1	Thursday, 6 May 2021
2	(10.00 am)
3	MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone, and welcome to Day 11
4	of hearings in Tranche 1 Phase 2 at
5	the Undercover Policing Inquiry.
6	My name is Neil Fernandes and I am the hearings
7	manager. For those of you in the virtual hearing room,
8	please turn off both your camera and microphone unless
9	you are invited to speak by the Chairman, as Zoom will
10	pick up on all noises and you will be on screen.
11	I will now hand over to the Chairman,
12	Sir John Mitting, to formally start proceedings.
13	Chairman.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
15	Ms Campbell.
16	Summary of evidence of the family of HN300/"Jim Pickford"
17	MS CAMPBELL: Thank you, Sir.
18	I will begin by summarising the statement given to
19	the Inquiry by the family of HN300; cover name
20	"Jim Pickford".
21	The statement was made in December 2017, during
22	the course of the Inquiry's anonymity proceedings, and
23	is a joint statement by HN300's second wife, to whom he
24	was married during his deployment, and his two
25	daughters. HN300 is now deceased.

Due to the nature of this statement, I'll be summarising certain sections and reading others in full. The full statement will be published on the Inquiry's website today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The statement begins with the family stating their concerns about the real name of HN300 being disclosed through the Inquiry. They note that HN300 was given an assurance of anonymity by the police during his time in the SDS. They say:

"Before commencing deployment as an undercover police officer, our late ex-husband/father was given assurances that his identity (real and cover) would never be released. He operated under the belief that his identity, and therefore his personal safety and that of his family, would be safeguarded and assured. This was of paramount importance to him, and he mentioned this to us a great many times. He also focused much of his time talking to us as children and adolescents about the importance of being vigilant, not divulging information and maintaining a level of suspicion of any individual/organisation seeking information (no matter how innocuous it seemed) through overt or covert means. While he would talk freely about his general police work, he never talked about his undercover work."

They note that HN300 did change his physical appearance for his deployment, by growing his hair and beard, but that he would still be recognisable to the public due to his distinct facial features and mannerisms.

When describing the impact that HN300's deployment had on the family, they state that he would "disappear for long periods of time with no way of contacting him", and that, "there were no emergency contact details given to his wife, and no support during his absences".

They continue:

"In order to safeguard his identity at home, he would park his cover vehicle away from the family home. He mostly returned home during the evening and night-time, with only occasional contact prior to his arrival. This caused considerable disruption to any sort of family routine, especially since both children were very young.

"In order to preserve anonymity and to avoid compromise of cover, there were no joint social engagements (ie no attendance to social gatherings or visits to friends or entertaining in the home) as a married couple, leaving his wife extremely isolated whilst trying to bring up two small children.

"His wife had no family living in the UK, making her

reliant upon close friends, which she was not able to speak with or seek support from at any time in relation to her family circumstances. Joint socialising with existing friends (including fellow police officers) was minimal, so she had few sources of support to help her with the children whilst she brought them up effectively as a single parent. This resulted in her being socially vulnerable and alone. There was no support available to her from the Special Branch at any time."

The family continues by describing a relationship that HN300 engaged in while undercover:

"During the time he was working undercover, he began a relationship with a woman whom his wife had become aware of. We do not know how or where they met. This, coupled with the great strain placed upon their marriage and family life by the various challenges and demands of his deployment, resulted in divorce from our family a year after his undercover deployment ended.

"He later married the woman. We all met her, and we children went on some family holidays with her and our dad, although we were young at the time. This marriage also ended in divorce some years later. This woman then moved ... and it has been impossible to locate/contact her."

The family note that HN300 had a child with this

woman, the daughter's half-brother, but that he would
have adopted a different surname when his mother
remarried

With regards to HN300's cover name, the family say:

"We do not know our ex-husband/dad's cover details

because he never spoke about them. However, his later

wife sometimes used to call him 'Jimmy' and that has

made us wonder if he used that as an alternative name."

The statement continues by describing HN300's later postings within the police, including details of public-facing roles. The family also note their concern about "unscrupulous individuals from HN300's later life seeking to profit from his story should his real name be made public".

The family note their concerns about the risk of members from infiltrated groups tracing them online or in person. They note that:

"We do not know for certain what groups he infiltrated whilst deployed, but we firmly believed he infiltrated at least two groups with a high level of risk/danger, one of which is still active in another form today. We remember how vigilant he made us be."

The family continue by noting the impact of the Inquiry on their wellbeing, and describe the effect that HN300's deployment had on their welfare. They

1 state:

"This process has necessitated long searches within our past memories and experiences, which are of a time when situations were difficult and painful. For us children, it has been exceptionally difficult for us to hear of times when our mother was left alone and unsupported whilst trying her best to care for us. We have at times disagreed amongst ourselves about what the best course is, and that has added extra distress. It is also particularly stressful to anticipate what information may come to light regarding the father we thought we knew.

"We are aware of some aspects of his undercover work, which have been pieced together over time.

However, our late ex-husband/father was incredibly stringent in maintaining secrecy. Therefore, we simply do not know the nature of information that may be uncovered as a result of the Inquiry, and the fear of the unknown is causing us excessive worry.

"Throughout the years, we have all upheld our obligations of confidentiality in relation to the work he undertook. Consequently, the notion of this information being released leaves us with a feeling of betrayal, particularly in the case of his ex-wife, who has not given any support during the deployment or

1	subsequently following the collapse of the marriage."
2	The statement concludes by providing details of
3	the risks the family believes they would be exposed to
4	should HN300's real name be released and details of
5	the family's current circumstances.
6	The statement is signed by HN300's former wife, and
7	dated 22 December 2017.
8	Statement of the widow of HN13.
9	MS CAMPBELL: Sir, I will now continue by reading
10	the statement provided to the Inquiry by the widow of
11	HN13 $\{MPS/740967/3\}$. Due to the short length of this
12	statement, I will read it in full.
13	This is the first witness statement of the former
14	wife of HN13:
15	"I have been asked to provide a witness statement
16	for the purpose of assisting the Undercover
17	Policing Inquiry.
18	"I am the widow of HN13, a former SDS officer.
19	I make this statement in relation to the cover name used
20	by my husband during his SDS deployment.
21	"The surname of the cover used by my husband was
22	Loader. I knew that it belonged to a deceased child
23	from Wiltshire. I came to be aware of this part of my
24	husband's cover name because he told me what it was. He
25	also told me it was the surname of a deceased child. My

1	husband never told me what first name or name(s) he
2	used. I do not remember the exact circumstances of how
3	I came to be aware of this aspect of his cover name or
4	when I first became aware of it. It only had to be said
5	once for it to be imprinted in my mind.
6	"I used to work [in the West Country]. I would
7	often come across people with that surname through my
8	work and this reminded me of the cover name. It is not
9	an unusual name in the West Country."
10	And the statement is designed and dated
11	20 August 2019.
12	Sir, that concludes the summary of the statements
13	for today.
14	In addition to publishing both of those documents,
15	the Inquiry will also be publishing documents related to
16	the officer HN296, cover name "Geoff Wallace".
17	This officer was deployed between mid-1975 and
18	late-1978, primarily into the Hammersmith branch and the
19	inner West London district of the International
20	Socialists.
21	The Inquiry's analysis of those documents can be
22	found at page 184 to 191 of appendix 2 to
23	Counsel to the Inquiry's opening statement for Tranche 1
24	Phase 2.
25	Thank you, Sir.

1	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
2	We will now have a ten-minute break while technical
3	arrangements permit us to hear the evidence of
4	Celia Stubbs.
5	MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone. We will now take
6	a break. May I remind those in the virtual hearing room
7	to remember to join your break-out rooms, please.
8	The time is now 10.15, so we shall reconvene at
9	10.25 am. Thank you.
10	(10.12 am)
11	(A short break)
12	(10.25 am)
13	MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone and welcome back.
14	I will now hand over to the Chairman to continue
15	proceedings.
16	Chairman.
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
18	As at the beginning of every live evidential
19	session, a recording is going to be played. If you're
20	listening to it for the first time, please listen
21	carefully.
22	I am conducting this Inquiry under a statute,
23	the Inquiries Act 2005, which gives me the power to make
24	orders regulating the conduct of the Inquiry, including
25	its hearings. In the exercise of that power I have made

a number of orders which affect what you and may not do in the hearing rooms and after you leave them. Breach of any of the orders is a serious matter and may have serious consequences for you.

If I am satisfied that a person may have breached an order, I have the power to certify the matter to the High Court, which will investigate and deal with it as if it had been a contempt of that court. If satisfied that a breach has occurred and merits the imposition of a penalty, the High Court may impose a severe sanction on the person in breach, including a fine, imprisonment for up to two years and sequestration of their assets.

Evidence is going to be given live over screens in the hearing rooms. It is strictly prohibited to photograph or record what is shown on the screens, or to record what is said by a witness, or anyone else in the hearing rooms.

You may bring your mobile telephone into the hearing rooms, but you may not use it for any of those purposes. You may use it silently for any other purpose. In particular, you may transmit your account of what you have seen and heard in a hearing room to any other person, but only once at least ten minutes have elapsed since the event which you are describing took place.

This restriction has a purpose. In the course of
the Inquiry, I have made orders prohibiting the public
disclosure of information, for example about
the identity of a person, for a variety of reasons.
These orders must be upheld. It is inevitable that,
whether by accident or design, information which I have
ordered should not be publicly disclosed will sometimes
be disclosed in a hearing.

If and when that happens, I will immediately suspend the hearing and make an order prohibiting further disclosure of the information outside the hearing rooms. The consequence will be that no further disclosure of that information may be made by mobile telephone or other portable electronic device from within the hearing room, or by any means outside it.

I am sorry if you find this message alarming. It is not intended to be. Its purpose is simply to ensure that everyone knows the rules which must apply if I am to hear the evidence which I need to enable me to get to the truth about undercover policing. You, as members of the public, are entitled to hear the same public evidence as I will hear, and to reach your own conclusions about it. The Inquiry team will do their best to ensure that you can.

If you have any doubt about the terms of this

- 1 message, or what you may or may not do, you should not
- 2 hesitate to ask one of them and, with my help if
- 3 necessary, they will provide you with the answer.
- 4 MS CELIA STUBBS
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Stubbs, do you wish to affirm or to be
- 6 sworn?
- 7 A. To affirm, please.
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: May the words of affirmation be spoken to
- 9 you, please.
- 10 (Witness affirmed)
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- Ms Wilkinson, I understand we're going to have
- a break at about 11.45. If you could bear that in mind
- when asking your questions, I'd be grateful.
- 15 Questions by MS WILKINSON
- MS WILKINSON: Thank you, Sir.
- Ms Stubbs, could you give us your full name, please?
- 18 A. Celia Veronica Stubbs.
- 19 Q. I think this morning you would like me to refer to you
- as "Ms Stubbs"; is that right?
- 21 A. Thank you.
- 22 Q. We will be talking a lot about your partner Blair Peach.
- Would you like me to refer to him as "Mr Peach", or with
- 24 his full name, or his Christian name?
- 25 A. Maybe "Blair Peach".

