

**Core participants Ruling 42**  
**Recognised Legal Representatives Ruling 34**  
**Cost of Legal Representation Awards Ruling 33**

**Emma Richardson**

1. Emma Richardson applies to be designated a core participant in Category [F]. She is the youngest daughter of the late Barbara Shaw, mother of Rod Richardson, the deceased child whose identity was adopted by EN32. Barbara Shaw was designated as a core participant in Category [F] on 21 October 2015 and died on 12 May 2021. Emma Richardson wishes to discover on her own behalf and that of his late family and in fulfilment of her mother's wishes the truth about the adoption and use by EN32 of her late brother's identity.
2. She is entitled to do so and must be designated as a core participant in her mother's place to permit her to do so.
3. She will require the assistance of the legal team which acted on behalf of her mother, headed by Jules Carey of Bindmans. I will designate him as her recognised legal representative.
4. I have been provided with evidence of her limited means, which I accept. She could not afford to instruct Jules Carey to act for her out of her own resources. I will make an award of legal costs in her favour on the same terms as those which apply to his other Category [F] clients.

**Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament**

5. The Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament ('CND'), which I designated as a core participant on 20 July 2021 applies for the designation of Paul Heron of Public Interest Law as its recognised legal representative and for an award of legal costs in its favour.
6. I accede to the first application.
7. I also accede to the second application. I have been provided with the published unaudited accounts of CND, a company limited by guarantee, for 2019 and 2020. Although it made an operating loss in 2019 and, due to a decline in the value of its investments, a reduction in its net assets in 2020, it has significant funds and could, if it chose to do so, afford to retain Paul Heron as its legal representative in the Inquiry. I am, however, satisfied that it would

## UNDERCOVER POLICING INQUIRY

not be reasonable to expect it to do so, for two reasons: first, substantially all of its funds are derived from voluntary contributions by members of CND and of the public who support its aims; secondly, and of greater significance, it would add insult to injury for a state appointed body (the Inquiry) to require it to pay for the cost of assisting the inquiry to understand the purpose for which it was infiltrated by a unit of the Metropolitan Police and the means by which that was achieved.

8. Costs will be assessed on the same basis as applies to other Inquiry clients of Paul Heron and will be limited to investigation of the matters specified in [core participant ruling 41](#).

25 August 2021

Sir John Mitting  
Chairman, Undercover Policing Inquiry