978)

Commander 'Operations'

1. N13 [redacted] (charged as a member of the CPE-ML) together with seven of his 'comrades' appeared at Barking Magistrates Court on 10th, 11th and 12th April 1978.

2. One member of the group failed to appear on 10th April and is believed to be in Canada (a warrant has been issued); two others were found 'not guilty' on 11th April – case dismissed and the case against N13 [redacted] was dismissed on 12th April at the same time as the remaining 'four' were found guilty and fined.

9

3. Throughout this trial contact was maintained with [redacted] a court official [redacted] whose invaluable assistance and co-operation was given without question and our appreciation for this was expressed at the end of the proceedings.

Superintendent

5A

Memorandum

Special Branch
6th January 1978 (06/01/1978)

Commander 'Operations'

1. N13 [redacted] (charged as a member of the CPE-ML with Insulting Behaviour under the Public Order Act) together with seven others, on varying charges connected with public disorder at a demonstration in Barking on 17/09/1977,

appeared at Barking Magistrates Court on 3rd and 5th January 1978.

2. The prosecution asked for all to be tried together to which the defendants readily agreed and following their non-recognition of the Court a plea of 'not guilty' was entered against each one.

3. The two days' proceedings, before a Bench of three JPs, consisted of continual hectoring and 'political' questioning of arresting officers and 'political' statements made by the defendants from the dock. As a result the Court had to be adjourned on numerous occasions and only four police officers' evidence has been heard, amongst which are the two PCs involved in the case of [redacted] N13

4. All defendants have now been remanded to 10th April 1978 when a court will be set aside for four days for completion of these cases.

10

5. Contact has been established with [redacted] a court official [redacted] who is aware that one of the defendants (name not given) is an informant whom we would be anxious to safeguard from any prison sentence should this situation arise.

11

6. The court official accepted this situation without demur and with understanding and has been completely co-operative throughout ; I shall continue to maintain liaison with him during the remand and when the defendants re-appear at Court.

Superintendent

2A

Memorandum

Special Branch
21st September 1977

Commander 'Operations'

N13

1. [redacted], together with his CPE (M-L) 'comrades', appeared at Barking Magistrates' Court this morning and was remanded on bail to appear at the same court on 3rd January1978 (03/01/1978), when the cases will be heard.

2. I had a long conversation at the court with the arresting officer, PC [redacted]

12

[redacted], and it is clear that his colleague PC [redacted], has said nothing to suggest that he might have recognised [redacted] N13 12

[redacted]

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

GTM Craft
Chief Inspector

1A

Memorandum

Special Branch
19th September 1977 (19/09/1977)

Commander 'Operations'

1. As you are aware, the Communist Party of England (M-L), under the guise of the East London People's Front, held on anti-fascist march on Saturday morning (17th September (17/09/1977)) from Ilford to Barking. Outside Barking Police Station a confrontation between one of the marchers and a suspected National Front photographer precipitated a general melee resulting in a number of arrests.

2. N13 [redacted] SDS, who had been marching with his 'comrades' was knocked to the ground, whilst trying to shield two young children, and was somewhat battered by police prior to his arrest for Insulting Behaviour under the Public Order Act. He was released on bail later in the day and is due to appear at Barking Magistrates' Court at 10am on Wednesday 21st September.

3. The case is slightly complicated by the fact that [redacted] believes the constable who arrested him served with him [redacted] some years ago and, although the latter did not recognise him at the time, there is a possibility that he might do so just before or in the course of the Court hearing . I think it would be wise, subject to your agreement, if I were to see the arresting officer in the presence of his Chief Superintendent with a view to putting him partially in the picture. I would propose doing this along the lines that [redacted] operation was a 'one off' job.

4. N13 [redacted] injuries were sufficient to require examination by the Divisional Surgeon at Barking Police Station and he has been advised to seek an x-ray examination of his nose. This will be done today.

GTM Craft
Chief Inspector

[redacted]

MPS-0722618/14

RELEVANT SECTION OF:- D986

[redacted]

DOCUMENT RECORD PRINT

DAC

1. We have discussed this case.

2. N13 [redacted] was seen on 19.9.77 and his injuries were superficial. An X-ray examination at Kingston Hospital revealed no permanent damage to his nose. An "injury on duty" report has been submitted.

3. I have discussed with Commander MAYBANKS of A8 whether the arresting officer should be seen and given enough information to prevent his making some remark to [redacted] N13 at court which might compromise the latter's cover.