- 1 Q. I shall do so.
- 2 Ms Stubbs, you made a statement for the Inquiry,
- dated 16 January 2021, and that is before us today. Is
- 4 that true and correct in its contents to the best of
- 5 your knowledge and belief?
- 6 A. Yes, that includes the supplement, doesn't it?
- 7 Q. It does. That's the supplemented version where you made
- 8 any amendments you wished and you --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- appended two exhibits as well.
- Now, that statement in its supplemented form is
- going to be published on our website today, with the
- 13 exhibits that you appended. So that will be the body
- and background of your evidence already published, so
- that will all be taken into account.
- So this morning I'll be highlighting some key
- 17 features, but we won't be reading through every part of
- 18 your witness statement. Is that okay?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Ms Stubbs, you are here today to help us with evidence
- in relation to the late -- particularly the late-1970s,
- for the purposes of this tranche today, because you and
- 23 your partner Blair Peach were both members at that
- 24 stage, in the late 70s, of the Socialist Workers Party,
- 25 and you both attended an anti-National Front

- demonstration outside Southall Town Hall on
- 2 23 April 1979, when tragically your partner was killed.
- 3 Can you help us a little with your relationship.
- 4 How long had you been in a relationship with Blair Peach
- 5 by the time of these events that we'll be concentrating
- 6 on today?
- 7 A. My ex-husband and I were -- spent three years in
- 8 New Zealand, as he had a contract to -- to -- he was
- 9 a secondary school teacher; he had a contract to teach
- 10 there for three years. And we first met Blair when he
- 11 was at high school, and then at his -- when he went on
- 12 his first year at university. And then we returned to
- England.
- 14 Blair visited us in England with his friend, went
- back, and then came back for good. And at that time
- I was separated, and later divorced, from my husband.
- So that was -- that was 1970. So I first met him
- in, yes -- sorry --
- 19 Q. Before 1970 you met him?
- 20 A. I met him about 1962, yes -- 1963; and I lived with him
- since the end of 1971.
- Q. And so he was your long-term partner by 1979. And had
- 23 he -- did you have children already by then from your
- 24 first marriage?
- 25 A. Yes, I had two daughters.

- 1 Q. Did he help in your care of them?
- 2 A. Yes, he did.
- Now, as we will hear, the tragic events of 23 April 1979 3 Q. 4 ignited immense strength of feeling, and you have ever 5 since been part of campaigns that have flowed from that event. Most notably, you have campaigned for a public 6 7 inquiry to be held into Blair Peach's death, so that 8 those responsible can be held to account; you have campaigned for greater accountability in policing 9 10 generally; and you've been involved in organisations supporting miscarriages of justice cases, for example 11 12 through the Hackney Community Defence Association and
- 14 A. Yes, that's right.

the Colin Roach Centre?

13

- And we'll see that although your name appears a couple 15 Ο. of times in a couple of reports that have been submitted 16 17 by SDS officers before the death of your partner, 18 the vast majority of the reports that have been provided to you from the undercover officers provided in your 19 pack for this tranche have been to do with 20 21 the Blair Peach campaign and events that took place 22 after April 1979, rather than in your personal capacity 23 in any other way.
- Do you agree with that?
- 25 A. Yes, I do. Although, I have discovered, through reading

- through statements, that Blair and I both had registry
- files. I think mine was 1974/75, and Blair's was opened
- in 1978. And I haven't had sight of either of these
- 4 registry files, so I feel that there's documents that
- I haven't seen that might be -- might have been helpful.
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. I had great difficulty writing my first statement,
- 8 because I hadn't seen -- I had to write it by
- 9 31 January 2020, and I hadn't seen any statements at
- 10 all; I'd only seen quite faded copies of police
- 11 statements about meetings.
- 12 Q. Well, we have now got your statement that is informed by
- reports that you have seen -- (overspeaking) --
- 14 A. But not the registry reports.
- Q. Yes. Well, the registry file reference,
- the "RF" reference I think you're referring to, we can
- 17 see in I think the very first report, where you can tell
- 18 that the middle number is the 74 figure, which I think
- is what's assisted your reference to that.
- 20 And of course, the RF files are held by
- 21 Special Branch generally, and we're here today focusing
- on the SDS, which was a fragment of that. So that's
- 23 what we're dealing with: the undercover reporting,
- 24 rather than any broader policing in respect of
- 25 Special Branch generally. So that's what we're going to

- focus on for now.
- 2 As far as undercover policing is concerned and
- 3 the reports you've seen that have been generated by
- 4 undercover officers, you consider, I think you say in
- 5 your statement, this to be an abuse of police
- 6 surveillance powers, because you consider these
- 7 undercover officers to have been reporting information
- 8 back to the Metropolitan Police to protect the police
- 9 from facing justice. Is that what you say in your
- 10 statement?
- 11 A. Yes, that's right. I couldn't -- I -- I just don't
- 12 understand why I was spied upon and what was
- the purpose. And I'd certainly like to know, you know,
- how it's going to be used, how long it will be kept and
- 15 why they did it.
- Q. We need now to look, I think, at the context of what was
- 17 going on in the 70s and the political -- your political
- 18 activity and involvement in any activism and that of
- 19 your partner, and what was generally going on as far as
- 20 the feelings in the country and the general elections,
- and that sort of thing.
- So, if we can, let's just go back, please, if we can
- to the early 70s.
- Is it right that you became a member of
- 25 the International Socialists in 1972?

- 1 A. Yes, that's right.
- 2 Q. What were the core aims of the International Socialists
- 3 then?
- 4 A. They believed very much the struggle to achieve
- 5 socialism was through the class struggle, and that we
- 6 had to build a large working-class base. Emphasis was
- 7 on building rank and file. We had a weekly newspaper,
- 8 the Socialist Worker, which we -- we sold in public
- 9 places every week, so we were able to talk to members of
- 10 the public about our aims and -- and encourage them to
- 11 come to the public meetings we held. We also sold
- outside factories and places of work. I remember
- selling outside the Metal Box factory in Hackney -- it
- doesn't exist anymore -- when it was open.
- We had public meetings. We had private meetings
- open just to members only, where we discussed policy and
- 17 actions. We opposed racism in all its facets and we --
- 18 we went to demonstrations and supported other workers'
- 19 struggles, and also worked in our trade union at work.
- 20 Q. What sort of actions -- you referred to you would
- 21 discuss policy and actions. What sort of actions would
- 22 your group take that you were a member of?
- 23 A. Well, maybe one example is the National Front had quite
- a large power base in the 1970s in East London, where we
- 25 lived. I -- I was a member of the Hackney branch of IS.

- 1 There were also two other branches in Hackney, one in
- 2 Stoke Newington and one in Clapton. I remember
- discussing one action when the -- the National --
- 4 the National Front had their headquarters in South
- 5 Hackney, and I remember we discussed about contacting
- 6 the council -- it was a council building which they'd
- 7 rented to -- to hope to get that closed. In fact, in
- 8 the end, we actually were -- we were able to do that in
- 9 1978. And also, we discussed round Brick Lane
- 10 the National Front used to sell their newspaper on
- a Sunday, and I remember going down there to -- to
- 12 oppose them. There were always quite a lot, and a lot
- of police. So that's ...
- Q. How would you oppose them? Just to help us understand
- 15 the context on the ground. If they were selling their
- 16 newspapers, in what way were you demonstrating your
- 17 opposition?
- 18 A. They chose Brick Lane because there was a very large
- 19 Bangladeshi community that lived and worked down there.
- They were incredibly racist and abusive. Their
- 21 newspaper they used to sell was really offensive. And
- 22 we went down to oppose them. Yes, I mean --
- Q. What did you do when you were there?
- 24 A. On one level it was -- I mean, it was usually round
- 25 about -- every Sunday about 11 -- between about 11 and

- 2 o'clock, and there was always a large police presence.
- 2 So, it was really while trying -- you know -- well, in
- fact, you couldn't actually talk to them, but actually
- 4 trying to talk to people there who might be influenced
- 5 by them, to try and, you know, oppose them in that way.
- 6 And also there was quite a lot of -- obviously a lot of
- 7 shouting and ...
- 8 Q. Would you chant some slogans? Would you carry any
- 9 banners at that point? Or was it just --
- 10 A. Yes, we had banners, and we had our newspaper --
- 11 Q. Were there any -- (overspeaking) --
- 12 A. -- (inaudible).
- 13 Q. -- confrontations. Were there any physical
- 14 confrontations when you would oppose these --
- 15 A. No, not physical.
- 16 Q. I think, in terms of the campaign you referenced, or
- 17 the efforts to make sure that the National Front
- 18 headquarters in Hackney office effectively was closed
- down, I think your partner Blair Peach was involved in
- 20 that effort as well with you; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes, very much so. The -- he was in the National Union
- of Teachers, a very fervent trade unionist. And in
- 23 the last year of his life he was president of East
- 24 London Teachers' Association. And unions at that time,
- our unions were -- we were -- the trades councils, we

- were affiliated to Tower Hamlets and Hackney trades
- 2 councils. And so, you know, part of our work was in
- 3 fact speaking to them as well.
- 4 Q. So you were a member of the International Socialists,
- 5 which then, in 1977, effectively renamed -- naturally
- 6 renamed to the Socialist Workers Party?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Was that so that it could become a more electable party
- 9 and become more involved in the voting system?
- 10 A. Yes, I -- I honestly can't exactly remember, because
- 11 we -- you know, we felt that parliamentary democracy
- that we had wasn't particularly democratic at all. But
- it was to make it more sort of open to maybe the general
- 14 public, yes, who might not have been interested in -- in
- left wing politics. That's right, yes.
- I just wanted to correct you and say -- well,
- I don't know if you'd said it, but in fact Blair didn't
- 18 join the Socialist Workers Party until, I think it was
- 19 about 1977 or '78.
- 20 Q. I hadn't given a date. I'd said "late 70s", because
- 21 I'd noted in your statement you'd said 1977.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What he was a part of, and I think a member of, was one
- of the significant campaigns that was front by
- 25 the International Socialists, which was

- 1 the Anti-Nazi League?
- 2 A. That's right.
- 3 Q. And I think he was a member of that from 1975. Were you
- 4 also a member of that?
- 5 A. Yes, I was, yes.
- 6 Q. And that was launched by -- well, by the -- as it was
- 7 the IS and then maintained through the SWP, in response,
- 8 as you've mentioned, to the growth of the National Front
- 9 in the 1970s, wasn't it?
- 10 A. Yes, it was. I think there was also -- from that also
- 11 came Rock Against Racism. And that was founded by
- 12 a musician after remarks that Eric Clapton made at
- 13 a concert venue. And also, name Dave Widgery, who
- 14 was -- he was a doctor in Tower Hamlets. And he was --
- 15 he was in the IS, then SWP, and he was very involved in
- 16 that side of it.
- 17 But it was also a mainstream organisation. Although
- it was launched by the IS, the idea was also that it
- 19 would attract younger people, and maybe people who
- 20 wouldn't have joined the SWP but would have joined
- 21 the Anti-Nazi League. And I think it really took off,
- 22 the Anti-Nazi League and Rock Against Racism.
- 23 Q. And that was -- it took off as, effectively, so did this
- 24 growth in noise and support for the National Front. And
- is it right that by the local elections in May 1976,

- there had been incredibly high numbers of votes for
- 2 the National Front --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- particularly in Leicester, and there were increasing
- 5 numbers of National Front protests taking place and
- 6 racist attacks occurring?
- 7 A. Yes, and I believe the National Front won a council seat
- 8 in the Isle of Dogs in Tower Hamlets in the local
- 9 elections --
- 10 Q. Can we have --(overspeaking) --
- 11 A. -- which was really worrying, yes.
- 12 Q. Sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off.
- 13 Yes, so that was the particular concern that was
- 14 being met or was the focus of the Anti-Nazi League in
- particular and other groups, like you say, of
- 16 Rock Against Racism?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Could I ask you now -- we'll have a look at two reports
- 19 that are dated in 1976; in fact, both of them dated in
- 20 August 1996.
- 21 Ms Stubbs, I know you have a hard copy bundle. I'm
- going to ask for them to come up on the screen, but
- the Chairman also has a hard copy bundle, so I'll
- 24 reference the tab numbers as well as the unique
- 25 identifying code.

1		This is behind tab 1, and it's report {UCPI/10769}.
2		It will come up on screen, and if you need it to be any
3		bigger, then please just say so. Thank you.
4		This, we can see, is a report about, on
5		the left-hand side, the International Socialists. And
6		we see the date is 4 August 1976. We can see that this
7		was a meeting at paragraph 2, it was a meeting that
8		had taken place on 22 July 1976. And we can see also
9		from paragraph 2 that it was taking place at
10		Stoke Newington Town Hall.
11		Just at the bottom of paragraph 2, we can see that
12		approximately 250 people had attended. Was that sort of
13		number of people in attendance a common feature of
14		meetings of the IS in 1976, or was that unusually high?
15	Α.	I think it was unusually high, but also because
16		the Hackney Community Relations Council was involved in
17		the meeting, having its first meeting, and I think
18		that's what increased the numbers.
19	Q.	If we can now have a look further down the screen at
20		paragraph 4, I'm going to read from about halfway along
21		paragraph 4, just to get an idea of what was taking
22		place.
23		There's a gentleman that's referenced "Kodikara"
24		in fact, I'll read the whole paragraph out, for context
25		"Kodikara and two other speakers

1	(unidentified) delivered impassioned speeches on
2	racialism generally, with no interference from
3	the floor. It was only when a member of
4	the National Front decided that he wished to make
5	a comment during question time that trouble occurred.
6	The man was pounced upon by a group of stewards and
7	ejected from the assembly room amid-a shower of fists
8	and invective. A timely hoax bomb call to the Town Hall
9	finally brought the meeting to a close at about
10	10.15 pm."

Next paragraph:

"It was at this point that several members of the National Front appeared from the Rose and Crown public house opposite the Town Hall and began taunting both those leaving the meeting and members of the International Socialists who were waiting outside. This provoked a sharp interchange of slogan-chanting, after which all members of the left wing groups present decided to retreat en masse along Stoke Newington Church Street towards the High Street. This group of about 60 persons was followed at a distance by several members of the National Front until it split up into small groups and dispersed quietly."

Ms Stubbs, does that accord with -- that can be taken down off the screen now.

- 1 Does that accord with the -- your recollections of
- 2 the level of animosity and the impact on public disorder
- 3 that was regularly occurring at meetings in 1976
- 4 onwards?
- 5 A. There were some meetings like that. Certainly,
- 6 you know, not all. I can only remember one other one,
- 7 somewhere I think around East Ham that was similar.
- 8 It's interesting at the end though that it
- 9 said "dispersed quietly" and there was no -- I don't
- think anyone was arrested. I mean, my memory of that
- 11 meeting, I think, is only because I don't -- I can't
- 12 really remember what was talked about, but I do remember
- 13 this person being ejected from the hall. And I think
- it's because there was a crowd of National Front people
- outside, and it's sort of very intimidating and
- frightening. And so that's why we dispersed all
- 17 together.
- 18 Q. And do you -- your recollection, you say in your
- 19 statement, of the activities of the IS and
- 20 Socialist Workers Party was that violence was not part
- of the agenda and was not anticipated, and do we see in
- 22 that example of the report the group retreating --
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. -- instead of confronting?
- 25 A. Yes. Yes, I mean, you know, there had -- I do remember

- unprovoked attacks. And, you know, of course members of
 the left were often arrested. You know, I remember
 that. But it wasn't -- you know, we certainly didn't go
 to -- go out to -- to do that, you know? We didn't go
- Q. Can we have a look at the other report from 1976 that's in the bundle. This is tab 2. The UCPI number is {UCPI/10779}, please.
- The report is dated again 4 August 1976, but we can see at paragraph 2 this is actually a report of a meeting that had taken place a few days after the last one, on Monday, 26 July 1976. And we see at the end of paragraph 2 that this was attended by 23 persons, again about the International Socialists.

Is that perhaps a more typical number of people that would attend regularly?

17 A. Yes.

5

15

16

18

Q. Paragraph 3 I will read out:

to provoke.

- "The meeting dealt exclusively with questions raised
 at the National Conference of the International
 Socialists and most of the evening was taken up with
 boring speeches from [Privacy] and [Privacy], the two
 delegates to the National Conference."
- Now, this was a report being submitted by an

 SDS officer back to his superiors. Can you just help us

1	with	what	the	conte	ent	would	have	been	that	was	being
2	descr	ibed	ther	e as	"bo	ring	speecl	nes"?			

- A. I'm afraid I can't remember.
- 4 Q. All right.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 Paragraph 4:

"Little of any interest to Special Branch was 6 7 discussed during the session of questions from the floor, except that [Privacy] accepted the role of 8 distributor within the Inner East London District 9 10 of 'The Worker' and also the role of 'Irish Coordinator' for the District. [Privacy] suggested a programme of 11 12 anti-army recruiting within the District, especially outside Army Careers Information Offices. This was met 13 14 with stern approval from [Privacy] who promised to act 15 upon this suggestion."

So do we see from this report that what appears to be of interest, using the expression in the report, to Special Branch doesn't appear to be the content of the speeches but rather here, in this example, the mechanisms of where the newspaper might be being distributed and what events are coming up that are going to take place in public places outside here, for example, army offices?

A. Yes, this person was -- she was also a member from

Troops Out Movement, and we -- we often shared meetings

- and supported each other, yes. And that's why I note
- 2 the policy was to -- to talk to young men and women who
- 3 were thinking about signing up for the armed services,
- 4 yes, to talk to them about it.
- 5 And also, I just want to go back to "boring". This
- 6 was probably -- I mean, we were probably talking about
- 7 policy and that sort of thing, and maybe, you know,
- 8 the undercover officer, although he was pretending to be
- 9 in IS, you know, he wasn't there because of his
- 10 political beliefs, and so might have found it rather
- 11 boring.
- 12 Q. Yes. And what we do see by that description is the --
- as we say, the interest there from the policing
- 14 perspective, which is, on the face of this example, more
- to do with what are the actions that are to be taken by
- 16 the group, perhaps where the scope for disorder might
- 17 arise?
- 18 A. Except he -- he didn't know that we were going to talk
- about what Troops Out Movement might be doing.
- 20 Q. The SDS's proclaimed purpose in its early days was to
- 21 assist with the policing of public events and
- 22 demonstrations, as we understand is the justification
- for the setting-up of the unit. And so intelligence
- about who was going to be attending what events and
- 25 where was obviously going to be something that would be

of interest to this particular unit.

Obviously, one of those events that took place in 1979 was the demonstration that took place at Southall Town Hall, which had the tragic consequences that it did. And so on the face of it, undercover policing and advance information about demonstrations like that is something that the SDS would have been interested in, and how to police events that they know are coming up was something that the SDS ought to be interested in; and indeed, what policing to deploy on the streets would also have been a consideration to have been factored in. And we know, and you can help us with the policing that was used at that event in 1979.

So can we now move, if we may, to that year. And can we start by looking at tab 3 in your bundle and the Chairman's bundle. And on the screen, please, can we have report {UCPI/21207}.

Ms Stubbs, I know that you've made particular reference to this report in your statement. And if I may, I'll use this as the bedrock to deal with the topic, and then ask for your personal recollections, if I may deal with it in that way.

This is a report dated 26 April 1979, so three days later than the demonstration itself. It's a report -- we can't see it on the page at the moment because it's

Τ	zoomed in, and we don't need to we'll see it in
2	a second it's a report about
3	the Socialist Workers Party. And it is reporting back
4	from a meeting that had taken place literally the day
5	after the Southall Town Hall events. It was on
6	24 April 1979. It was at a public house in north-west
7	London, and it was taking place at 8 o'clock in
8	the evening. There are about 60 people present.
9	Paragraph 3 references "Tony Cliff" being the main
10	speaker of the evening, but he declined to speak about
11	the planned discussion, because he was much more
12	concerned to talk about the recent events.
13	Now, I understand, Ms Stubbs, you weren't present at
14	this meeting, for understandable reasons. But if I may,
15	I would like to read out from halfway down that
16	paragraph. So we might just need to scroll up on
17	the screen, please. Thank you.
18	In fact, I'll start from the third line down:
19	"He considered it far more important to discuss
20	the battles with the police during the recent
21	anti-National Front demonstrations. He concluded that
22	the difference between Leicester on 21 April 1979 and
23	Southall on 23 April 1979 was in the preparation,
24	planning and organisation done by the Anti-Nazi League
25	because the ANL had provided local stewards and maps

1	of the Leicester area, there had been a successful
2	attack there on the police by about 200 demonstrators,
3	high police injuries and a small number of arrests; on
4	the other hand, at Southall, due to the lack of
5	organisation and inadequate ANL stewarding, a lot of
6	police had been injured, many demonstrators had been
7	arrested and, more importantly, many demonstrators had
8	been badly injured, with one death. Cliff therefore
9	maintained that it was essential that any future
10	anti-NF action should be better organised."
11	Please scroll down:
12	"Many of the contributors from the floor then
13	recounted their adventures during the demonstrations in
14	Leicester and Southall and discussed instances
15	of 'extreme police brutality'."
16	Paragraph 5:
17	"Finally, it was announced that on Saturday,
18	28th April 1979, at Southall, there would be
19	a 'peaceful' march and vigil in memory of
20	Blair Peach who had died during the demonstrations
21	on 23rd April."
22	Ms Stubbs, we can see from that report that there
23	had been a demonstration in Leicester on 21 April, then
24	two days later a demonstration in Southall on 23 April

in 1979. Is this because the National Front had

- 1 arranged to hold meetings -- and in particular
- 2 23 April -- had arranged to hold a meeting at
- 3 Southall Town Hall in the runup to the general election
- 4 in 1979. And despite much lobbying by many groups to
- 5 persuade the local council not to allow the meeting to
- 6 take place at that town hall, the National Front meeting
- 7 had taken place there, and it had gone ahead. And so it
- 8 was felt that there ought to be a demonstration and
- 9 a picket outside the Southall Town Hall, so as to
- 10 demonstrate the strength of feeling against
- 11 the National Front on that occasion, 23 April. And that
- was the event that both you and your partner attended;
- is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. With that context in mind, I know you were asked in your
- 16 statement, in the build-up to those -- to that event and
- 17 that demonstration, had you attended any meetings
- 18 discussing what activities should or should not take
- 19 place on 23 April?
- 20 A. Yes. Can I just say something before?
- 21 Q. Should we take this report down, so we can see you,
- Ms Stubbs? Thank you.
- 23 A. I wasn't at the meeting. Tony Cliff founded
- 24 the International Socialists. He -- well, he was very
- 25 different to me. I actually -- I wasn't at Leicester.