4. We came to the conclusion that it would be better if the arresting officer were not told anything because (a) he might still inadvertently let slip some damaging remark either at the court or afterwards and (b) the arresting officer might feel that there was an implied criticism of his action in making the arrest which given the delicacy of the situation, would be an undesirable feeling to be left in the mind of a uniformed officer dealing with such demonstrations.

5. It has been established that the officer concerned is PC [redacted] 14 who did serve at the same station as [redacted] N13 some eight years ago but on a different relief. The two men were on speaking terms but not particularly close friends. It is also the case that PC [redacted] only assisted in the arrest of N13 [redacted] and a "comrade," two other police officers being the arresting officers.

6. In the circumstances, it may be that PC [redacted] 14 will only see [redacted] N13 in the court room itself and not prior to the hearing, so reducing the chances of any damaging confrontation.

7. I shall therefore instruct DCI CRAFT , to attend court when [redacted] N13 appears and make known to the arresting officers his interest in all the defendants as if it were a routine case of interest to SB. Thus it will be more likely that if any of the officers have suspicions about [redacted] they will express those feelings to DCI CRAFT and not talk about them openly. N13

8. This is the second occasion that [redacted] N13 has been injured on a demonstration, having received a blow on the head during the recent "Lewisham Confrontation." His morale remains high and he is happy to continue his undercover activities within the CPE(M-L) for the time being and his recent arrest and injuries will have added to his credence with that

[redacted]

MPS-0722618/15

organisation. He will attempt to maintain a lower profile on demonstrations but there remains the possibility of further arrest. This is a risk which must be weighed against the need for information about this violent group.

SB
21.9.77

Commander

2.

SB Memorandum

2A

DAC to see with 1A, please.

3.

Seen thank you. You are aware of many personal interest in this case. ACC is in the picture as is the Commissioner .

Keep me informed of developments please.

SB
21.9.77

R.?????
DAC

4.

Ch. Supt. 'S'

To see minute 3 above.

SB
22.9.77

Commander

Case No.	Name of Prosecutor, Informant or Complainant	Name of Defendant	Age	Nature of Offence, etc	Date of Offence	Plea	Minute of Adjudication	Time allowed for Payment of Instalments	Costs etc	Payable to Exchequer	Remarks
45	POLICE	Privacy	29	AT EAST STREET, BARKING WILFULLY OBSTRUCT [redacted], A CONSTABLE OF THE METROPOLITAN POLICE FORCE IN THE EXECUTION OF HIS DUTY CONTRARY TO SECTION 51(3) POLICE ACT 1964	17.9.77	NG	Fined £25	28 day			
				ASSAULT [redacted] A CONSTABLE OF THE METROPOLITAN POLICE IN THE EXECUTION OF HIS DUTY CONTRARY TO SECTION 51(1) POLICE ACT 1964	17.9.77	NG	Fined £50	28 day				
		Privacy		AT EAST STREET, BARKING WHILE PRESENT AT A PUBLIC PROCESSION HAD WITH HIM AN OFFENSIVE WEAPON VIZ ONE FLAG STAFF, OTHERWISE THAN IN THE PURSUANCE OF LAWFUL AUTHORITY CONTRARY TO SECTION 4 PUBLIC ORDER ACT 1936	17.9.77	NG	Bound				
				AT EAST STREET, BARKING IN A PUBLIC PLACE DID USE THREATENING BEHAVIOUR WITH INTENT TO PROVOKE A BREACH OF THE PEACE CONTRARY TO SECTION 6(A) PUBLIC ORDER ACT 1936	17.9.77	NG	Bound 10-4-78				
		THOMAS GRAHAM	58	AT EAST STREET, BARKING IN A PUBLIC PLACE DID USE THREATENING WORDS AND BEHAVIOUR WHEREBY A BREACH OF THE PEACE WAS LIKELY TO BE OCCASIONED CONTRARY TO SECTION 5(a) PUBLIC ORDER ACT 1936	17.9.77	NG	Fined £50	28 day			
		Privacy				NG	Dismissed				
		Privacy	22	WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORITY OR EXCUSE WILFULLY OBSTRUCT THE FREE PASSAGE ALONG THE HIGHWAY AT CLOCKHOUSE AVENUE BARKING CONTRARY TO SECTION 121(1) HIGHWAYS ACT 1959	17.9.77	NG	Dismissed on 11-4-78				
		Privacy	23	AT EAST STREET, BARKING ASSAULT [redacted] A CONSTABLE OF THE METROPOLITAN POLICE IN THE EXECUTION OF HIS DUTY CONTRARY TO SECTION 51(1) POLICE ACT 1964	17.9.77	NG	Fined £50	28 day			
N13		[redacted]	29	IN A PUBLIC PLACE AT EAST STREET, BARKING DID USE THREATENING BEHAVIOUR WHEREBY A BREACH OF THE PEACE WAS LIKELY TO BE OCCASIONED CONTRARY TO SECTION 5(A) PUBLIC ORDER ACT 1936	17.9.77	NG	Dismissed				
		Privacy	40	AT EAST STREET, BARKING WILFULLY	17.9.77		Fined £25				