1	I knew about what had happened. But it's I think
2	it's absolutely wrong to compare Leicester and Southall,
3	and to say because there wasn't enough ANL stewarding
4	I mean Southall, the town in itself was a very
5	close-knit community. It had a very high percentage of
6	different different ethnic groupings, and and a
7	real industrial base. At that time, there were lots of
8	factories round Heathrow, and a lot of the residents
9	worked there. So there was a very strong community, a
10	very strong Indian Workers Associations.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was over 5,000 people signed a petition to stop -- the candidate standing didn't even live in Southall, and the National Front hadn't been known in Southall, but they were targeting towns with high Asian and black populations, not just Leicester but -- but Brixton, somewhere in South East London, East London.

They -- they marched on the day before to Ealing town hall to hand the petition in. And they asked -they actually asked anti-racists from all over London to come and support them. But they provided their own leaflets and they called a half-day strike on Monday. Nearly all the factories closed down. The whole town closed down.

And the plan was to have a peaceful demonstration outside the town hall. But literally, by 1.30, the

1	police over 3,000 police had moved in with horses,
2	and it was a town under siege. And something which the
3	National Council for Civil Liberties later said
4	the worst thing they did was to cordon off the town.
5	Southall Town Hall was at a crossroads, and they closed
6	off all those four roads so no one could move. And when
7	the sitdown started, they started dragging just people
8	who just felt very angry about the National Front but
9	weren't weren't in the Anti-Nazi League, they weren't
10	in IS. There was a really strong Southall youth
11	movement, because there had been a tragic killing of
12	young youths by a young Sikh, Gurdip Singh Chaggar, when
13	in when he was only 17, he was killed in 1976, in
14	Southall.
15	And the Indian Workers Association was very strong
16	there. They had a they also had an Asian MP,
17	Piers I can't remember his last name, sorry.
18	So they they asked anti-racists to come along.
19	And we heard about it through our trade unions.
20	The Anti-Nazi League were involved. There wasn't an IS
21	branch in Southall. There was one in Acton, I believe,
22	and one in Hounslow, but not actually in Southall.
23	So they were asking us to come and protest against
24	this this meeting, you know, which that's what
25	the National Front do. They set up pre-election

1	meetings	in	places	they	don't	have	a	stronghold.	Ιt	was
2	just to	abs	olutely	cause	e mayhe	em.				

And I think -- and it was almost -- because of what happened, it was almost as if the police punished the people of Southall in what happened later on with the -- do you want me -- with the 700 people who were arrested, and then there were 348 people actually charged with offences --

Q. Ms Stubbs, you are very ably helping us with the details of that day, and you have very clearly set out a lot of that detail in your statement, so thank you. I think you were about to say, "Do you want me to carry on with the detail of that -- of those numbers?"

If I may just try and take it in a slightly chronological stage.

We can see there that there is a report that postdates the events, where the SWP were talking about it, and Tony Cliff obviously giving his opinion about where things had gone right or wrong.

What I was hoping you might be able to shine some light on, if you can, from your recollections, is whether discussions took place before 23 April, either in SWP meetings or in any other group or branch meetings that you recall, about what activities were going to take place and what actions were going to take place by

- 1 those who were going to attend?
- 2 A. No, it was -- we both heard of it through our unions.
- 3 I -- I worked in Islington. I was in NALGO, and we
- 4 heard of it through NALGO and Blair heard of it in -- in
- 5 Tower Hamlets through the NUT. So I don't remember any
- 6 discussions. It was something that also the people of
- 7 Southall had asked us to come to.
- 8 Q. Were you --
- 9 A. And some of the -- some of the newspaper headings made
- it sound as though outsiders came and caused trouble,
- 11 you know. Blair, after he was killed, was
- 12 called "the red" and labelled as so left wing that it
- wasn't surprising he got killed.
- 14 Q. Were you attending meetings of any political groups at
- that stage in 1979, before this event, do you recall?
- 16 A. Yes, I -- I would have been attending meetings of
- 17 Hackney SWP, yes. I don't have enormous memories, but
- 18 yes, I would have been. But certainly -- I certainly
- 19 don't remember either of us, you know, hearing about it
- 20 through SWP. It was really through our unions.
- 21 Q. From what you understood before you attended the event,
- 22 did you understand it was going to be widely attended,
- that high numbers of people were going to be attending
- 24 this demonstration?
- 25 A. We hoped there would be, yes. We hoped there would --

- that people would respond to what Southall had asked us.
- 2 Q. And you have -- and you gave us an insight in what
- 3 you've just said. You have an extremely grave view of
- 4 the policing tactics that were deployed and considered
- 5 appropriate for that day and the conduct of police on
- 6 the street on 23 April?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You, I think -- and you deal with this at your
- 9 paragraph 36 of your statement -- you attended at
- 10 a different time from Blair Peach?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. It was the school holidays, I think you'd said, and he
- was a teacher, so hadn't been at school that day, and
- 14 you were at work, so you'd arranged to meet him there?
- 15 A. Yes. Arranged to meet round about outside the town
- hall, but of course no one could get near the town hall.
- 17 Q. So can you now tell us about that day, if the events
- 18 aren't too difficult for you to recall?
- 19 A. Yes. No, I came with friends from -- from work. There
- 20 were about six or seven of us. And we arrived in
- 21 Southall, and the whole town was barricaded, and it was
- 22 very, very difficult to get anywhere. And also, I'd
- actually never been to Southall before, and probably not
- 24 many people had, you know, it was out in West London and
- we lived in East London.

- I remember eventually trying -- getting round on
- 2 the east side, near Southall Park. I remember that
- quite -- quite vividly, because we were chased by police
- 4 on horseback and also police on foot with truncheons,
- 5 chasing us and hitting people.
- 6 Q. Did you manage to make it round to the side of
- 7 the demonstration that you wanted to?
- 8 A. I then -- I couldn't get near the town hall, but then
- 9 I sort of went -- I am just trying to think -- sort of
- north and round some back streets, and managed to get
- into the Broadway, but then it was -- it was just
- 12 absolutely -- you know, there were sort of ten rows of
- police and lots of demonstrators just surging around.
- 14 And I think about -- about 6 o'clock, I sort of managed
- 15 -- or, no, about 7 o'clock -- to get back to the station
- and go back to Hackney.
- 17 Q. You'd obviously failed to meet up with
- 18 Blair Peach -- (overspeaking) --
- 19 A. Yes, (inaudible) we never met --
- Q. And was it later that evening, about 10 o'clock at
- 21 night, that you received a call from your friend --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- telling --
- 24 A. Yes, she was at Ealing Hospital and phoned me and said
- 25 I should come quickly, because Blair had been hurt.

Q. Ms Stubbs, you know, and have now seen, that -- we'll
deal with the reports that flowed from the events that
day, but amongst the reports that you've been shown, it
includes the reporting from undercover police officers
who attended the funeral of your partner, that took
place on 13 June, within a couple of months of those
events.

A. Can I just say one thing which I wanted to say -- sorry.

I just wanted to say that was -- there was one officer who -- there was only one report from one undercover officer about attending the 23 April demonstration. I did have his HN number and I can't find it. He -- oh no, I think -- I can't remember his number. I can try and find it for you in the break. He -- in his report, which was very, very short, he said he'd gone to Southall and was horrified at the police that he'd seen, the violence against the police, and he left early before the incident with Blair.

It -- it was incredible. It was once again, I felt that he was distancing himself immediately from the person who'd been killed. And it -- it reminded -- it just reminded me of -- no, that sounds ... It later reminded me -- you haven't come to that, but it later reminded me about the -- the six police officers who were suspected one of whom killing Blair, that they in

- 1 their statements -- I mean, in the Cass Report, Cass
- 2 says that they -- they lied to him. They -- they tried
- 3 to say that the carrier which they were in, U11, stopped
- 4 at the bottom of Broadway and Beechcroft; they didn't
- 5 want to say it had stopped at the top of Beechcroft
- 6 and the corner of Orchard, which is where Blair received
- 7 the blow on the head that killed him. And two of them
- 8 changed their statements. And they also said there were
- 9 other police present, which Cass had ruled out.
- 10 Q. Ms Stubbs, can I help you with your reference? I think,
- 11 did you take that reference from the undercover policing
- from one of the sort of cumulative gists --
- 13 A. It might have been. Yes, I'm just thinking. Yes, it
- 14 was the closed officers' gists, the undercover
- -- (overspeaking) --
- Q. An officer whose cover name hasn't been able to
- -- (overspeaking) --
- 18 A. Yes, and that was even more horrifying to me, because,
- 19 you know, with most of the undercover officers, at least
- 20 we know their cover name. This man, we don't know his
- 21 cover name, his real name, and not even his HN number.
- Nothing.
- 23 Q. And you feel that there are parallels in the way that
- 24 the experience of that officer was reported on 28 April
- and parallels with the way that the SPG officers,

1 the Special Patrol Group officers, gave their evidence

2 to the various investigations that took place which,

3 you're right, we will come to.

4 A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 Q. Thank you.

You have seen, then -- as far as actual undercover 6 7 reporting that you have seen, you know and are aware that there were undercover police officers present at 8 your partner's funeral on 13 June, and that they 9 10 provided a list of the vast numbers of names of people that attended on that occasion. And I think you've also 11 12 seen in the report three reports where photographs have 13 been taken of people that had been attending at 14 the funeral. And I think you've commented in your statement you find it very distressing, and you found it 15 distressing when you learnt of the fact that 16 17 undercover police officers had been present at his 18 funeral and photographs taken; is that right?

A. Yes, it was HN106. And he put in his -- he put in his statement that he attended the funeral because -- in case there would be a risk of public disorder. I mean, I thought what an absolutely ludicrous statement.

Blair Peach's mother, his aunt, his oldest brother and his wife and a cousin had all come from New Zealand for

the funeral. There were 10,000 people at the funeral.

- 1 They all came to pay their respects.
- 2 There was -- he also said that it was to protect his
- 3 undercover identity, which I think is -- is really
- 4 sickening, if he has to do that.
- 5 And also, the list of attendees, I think I counted
- 6 them. I think there were about -- he listed 71 people.
- I mean, there were 10,000 people.
- 8 Yes, and I'm afraid the three photographs I couldn't
- 9 identify. I didn't know who they were.
- 10 Q. Thank you.
- 11 In terms then of events that flowed after the death
- of your partner, we can see, just looking at a few
- 13 examples of the reporting that is in 1979, after
- 14 the April date, we can see the real mobilising of
- the feeling, the real sense of grievance against
- the police that this triggered in people, as well as
- support for you and your loss.
- 18 May I just now go through a few examples of
- 19 the reports from 1979 in the aftermath of these events.
- 20 Please can we first of all turn to tab 4, which is
- 21 report UCPI/2128, please.
- 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 21218, I think.
- 23 MS WILKINSON: Sorry, did I say that incorrectly? 21218.
- I apologise to the technicians.
- 25 Thank you, Sir. {UCPI/21218}.

1	Thank	you.

Again, this was not a meeting, Ms Stubbs, where you were present, but this is indicative of the mobilisation of the people in support of what you'd gone through and outrage at the events.

This is a report dated 3 May 1979, about a meeting that had taken place on Monday, 30 April 1979. I'm going to read from paragraph 3:

"The meeting was chaired by [Privacy], and began with a summary of the incidents during the past week at Southall given by [Privacy], a teacher and member of Acton SWP. He stated that a temporary headquarters had been set up in Southall from which [Privacy] and [Privacy] would be carrying out an investigation into the death of Blair Peach."

And if we go down to paragraph 7, please, halfway down -- right at the bottom of the page. I'll read from paragraph 7:

"Two collection funds have been set up as a result of Blair Peach's death in Southall. One fund is for Peach's dependents and the other to pay the fines of those arrested during the Anti-National Front demonstration on 23.4.79."

We can take that report down.

Does that accord with your recollection, Ms Stubbs,

1		of what was taking place on the ground: people
2		commissioning their own investigation into what had
3		happened at Southall Town Hall, and so that temporary
4		headquarters, almost like a military operation in
5		itself, had been set up; and then, in support of you and
6		others, there was a fund collection going on? Is that
7		just an example of the strength of feeling that was
8		taking place?
9	A.	Yes, it was. I I didn't really know about
10		the setting up the headquarters. Certainly when we come
11		on to the Friends of Blair Peach, you know, I was more
12		involved with them.
13		Yes, the funds, yes, it was absolutely amazing,
14		because there's no legal aid for inquests and our
15		inquest cost £12,000, and they raised 20,000 in
16		the first year for the Friends. So that was amazing.
17	Q.	Thank you.
18		We'll see reference to the setting-up very shortly
19		of this group.
20		Can I just look at the next report, tab 5
21		{UCPI/21270}.
22		In the left-hand column, if we need to see it we
23		can check it, but it's clear from the context I'm about
24		to read that this was a meeting of the Indian Workers
25		Association in Southall, and this was the very vibrant

- 1 association that you referred to earlier; is that right,
- 2 Ms Stubbs?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- 4 Q. This says that:
- 5 "The undermentioned persons were among those who
- took part in the demonstration in Southall on 28.4.79 --
- 7 organised by the Indian Workers Association and
- 8 Anti-Nazi League -- in protest at police actions during
- 9 the anti-National Front demonstration in Southall on
- 10 23.4.79 and, in particular, at the death of
- 11 Blair Peach."
- So another example of what was going on on
- 13 the ground and the strength of feeling and the actions
- 14 that were being organised in the immediate aftermath of
- this event; is that right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Can we have a look now at the next report, tab 6
- 18 {UCPI/21297}, please.
- We can see on the left-hand side of that page of
- this report, by this time, which is 30 May 1979,
- 21 the Friends of Blair Peach Committee had been
- 22 established. So this is what you were referring to,
- I think, Ms Stubbs, in terms of the action group being
- set up very quickly after the event; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q.	"Submitted herewith is a leaflet, which has been
2		received from a reliable source. The leaflet was issued
3		by the Friends of Blair Peach Committee and seeks to
4		highlight the circumstances which it maintains led to
5		the death of Blair Peach at Southall on 23 April 1979,
6		during an anti-National Front demonstration."

Number 2:

"It contains a list of activities necessary to widen the campaign, especially within the trade union movement, and is mainly the work of [Privacy], who was with Peach at the time he received the injuries which it is alleged caused his death."

The officer reporting this information back on this report to the SDS, at paragraph 3, goes on to add:

"It is, perhaps, of interest to note that [Privacy], a dedicated member of the Socialist Workers Party and a close personal friend of Peach, is highly critical of the way the SWP Central Committee are handling the whole issue and especially of the Party's coverage in the 'Socialist Worker' newspaper."

And:

"She has let it be known that she intends to rectify this situation by publicising the aims and activities of the Friends of Blair Peach Committee at every available opportunity."

- 1 That line concludes.
- If we may go to the next page {UCPI/21297/2}, we can
- 3 see the leaflet. And I just wanted to confirm
- 4 the objectives of the committee with you, halfway down
- 5 the page, Ms Stubbs, if we can. If we scroll to
- 6 the latter part -- yes.
- 7 At the second hole punch we can see, albeit very
- faint type, "We call on ..."
- 9 Can we see those words, Ms Stubbs? Are you able to
- see it and make it out a little?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Thank you very much:
- "We call on our members to.
- "a. Organise collections in their workplaces for
- the Blair Peach Memorial Fund.
- "b. Circulate the petition calling for a full
- 17 public inquiry.
- "c. Secure the maximum attendance at the funeral
- 19 ceremony.
- 20 "Further we call on the National Executive of our
- 21 union to:
- 22 "a. Make a substantial donation to the Blair Peach
- 23 memorial fund.
- "b. Affiliate to the Anti-Nazi League.
- 25 "c. Give full support to the calls to disband

1	the Special	Patrol	Group	and	to	bring	to	justice	those
2	responsible	for Bla	air Pea	ach's	s de	eath."			

Is that -- were those the core aims, as you understood them to be, behind this group that had been set up as a result of the events on 23 April, Ms Stubbs?

A. Yes. I wasn't involved with the Friends immediately afterwards, but yes, I knew those were the core things.

Although, are you going to -- I mean, you know, the things that we -- are we going to going on to the things that they were asking us to do, or asking people to do? It was things like to contact your MP, to write to the newspaper for a letter to -- to speak to your local radio station, and also to have meetings about --

Q. I'm very happy to continue to read. This leaflet is itself evidence, and if you'd like to highlight a little bit more of this particular document, I'm very happy to do so, Ms Stubbs.

We can see, just as you were saying, on that screen, just after the line that I'd finished reading, it was inviting -- a number of asterisk points, if you can see, right there, as you were saying:

"Phone your local radio station. Demand answers to the questions above.

"Write to your local papers.

```
1
                  "Arrange a street meeting.
 2
                  "Get copies of the petition and the collection sheet
             and distribute them widely ..."
 3
 4
                 I can't work out the next word, but there's
             a reference to the "writing to" and -- (overspeaking) --
 5
             address through the fund?
 6
 7
         Α.
             Yes.
            "Get copies of the fact sheet sponsored by East London
 8
         Q.
             Teachers' Association ..."
 9
10
                 And:
                  "[something] then to further your arguments
11
12
             from ..."
                 And then there's an address:
13
14
                  "Write to your MP demanding his/her support for
15
             a public inquiry."
                 I'm very happy to carry on to the next page to
16
             finish that list of actions, if we can do that
17
18
             {UCPI/21297/3}.
19
                 On the screen it's just the next page of four more
             asterisk points:
20
21
                  "Arrange pickets of suitable places -- in London
22
             there have already been pickets of Scotland Yard,
             the Home Office and the Coroners Court and elsewhere in
23
24
             the country of local police stations.
25
                  "Get a speaker from the Anti-Nazi League or Friends
```

1 of Blair Peach by contacting ..." 2 And the information is given: "Duplicate this sheet and spread the campaign. 3 4 "Get a stall at any trade union functions or 5 community functions in your area to get the petition signed and collect money etc." 6 7 So it's a real mobilisation of the feeling of 8 grievance that we are seeing in these reports that are being sent by SDS officers back to Special Branch; is 9 10 that right, Ms Stubbs? Yes --11 Α. 12 We can see -- (overspeaking) --Ο. 13 And also, you know, absolutely sort of before social Α. media and smartphones, just the sort of things you did 14 15 in -- in the 70s, but nothing violent. There's no suggestion of violence. 16 Q. Can I deal with two more reports that are all dated in 17 18 1979, just to help us understand how the activities were taking place. 19 Tab 10, for your bundle, Sir, and I think possibly 20 21 yours, Ms Stubbs; but the reference is {UCPI/21044}. 22 This is a report on the screen that we can see,

dated 16 July 1979, about a meeting that had taken place

on 5 July. And it's a Socialist Workers Party meeting,

and this happens to be the Leytonstone -- sorry,

23

24

Τ.	waltham forest district of the Socialist workers party
2	that was holding this meeting.
3	The meeting was entitled, as we can see in
4	paragraph 2 of this report, as follows:
5	"Police are the murderers disband
6	the Special Patrol Group."
7	And:
8	"Some twenty-five persons attended."
9	At paragraph 4, if we can scroll down this report,
10	please I'll read from paragraph 4:
11	"[Privacy], the only one of the invited speakers to
12	attend, then delivered his talk. He maintained that
13	the role of the Police in any capitalist society was to
14	protect the system at all costs and Police and Armed
15	Forces, although both of working class origin, must
16	therefore be considered to be the repressive arm of
17	the State. In his view, the dissolution of the SPG was
18	just a small step on the road to a socialist revolution
19	but, even so, its disbandment had to be aimed for in
20	the light of the present public attitude towards
21	the Police following the death of Blair Peach.
22	The remainder of [the] speech then adopted the usual
23	line of 'a just society will only be achieved through
24	socialism and this is what we must all work for'."
25	So we're about to come on to the SPG, but this

1 was this -- again, is this an indication of the strength 2 of feeling and an indication of the national campaign that had been triggered to disband the Special Patrol 3 4 Group after the events of 23 April? 5 Α. Yes. Yes, it was. I just wanted to check if there was a reference in this 6 Q. report, but I think it's in the next report that we see 7 8 a picket that had been arranged for the eve of 9 the inquest. And so let's just go to that, please. 10 Tab 11, and that's report {UCPI/13468}, please. Ah, 11 now, I have a different reference here, perhaps. 12 Please may I ask in fact for a different report to 13 come up; and I apologise for giving the wrong reference. And I don't quite know how I've achieved that in my 14 tabbing. I do apologise. 15 Can I ask for this report to come up on screen, 16 {UCPI/13435}. 17 18 I have that behind my tab 11, Sir. And you may as well, Ms Stubbs. But it's a report dated 19 1 October 1979. 20 21 If we can -- it's a report about the Socialist 22 Workers Party again. And if we can just scroll to 23 paragraph 5, please: "On 10 October ..." 24

Reading from paragraph 5:

1		"On 10 October 79, the eve of the Blair Peach
2		inquest, a picket will be held outside Harlesden Police
3		Station from 7.30 pm until 9.30 pm and Kilburn Police
4		Station from 10 pm until 12 midnight. The pickets will
5		coincide with door-to-door leafletting in the area to
6		complain about the proposed introduction of
7		a Special Patrol Group contingent into the Brent area."
8		So this was an example and it may well be
9		the report, the number of which I gave just a minute
10		ago, was another example of the fact that many pickets
11		had been arranged for the eve of the inquest that was
12		set up to start at the Coroners Court; is that right?
13	A.	Yes, it was it was the first inquest, yes. And there
14		were over a hundred police stations I think you said
15		50? there were over a hundred police stations
16		picketed outside. It was just a reminder and just
17		people were still so angry about it, to be able to
18		express themselves.
19	Q.	So this was six months on. And if I may just pause
20		there and see if I have the chronology right about
21		the inquests and the various reports.
22		Blair Peach had died on 23 April 1979. Do
23		I understand that there was the inquest was
24		technically opened in the sort of pro forma way that it
25		has to be on 26 April 1979?