MPS-0722618/17

SECTION 51(1) POLICE ACT 1964

Privacy		AT EAST STREET, BARKING WHILE PRESENT AT A PUBLIC PROCESSION HAD WITH HIM AN OFFENSIVE WEAPON VIZ ONE FLAG STAFF, OTHERWISE THAN IN THE PURSUANCE OF LAWFUL AUTHORITY CONTRARY TO SECTION 4 PUBLIC ORDER ACT 1936	17.9.77	No Plea
		AT EAST STREET, BARKING IN A PUBLIC PLACE DID USE THREATENING BEHAVIOUR WITH INTENT TO PROVOKE A BREACH OF THE PEACE CONTRARY TO SECTION 5(A) PUBLIC ORDER ACT 1936	17.9.77	No Plea
THOMAS GRAHAM	58	AT EAST STREET, BARKING IN A PUBLIC PLACE DID USE THREATENING WORDS AND BEHAVIOUR WHEREBY A BREACH OF THE PEACE WAS LIKELY TO BE OCCASIONED CONTRARY TO SECTION 5(a) PUBLIC ORDER ACT 1936	17.9.77	No Plea £5c
		Privacy	17	No Dismissed
Privacy	22	WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORITY OR EXCUSE WILFULLY OBSTRUCT THE FREE PASSAGE ALONG THE HIGHWAY AT CLOCKHOUSE AVENUE BARKING CONTRARY TO SECTION 121(1) HIGHWAYS ACT 1959	17.9.77	No Dismissed on 11-4-78
Privacy	23	AT EAST STREET, BARKING ASSAULT [redacted] A CONSTABLE OF THE METROPOLITAN POLICE IN THE EXECUTION OF HIS DUTY CONTRARY TO SECTION 51(1) POLICE ACT 1964	17.9.77	No Plea £5
DESMOND BARRY LOADER	29	IN A PUBLIC PLACE AT EAST STREET, BARKING DID USE THREATENING BEHAVIOUR WHEREBY A BREACH OF THE PEACE WAS LIKELY TO BE OCCASIONED CONTRARY TO SECTION 5(A) PUBLIC ORDER ACT 1936	17.9.77	No Dismissed
Privacy	40	AT EAST STREET, BARKING WILFULLY OBSTRUCT [redacted] A CONSTABLE OF THE METROPOLITAN POLICE FORCE IN THE EXECUTION OF HIS DUTY CONTRARY TO SECTION 51(2) POLICE ACT 1964	17.9.77	No Plea £25
Privacy	24	AT CLOCKHOUSE AVENUE, BARKING, ESSEX WHILE PRESENT ON THE OCCASION OF A PUBLIC PROCESSION HAD WITH HIM AN OFFENSIVE WEAPON VIZ A BAMBOO POLE, OTHERWISE THAN IN THE PURSUANCE OF LAWFUL AUTHORITY CONTRARY TO SECTION 4 PUBLIC ORDER ACT 1936	17.9.77	No Dismissed on 11-4-78.

[redacted]

15

Metropolitan Police Service

Exhibit No.		Cust No.	Serial No.
SJS 2			MPSZ09460566
Ex. Book No.	OCU:	Other Stn. Ref.	Lab Ref. No.
			/ /

Description of exhibit: ANCESTORY.COM PRINTOUT RE THOMAS GRAHAM 4.10.18.

From place/person: E.S.B. - CIVILIAN INVESTIGATOR [redacted] 16

Taken by: [redacted] Date: 14.11.14 Time: 1100.