- 1 A. Yes, that's when they just open and close, don't they?
- 2 Just --
- 3 Q. Yes.
- 4 A. Yes. No, why I called it "the first inquest" -- oh, you
- 5 must know, because the coroner refused to sit with
- 6 a jury or to allow the Cass Report, that's why we had to
- 7 go for a judicial review -- (overspeaking) -- how it was
- 8 stopped.
- 9 Q. If I may just try and elicit a chronology, and please
- 10 correct me if I do have this wrong and add the extra
- detail, and then it may be a convenient moment to go for
- a break if we just get this chronology right, if I can.
- So the technical opening happened on 26 April 1979.
- 14 Do we understand that there was then an internal
- investigation by Commander John Cass that had been
- triggered as a result of the Complaint Investigation
- 17 Bureau that reported -- the first of his reports was
- 18 dated 12 July 1979?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And then was it the case that the inquest formally
- 21 opened in October 1979, as we saw reference there, on
- 22 presumably then the 11 October, if the 10th was the eve?
- 23 And did that inquest, chaired by John Burton,
- 24 the Coroner, was that -- did that inquest run from
- October 1979 to May 1980, when it eventually --

- 1 A. No, it was stopped the first day. But Cass submitted
- 2 a second report in September. And the DPP, in
- 3 September, who was -- I can't remember his name; it's
- 4 gone out of my head. The DPP declared that there was no
- 5 case to answer and no policemen would be charged. And
- 6 this, having read the Cass Report, which we weren't
- 7 allowed, where it actually said that a policemen had
- 8 killed Blair. We'll go into that a bit more. But no,
- 9 it had to be adjourned because we applied to go to
- 10 the High Court.
- 11 Q. So it began in October 1979. There was then a pause.
- 12 And as you say, there was -- there were two aspects of
- 13 the Cass Report that had taken place before the start of
- 14 that inquest?
- 15 A. That's right.
- Q. The first report is 12 July '79, and then there was
- the 14 September '79 additional update of
- the Cass Report?
- 19 A. Of the report, yes.
- Q. Then the inquest opened in October '79?
- 21 A. Yeah, on the 11th, correct.
- 22 Q. On the 11th.
- It was then paused, from what you tell us. And then
- 24 ultimately, did it start again in April 1980 and
- conclude in May 1980?

- 1 A. Yes, I think it was 29 or 28 April, it started.
- 2 Q. And concluded in May --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- some weeks later -- May 1980. And there was
- 5 a verdict recorded, where a jury were involved, of death
- 6 by misadventure?
- 7 A. Are we going to come on to that? Yes.
- 8 Q. Well, yes. But as I understand, and what I wanted to
- 9 make clear -- I'm just trying to get the dates so that
- 10 we can understand the chronology. You have in your
- 11 statement, in very clear terms, set out, using extracts
- from the Cass Report, the basis of why you are severely
- 13 critical of the coroner's approach to that inquest, his
- 14 assessment of the evidence and the verdict reached,
- 15 aren't you?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And it wasn't until 27 April 2010 that you received
- 18 disclosure of that Cass Report?
- 19 A. That's right.
- 20 Q. Which sets out a view of the evidence Commander John --
- he was Commander John Cass, wasn't he?
- 22 A. Yes -- (overspeaking) --
- Q. -- police force -- Commander John Cass' view of
- 24 the evidence regarding the SPG officers was, in your
- 25 view, very much at odds with the Coroner's view --

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. -- that was expressed during the course of the 1980
- 3 concluded inquest.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. If I may, that might be a convenient moment for a break,
- and then we're going to look at one of the exhibits that
- 7 you have provided for us, Ms Stubbs.
- 8 A. Can I just mention something, as you're going in
- 9 chronological order, that I forgot -- and why maybe
- 10 there was such high feeling about the Special
- 11 Patrol Group?
- 12 At the beginning of June, at Barnes station in
- 13 Special Patrol Group lockers, a lot of unauthorised
- 14 weapons were found. And also one of them -- one of
- their homes, that was PC Bint, where they found Nazi
- 16 regalia, and also unauthorised weapons.
- 17 Q. Was that part of the investigation that Commander Cass
- was leading?
- 19 A. Yes, and that was leaked to us, yes. And my solicitor
- 20 went and saw the weapons.
- Q. So that was all part of the investigation, and he
- 22 gathered evidence and heard from the officers, or
- heard -- or didn't, depending on who chose to give him
- 24 evidence --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- that was all part of his investigation --
- 2 A. Yes, it was.
- 3 Q. -- by the --
- 4 A. Yes. And, I mean, one of the weapons was a -- was
- 5 a long cosh with a metal -- piece of metal at one end.
- 6 Q. I think you've given us a lot of -- you've helped us
- 7 with --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- that detail in your statement.
- 10 A. Yes. But that was the -- that was the -- I think that
- 11 added to the anger that people felt about
- the Special Patrol Group.
- Q. When you say that was leaked, did people know about that
- 14 discovery then, at the time in 1980?
- 15 A. Yes, it -- it was June '79 that it was leaked -- that it
- happened, and my solicitor went to view the weapons. It
- was, yes, just before Cass -- the first part of his
- 18 report was finished.
- 19 Q. So that was widely known, what had been discovered in
- 20 that --
- 21 A. Yes, absolutely, yes. It was in the national newspapers
- 22 and -- yeah.
- MS WILKINSON: Thank you, Ms Stubbs.
- 24 If that's a convenient moment, Sir, for the break?
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Certainly. We'll have a break. But may

1 I ask by what time approximately, assuming we restart very shortly after 12, you anticipate finishing? 2 3 MS WILKINSON: Well, Sir, I have -- probably within about 4 20 minutes or so, I would say. THE CHAIRMAN: 5 Okay. Then we'll break for our usual quarter of an hour to 6 7 let the shorthand writers have a break. MS WILKINSON: Thank you, Sir. 8 9 MR FERNANDES: Good morning, everyone. We will now take 10 a break. May I remind those in the virtual hearing room to join their break-out rooms, please. 11 12 The time is now 11.50 am, so we shall reconvene at 13 12.05 pm. Thank you. (11.49 am)14 15 (A short break) (12.05 pm)16 17 MR FERNANDES: Good afternoon, everyone, and welcome back. 18 I will now hand over to the Chairman to continue 19 proceedings. 20 Chairman. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 22 Ms Wilkinson. MS WILKINSON: Thank you, Sir. 23 24 Ms Stubbs, we had just concluded the chronology of

the investigation and inquest dates. And as I said,

Τ		that was in the context of a national campaign that had
2		really captured quite a lot of attention in the year
3		1979 into 1980, all about disbanding
4		the Special Patrol Group. That nationwide campaign we
5		get a flavour of, and the basis for it we get a flavour
6		of, in one of the exhibits that you've provided for
7		the Inquiry's assistance. Which we can pull up on
8		screen and is a I think a book, or a large I think
9		an article or book or magazine type document, titled
10		"The Blair Peach Case: Licence to Kill", which was
11		written by David Ransom, who was part of the Friends of
12		Blair Peach Committee; is that right?
13	Α.	Yes, and he was a teacher at the same school as Blair.
14		Yes, it's a booklet.
15	Q.	It's a booklet. Thank you, that's the word I was
16		looking for.
17		We have this on our screens at $\{UCPI/34077\}$. And if
18		we can turn to page 12 of that, please, for the
19		technician's assistance, {UCPI/34097/12}.
20		If we look halfway down the page, we can see that
21		this part of the booklet is focusing on
22		the Special Patrol Group. And if we start at
23		the paragraph that begins, "Most of the attention"
24		I'll read these two paragraphs here:
25		"Most of the attention since has focused on the SPG,

- 1 which was involved in all the worst violence in
- 2 Southall. The overall strategy of the police has been
- 3 somewhat obscured. However, it is clear that small as
- 4 their numbers were the SPG played a vital role in this
- 5 overall strategy, and without them such a strategy would
- 6 probably never have been contemplated."
- Just pausing there, Ms Stubbs. This is referring to
- 8 the general policing that was deployed on 23 April,
- 9 isn't it?
- 10 A. Yes, is that Whitelaw again, because I'd be horrified at
- 11 that first paragraph. I'd forgotten about that.
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. The little paragraph at the top, where he said that more
- or less he deserved to be killed, didn't he?
- 15 O. Yes.
- This is a booklet written, as we identified, from
- 17 a member of the Friends of Blair Peach Committee.
- 18 A. Yes, David Ransom.
- 19 Q. He is there setting out what he is now referring to here
- in this paragraph about -- which is I think the police
- 21 strategy. And of course, we must try and remember that
- the Chairman and the Inquiry here is focused on
- 23 the undercover policing --
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. -- aspect of policing --

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. -- and obviously it's right to say that Commander Cass,
- and indeed the Coroner, were focused on different
- 4 things. But what this helps us with a little bit is
- 5 the role that the SPG played as part of the overall
- 6 policing, which would have included undercover policing
- 7 on the day, and what the response was decided to be by
- 8 the police on 23 April. And that's what this booklet is
- 9 focusing on, from his perspective.
- 10 A. But -- but there was one undercover policeman there, if
- 11 we are to believe that we've got all the statements, and
- 12 he was there for a couple of hours.
- 13 Q. Yes, thank you. And that gives me an opportunity to
- 14 remind ourselves that that -- you're referring to
- the closed ^^ officer gist --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- if you remember.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. That's a collection of all the closed officers' witness
- 20 statements for Tranche 1 Phase 2, and that was collated
- down into a document that's been published and it's from
- 22 that that you get the information about the officer that
- was present; is that right?
- A. Yes, but it's -- it's in the singular, not the plural.
- 25 Q. Yes.