Sealed by: Date: Time:

I IDENTIFY THIS EXHIBIT AS THAT REFERRED TO IN MY STATEMENT

16 [redacted]

Signature:

Signature(s) of additional witness(es)

MP 1404/04 MPSZ09460566 Form 420B

Note: This site uses cookies to help manage and personalize your visits to Ancestry. By us

ancestry

We are updating the look of our record transcriptions. Preview the ne

Page Tools

- Start a Tree with this person
- Save record to my shoebox
- Add Alternate Information
- View printer-friendly
- Order death certificate for Thomas Graham

Comments

There are no comments for this record

Leave a comment

Make a Connection

Find others who are researching your ancestors in Public Member Trees:

Find others researching Thomas Graham

England & Wales, Death Index, 1916-2007 about

Name:	**Thomas Graham**
Birth Date:	4 Oct 1918
Date of Registration:	Sep 2004
Age at Death:	85
Registration district:	Lambeth
Inferred County:	London
Register Number:	A61
District and Subdistrict:	241/1A
Entry Number:	226

Save This Record

Attach this record to a person in your tree as a sou later evaluation.

Save

Source Information:

Ancestry.com. *England & Wales, Death Index, 1916-2007* [database on-line]. Provo, UT, USA: Ancestry.com Operations Inc, 2007.
Original data: General Register Office. *England and Wales Civil Registration Indexes*. London, England: General Register Office. © Crown copyright. Published by permission of the Controller of HMSO and the Office for National Statistics. You must not copy on, transfer or reproduce records without the prior permission of ONS. Indexes created by the General Register Office, in London, England.

Description:

This database is a searchable, digitized version of the indices of civil registrations in England and Wales, reported quarterly to the General Register Office (GRO) in London. This index spans the years between 1916 between 2005. You need the information found in an original index to request a copy of a death certificate for the individual referenced. Learn more...

© 2002-2014 Ancestry.com · Privacy · Cookies · New Terms and Conditions | Member Services Share · Operated by Ancestry.com Europe S.à r.l.

19 6 80 05

(Sheet No. 2)

Sensitive personal information

M.P.-64-75523

Sensitive personal information

Sensitive personal information

19 6 80 05

Sensitive personal information

20

[redacted] P.S [redacted]

Officer in case

Date 12-7-78

[redacted]

Officer in charge.

M.P

19 6 80 05

Sensitive personal information

19 6 80 05

Sensitive personal information

Sensitive personal information

Sensitive personal information

Sensitive personal information

Sensitive personal information

CSP4 [redacted]

MG 11 (T)

WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Statement of [redacted] 22 URN:

Age if under 18 **Over 18** (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: Civilian Investigator

This statement (consisting of: one ...page~~s~~ each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated anything in it which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: [redacted] 22 Date: 14th November 2014

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐ *(supply witness details on rear)*

I am currently a police officer attached to Operation Herne. The particular strand of work that I am engaged in has been named Operation Shay and is an investigation into potential miscarriages of justice involving officers who historically served on the Special Demonstration Squad (SDS). As part of this enquiry I have researched files held within the SO15 Intelligence Management and Operational Support (IMOS) system for relevant documents.

On 4th November 2014 at Empress State Building I reviewed the HOLMES system in relation to documents that referred to [redacted] N13

From this file I identified two (2) documents of relevance to Operation Shay.

The documents are as follows:

1. D395 - Special Branch Report covering the 19th September 1977 to 3rd July 1978, a report dealing with the arrest of [redacted] N13

2. D396 - Special Branch Report covering the 21st July 1977 to 22nd July 1977, part of the report dealing with the arrest of [redacted] N13

I completed a MG3 referral for the case review, relevant to Thomas GRAHAM bn:10/4/18, Privacy and Cardew CORNELIUS bn:7/5/36.

As part of my enquires I was required to obtain a Memorandum of Conviction from Barking Magistrates Court for the

Signature: [redacted] 22 Signature witnessed by: .....

Continuation of Statement of .....

10th - 12th April 1978.

I established that the records were now held at Barkingside Magistrates Court. I contacted the Customer Service Team and Privacy from that team was able to send me a copy of the records. I can now produce those records as an exhibit at court. (Mkd SJS1) (Ex).

I also made a on line enquiry via ancestory.com in relation the Thomas GRAHAM bn:10/4/18. I can also produce this printout as an exhibit at court. (Mkd SJS2) (Ex).

The referral documents were handed to DS [redacted] 23

The exhibits were handed to DS [redacted] 23 on 14th November 2014.

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

Signature: ..... [redacted] 24 ..... Signature witnessed by: .....