- 1 A. You're saying there's more. There's a whole bunch of
- 2 officers saying that.
- Q. No, we're saying that there is -- that gist --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- was created, as I understand it, by summarising
- 6 the important aspects that could be published from
- 7 a number of different officers' witness statements, and
- 8 one of those officers whose identity it's right to say
- 9 you do not know and you do not know their cover name,
- 10 but one of those officers was able to contribute in
- 11 the way that they did in their statement and that's been
- 12 put into open in the gist which confirms that he was
- present -- he or she was present at -- on 23 April, as
- 14 you said, for a period and then, as you've referred to,
- 15 left.
- 16 A. But are you actually telling me there were more
- 17 undercover officers at Southall?
- 18 Q. I am referring to the fact that this information came
- from a gist of a collation --
- 20 A. Yes, which is a bunch of them at Southall.
- 21 Q. I have no doubt that if there was evidence that needed
- 22 to be gisted to the plural, it would have been gisted in
- that way, Ms Stubbs.
- 24 A. Right, okay, so just the one. Yes, that's why there
- 25 wasn't really -- well, maybe we'll come on to that,

- because it's something -- I don't know if it's
- 2 appropriate now to speak about it.
- 3 Q. Would it be now convenient I think to -- can we focus on
- 4 the document that you've exhibited for our assistance?
- 5 A. Yes. David's book, yes.
- 6 Q. If that's all right for the time being.
- 7 A. Okay ^.
- 8 Q. So what we were -- and it's my fault because
- 9 I distracted to talk about the fact that this was part
- of an overall policing strategy and this particular
- 11 paragraph is focusing on the SPG as part of that overall
- 12 policing strategy.
- 13 The second paragraph that I will read from, which is
- 14 the penultimate on this page, is what David Ransom
- refers to him having found in The Observer on 29th April
- 16 published, he says here:
- 17 "... Scotland Yard's own account of the SPG. It was
- 18 the only specialist unit in the Metropolitan Police
- 19 Force. Vacancies were generally oversubscribed, so that
- 20 something like 50% of applicants failed on vetting.
- There was a 25% annual turnover and a 'full tour' lasted
- 22 three years. A 'Scotland Yard spokesman' emphasised
- 23 that the SPG had no room in its ranks for the '
- 24 headstrong type or those who are liable to over-react to
- any difficult situation'."

- 1 And if we can move --
- 2 A. Something's wrong there, isn't it, because they are
- 3 supposed to only be there three years, but the --
- 4 the driver of carrier U11 had been there something like
- five or six years.
- Q. Ms Stubbs, let me help you, because I'm about to move to
- 7 that next part in this booklet to highlight these
- 8 particular features.
- 9 If we can move to page 14 of this unique reference,
- 10 please {UCPI/34077/14}.
- In the paragraph that begins, "Most seriously of
- all ... " which is just at the bottom of this page.
- 13 Thank you:
- "Most seriously of all, however, the inquest
- 15 revealed that the purportedly rigorous controls over
- the SPG in reality had never existed at all. At least
- 17 seven of the SPG officers who gave evidence to
- 18 the inquest had been there for more than four years; one
- 19 for eight years and two virtually since the SPG was
- 20 founded in 1965 -- one of these two was PC' Chalkie'
- 21 White, the 'magpie' of Unit 1 and driver of Uniform 1/1
- in Southall on 23rd April 1979. He had been a member of
- one of the four SPG units dispatched to Anguilla in
- 24 1969."
- 25 A. I certainly got the number wrong, didn't I. He'd been

- 1 there for 14 years ^.
- 2 Q. If I then move to the last aspect of this booklet which
- 3 I'd like to highlight which is on the next page
- 4 {UCPI/34077/15}, and it will be the last paragraph
- 5 beginning "The Sunday Times".
- 6 Again, this is David Ransom's account of what he'd
- 7 read, he tells -- he publishes in this booklet, in
- 8 The Sunday Times of 1 June 1980. He refers here to an
- 9 interview that had been published. He says:
- 10 ":
- " 'The Sunday Times' on 1st June 1980 published an
- 12 interview with Inspector Alan Murray, following his
- 13 resignation from the police. Presumably Murray intended
- 14 this interview as a vindication of himself and of
- the SPG. It is all the more disquieting for that. He
- recounts how on the afternoon of 23rd April 1979 he had
- 17 addressed unit 1 about how the 'loony left' were going
- 18 to be present in Southall and how it promised to be
- 19 a 'tasty one'. He described the 'elan'..."
- 20 Which I understand to mean enthusiasm:
- "... that had been built up in his unit, and how
- 22 they had the habit of arriving on duty to the tune
- of 'The Dam Busters'. The Unit was proud for known
- as 'The Cowboys'.' and when I was out with I had unit
- 25 I was my own boss to a large extent', said

- 1 Murray. 'Before acting I didn't have ..."
- 2 Can we move to the next page. Thank you:
- "... to ring up and say, 'Guvn or, do you think this
- is right?' I followed my own experience to do what was
- 5 expected'."
- For my own part, Ms Stubbs, I don't need to read any
- 7 more, because that gives a flavour of the perceived
- 8 behaviour and indeed the account, on the face of it,
- given publicly by a former member of the SPG who was
- 10 present on 23 April; is that right, Ms Stubbs?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Ultimately, as we know from the Cass Report that was
- 13 disclosed to you in 2010 and as we have summarised in
- the Inquiry's opening, it's right to say the conclusion
- there was that the lethal blow, referring to the death
- of area partner, was almost certainly struck by a member
- of the MPS's SPG; is that right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Ms Stubbs, I'd like now to move on -- if we can take
- 20 that down, please -- to anniversary events that took
- 21 place after the events on 23 April.
- Now, you explain in your statement that you have
- 23 always.found these to be difficult events, no doubt
- 24 quite understandably. But do you also agree that they
- 25 demonstrate the strength of feeling and continued place

- in the public's mind that the events of 23 April have
- 2 held?
- 3 A. Yes, absolutely. Are you talking about -- you're
- jumping way ahead to 1998, are you?
- 5 Q. No, I'm talking about anniversary events which took
- 6 place as quickly as the following year in 1980 and
- 7 subsequently in 1981 --
- 8 A. When you said that I find it particularly hard, that was
- 9 something I said in 1998.
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Forgive me, you're right.
- 13 A. Sorry, yes. In May 1989, yeah ^.
- Q. No doubt it becomes increasingly difficult, as it must
- have been at the time as well.
- So, if we were to look now at tab 27 in your bundle
- 17 and that of the chairman, and we will see this report on
- 18 our screen at {UCPI/20994}.
- 19 A. I wasn't here, was I? No.
- Q. No, I don't think you were.
- A. No, no. Yes.
- Q. What I'm trying to identify, or rather highlight here is
- 23 that --
- A. Sorry, yeah, yeah.
- Q. -- it's not the case -- it was the case, wasn't it,

- 1 Ms Stubbs, that these events have triggered -- it had
- 2 not gone away and public's recollection of these events
- 3 has not gone away and strength of feeling about what
- 4 happened that day has not gone away?
- 5 A. No. Yes, sorry. ^.
- 6 Q. And these are anniversary events that took place and
- 7 that we certainly see in 1980 and '81
- 8 undercover police officers felt it important to report
- 9 back to Special Branch?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 O. And if we therefore look at this report, which is dated
- 12 18 April 1980, we can see at paragraph 38(i), this being
- a meeting to do with the Socialist Workers Party, that
- 14 on 23 April 1980:
- "... from 6 pm until 8 pm outside Harlesden Police
- 16 Station ..."
- 17 It was being announced that there was to be:
- 18 "... a mass picket will be held to commemorate
- 19 the death of Blair Peach, organise by the north-west
- 20 London SWP. (This will be attended by about for thety
- 21 persons). A similar picket will be held outside Kilburn
- 22 Police Station (this will be attended by about third by
- 23 terms) from 5 pm until 7 pm on the same day, organised
- by Kilburn Anti-Nazi League."?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. "Also a picket on the same evening to tack place outs
- 2 Barnet Police Station organised by Finchley SWP (this
- 3 will be attended by about twenty persons."
- 4 And we can see that those police stations were not
- 5 alone f we just have a look at another report at this
- time behind tab 28. This is report {UCPI/13891}, where
- 7 we can see -- if we just see the list of police station
- 8 --
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. -- in their entirety. This report shows us that it was
- 11 known:
- 12 "... that the following police stations in the MP
- D will be picketed by members of the SWP, who are
- 14 protesting about the death of 'Blair Peach' and calling
- for the disbandment of the Special Patrol Group."?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And this was 16 police stations, if we just look down
- 18 the list that were going to have --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- for a number of hours a picket that evening. And
- 21 this was a number of years after the events and this was
- 22 the level of activity and strength of feeling that was
- 23 being shown; is that right?
- 24 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Can we see a year on after that, for the second

anniversary, if we move to tab 37, report number

{UCPI/16434}. Again, just an example of a different

campaign. This is the Right to Work Campaign, and this

is just an indication of the fact that here, is there

a plan in February, for an event that was going to take

place a couple of months later.

So we see this report back from the SDS to

Special Branch has attached a leaflet outlining

the future events planned by the South East London Right

to Work Campaign including a picket of Eltham Police

Station on Thursday 23rd April 1981, the second

anniversary of the death of Blair Peach.".

13 A. Yes.

6

- Tab 47, please, in your bundle. Yes, I do. This is, on 14 Q. the screen {MPS/1219}. And here we are going into --15 16 we're obviously jumping on a number of years, Ms Stubbs, 17 because we're looking at the 20th anniversary. So this 18 is a report, as we can see, dated in 1998, and I just wanted to -- what I'm here trying to highlight is 19 the fact that this -- the events that took place in 1979 20 21 are -- have remained a feature in people's minds that has mobilised a continuing strong feeling about 22 23 the events that day and the tragic situation that befell 24 your partner.
- 25 And here what we're looking at -- and it's unusual,

- 1 Ms Stubbs, this type of report demonstrates a very ...
- 2 a large shift in the style of the reporting because we
- 3 see here a title and an intelligence subject. On
- 4 the page we see "touchy subject", which is itself
- 5 a codename of the reporting officer, which I think
- 6 information has reached you, Ms Stubbs, that that's what
- 7 that means, it doesn't mean that the content that's
- 8 being discussed is itself a "touchy subject".
- 9 A. This was at a meeting at the Colin Roach Centre and
- 10 undercover officer was "Mark Cassidy" who had
- infiltrated our group and was there for five years and
- had a relationship with a woman there which caused her
- 13 terrible distress when she found out who he was.
- 14 Q. That's correct.
- 15 ^rach did
- 16 A. And I think the last -- there's about six different
- 17 files on -- where he spied on us in great detail. He
- 18 seems to be not particularly truthful. It wasn't that
- 19 I -- I always found it quite -- quite difficult to -- at
- 20 anniversaries and to keep on, you know, having
- 21 anniversaries, although I was -- still felt really
- 22 honoured that so many people still remembered Blair and
- 23 wanted to remember him.
- 24 But also the last paragraph there. Suddenly it was
- 25 said "potential for disorder". I mean, we'd had -- we'd

- 1 had remembrance demonstrations after five years, after
- ten years, and this was 20 years. There'd never been
- any -- any disorder. I don't know why he put that.
- 4 I think it's pretty unpleasant. Suddenly he's putting
- 5 something which no one has -- has -- no one's made that
- 6 statement about our -- what we did, the Friends of
- 7 Blair Peach, and continuing on.
- 8 Q. Ms Stubbs, might I just read the content into the record
- 9 so that those that are following on --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 O. -- that aren't able to look at the screen can follow
- 12 the --
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. -- text in the transcript.
- This is a report dated 28 July 1998 and it says
- 16 that:
- 17 "April 1999 represents the 20th anniversary of
- 18 the death of Blair Peach in Southall during an anti-Nazi
- 19 demonstration. To help commemorate this event, local
- 20 trade unions are organising a large rally and
- 21 demonstration, which will be presented with a strong
- 22 anti-racist/anti-police flavour.
- 23 "The temporary organising committee have approached
- 24 Celia Stubbs, Peach's ex-girlfriend to help promote
- 25 the event that she has reluctantly agreed to do."

1	And there's a comment from the source, who we know
2	to be "Touchy Subject", and as you've identified, that's
3	the code name for the officer you've referred to:

"The event will inevitably attract a large left wing presence with particular accent on anti-police type groups and the potential for disorder will be significant."

And you've explained your views about this report and your reference there to reluctance on your part and you've explained when that meant.

May I, just keeping in terms of the fact that this continues to be remembered, then deal with the fact that the 40th anniversary commemorated Blair Peach in a slightly different way -- or as well as marches and demonstrations?

And is it right -- and you help us in your statement at paragraph 23 -- to say that in fact the system of blue plaques -- I think now run by English Heritage -- on the 40th anniversary of his death, placed on the outside wall of Southall Town Hall a blue plaque, and that scheme is designed to honour notable men and women, and that is how that was -- his -- the events of 23 April were remembered on the 40th anniversary in 2019; is that right?^????

A. Yes, and also a plaque for Gurdip Singh Chaggar, the young man who was murdered by white racists in 1976.

1 Q.	Thank	you.
------	-------	------

Might I now deal with, just to cover the reports that you have in your pack for this tranche and put them into a little bit of context, about other work that and Friends of Blair Peach Committee were involved in to support other justice campaigns. Can we just look now at three reports. First behind tab 34 and that's {UCPI/14149}.

This is a report about the Friends of Blair Peach in July 1980, and I'll just read from paragraph 2:

"The 'Friends of Blair Peach' committee are at present attempting to form a national coordinating body with other such committees concerned with the cases of 'State brutality' by the police and prison authorities."

So, we can see there the efforts and coordination of this particular committee with other groups.

Reading on, it says:

"Committees concerned would include the 'Richard 'Cartoon' Campbell Campaign' and 'Jimmy Kelly Campaign'. They feel that such a move would widen the political arguments behind the alleged 'brutality' and increase publicity for any new incidents that might occur."

And if we look at the next two reports as well, can we see $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$

- 1 A. Can I just say something about that? Is that all right?
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. Yes, this was a meeting -- that's right, the Jimmy Kelly
- 4 Campaign and Richard Campbell, I think they were
- 5 quite -- at that stage, there were four other campaigns
- 6 that we got to know, all pursuing
- 7 miscarriages of justice, and we felt if we pooled our
- 8 resources and knowledge, we could support each other.
- 9 The Jimmy Kelly campaign, which -- this was
- 10 the first time it came to the notice of
- 11 undercover officers, that was -- Jimmy Kelly was coming
- home from the pub where he'd been having a drink with
- 13 his brother, who was on holiday from Australia, and he
- 14 was attacked and beaten up by police officers, who took
- 15 him to a police station in Liverpool, where he lived,
- and he died in the police station.
- 17 Richard Campbell was a young black Rastafarian, only
- 19 years old ...
- 19 Q. And so your -- the committee, the Friends of Blair Peach
- 20 Committee --
- 21 A. Sorry, I didn't -- I was just pausing.
- Q. Oh, my fault.
- 23 A. Sorry. That's all right.
- 24 He -- he -- he was treated -- he was in -- he was in
- 25 Ashford Prison, which is now Feltham Young Offenders.

He was he was on a a charge with I think it was
breaking a shop window, which he denied. He was in
he he was fine at first. He ended up being
force-fed, and he died on his own, in Ashford.

Then there was -- there was the little (inaudible) campaign. He was in a nightclub in Newcastle. He was beaten up by eight police officers and he died three weeks later.^^ TO HERE

And last campaign was Matthew O 'Hara he was a member of the mental patients in Hackney and he was taken to Pentonville prison because he refused to pay a fine. He wasn't treated for his diabetes and had to be taken to hospital and he also said he found -- he was kicked in the stomach by a prison officer and he died in his flat three weeks later.

And really that was us getting together. We felt there was so much we could share, we could support each other, we could -- we could look at inquest reform and importance of having Parliamentarians on our side, support each other at demonstrations, at meetings, and start sharing knowledge, and we hoped in a year or two to be able to employ case workers who might be able to support the family at inquests which -- so that was campaigning for inquest reform and ability to get legal aid.

- 1 Q. And that's --
- 2 A. I don't understand why -- yes, why we were spied on.
- Q. And that's why you were -- that's the reference -- I'm
- 4 trying to highlight the work of the committee and your
- 5 work that features in these reports and your alignment
- 6 with other justice campaigns?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you've just given us some, obviously very difficult
- 9 for you to express. You felt very keenly about these
- 10 other justice campaigns that you were aligned with?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Might I just deal with one more example, bringing this
- quite up to -- much more up to date times, as perhaps
- the last of the reports to deal with.
- That's {MPS/1707}, please. Now, this might not have
- found its way into your hard copy bundle so we may just
- 17 have to deal with this on the screen, Ms Stubbs.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. This is 1999, and this was a report titled, "Public
- 20 order implications following publication of the Lawrence
- 21 inquiry report and other events". If I might scroll
- 22 down a few paragraphs to a paragraph -- I think it
- begins, "The monitoring group ..."
- 24 Thank you.
- We can see here that what's being reported back is:

"The Monitoring Group which is organising
the Blair Peach demonstration (20th anniversary of his
death) on 24.4.99 will be viewed as being synonymous
with the Lawrence death and will therefore unite all of
the disparate groups under one banner. Suresh Grover,
who is the organiser of this event, will positively
contribute to ensuring that the day passes off without
disorder. However, given the large number of groups and
individuals who are likely to attend this march,
the potential for disorder is high."

That's what the officer's view was that he recorded and wrote back in his report. But is this -- as far as your bundle for this tranche is concerned, is this another example of alignment of the same feelings that you were just expressing there about justice campaigns and your support for them and do I take it that your views remains the same, that you don't consider this to be an appropriate use of undercover policing?

- A. I certainly disagree with "potential for disorder is high", because I think, as I said before, that no remembrance marches for Blair ever had any disorder.

 There was no disorder. And, you know, the groups and individuals, you know, they still wanted to remember Blair, yes.
- Q. Thank you.

- 1 Can we take this down.
- 2 Ms Stubbs, thank you very much for going through
- 3 the events as clearly and in the chronological way that
- 4 we've tried to take things through this morning. I've
- 5 finished the questions that I wanted to highlight. As
- 6 I say, your clear statement and all the detail that you
- 7 set out in there about matters that you feel very keenly
- 8 about is before the Chairman and before the public,
- 9 published on the website with your exhibits and all
- 10 the detail for people to know about and consider in
- 11 detail.
- 12 Sir, that would conclude the questions that I have
- 13 today. I don't know if this is the convenient moment
- for a break to see if there's any other further matters
- 15 that need to be aired.
- 16 A. Could I say -- could I say something before? Is that
- 17 appropriate?
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Forgive me a moment, please.
- 19 A. Sorry, sorry.
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm just go to ask whether there was anything
- 21 that you wanted to say before we have a break.
- 22 A. Thank you.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Now that you do. Please take this
- 24 opportunity ^.
- 25 A. Thank you very much.

The police the Metropolitan Police Annual Report
into Southall, they said that it was the most
significant event of that year, Southall and Blair's
death, yet there isn't a single report except for that
gist that was mentioned that I mentioned earlier.
There's no one admitting any knowledge of the events.

I think the same was in Red Lion Square where

Kevin Gately was killed. I believe there was HN301

was there, but there's no -- his evidence wasn't given

to Scarman and there was nothing written about that.

The contrast between these two and what happened at Lewisham in 1977 is so glaring and that's why I wanted to mention it. There were 18 undercover officers at Lewisham and over 50 pages of reports and yet no one was dead -- no one was killed. But in the other two demonstrates, somebody was killed at each of the demonstrations, and it makes me think that the police didn't want to be associated with deaths.

They -- they didn't want to -- they just didn't want to be close to it. They didn't want anything to do with it, so -- and that's what makes me feel that we haven't -- I can't believe that there aren't statements because of what -- because of Lewisham. It just doesn't make sense. It feels like yet another cover-up and another boulder and another, you know, once again not

1 feeling that you're being heard.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also I just wanted to mention that -- about HN126. I was told that his statement was pertinent to my being spied upon. In fact, there's absolutely nothing in his statement that involves me, except when, on 12 June 1979, he said he went with the SWP members of the branch he was -- he'd infiltrated to view Blair laid in state at the Dominion cinema in Southall. He said he only went because it would have looked bad for him if he hadn't and might have disturbed his undercover, but he then, immediately after that, said in his statement, "I never had anything to do with the family or Friends of Blair Peach", and that seemed to me that -- why did he make that remark? It seems as though he quickly wanted to distance himself from. There must -- there must be something. You know, why was I told that his -his statement was pertinent to my being spied on? It caused me awful anxiety, actually. Although it was totally evasive, when I read it.

Core participants are fighting injustice in a climate where they are vilified by -- by authority and we've been targeted. We don't know why we've been targeted. I just hope this Inquiry, you know, will protect core participants and that, you know, when -- when you come to write your report, this will be

1	foremost in your mind. I mean, Blair was killed by
2	police officers and our feelings and campaigns was we
3	were criminalised. The police, I think, wanted to keep
4	ahead one step wanted to keep ahead of our campaign
5	so that Blair's killers, we were never able to hold them
6	to account.
7	I, and I'm sure many other core participants
8	well, I know the ones I've listened to all feel really
9	violated, as I do.
10	I think that's all I want to say.
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
12	Would you bear with me, please, and wait for
13	15 minutes while there may be questions that
14	Ms Wilkinson is going to be asked to ask you and perhaps
15	Mr Ryder will have questions of you as well and we'll
16	come back in 15 minutes to deal with those?
17	A. Thank you. That's fine.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
19	MR FERNANDES: Good afternoon, everyone. We will now take
20	a break. May I remind those in the virtual hearing room
21	to remember to join your break-out rooms, please.
22	The time is 12.45 pm, so we shall reconvene at 1 pm.
23	Thank you.
24	(12.46 pm)
25	(A short break)

```
1
         (1.00 pm)
 2
         MR FERNANDES: Good afternoon, everyone, and welcome back.
 3
             I will now hand over to the Chairman to continue
 4
             proceedings.
                 Chairman.
 5
         THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Wilkinson, I understand that there are no
 6
 7
             questions that you are going to be asked to ask
             Ms Stubbs at this stage; is that correct?
 8
         MS WILKINSON: Yes, sir, that's correct.
 9
10
         THE CHAIRMAN: Mr Ryder, do you have any re-examination?
         MR RYDER: No, thank you, Sir.
11
12
         THE CHAIRMAN: Ms Stubbs, thank you very much for attending
13
             to give your evidence and giving the oral evidence which
             you have given to me about the events which began
14
15
             42 years ago. Very grateful to you. Thank you.
                 Today's proceedings are now closed.
16
17
         MR FERNANDES: Thank you, everyone. The hearings have now
18
             finished for the day. We shall resume at 10.00 am
19
             tomorrow.
         (1.01 pm)
20
21
         (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday, 7 May 2021)
22
23
24
25
```