

## Core participants Ruling 43

## Recognised Legal Representatives Ruling 35

## Cost of Legal Representation Awards Ruling 34

### Noel Douglas

1. Noel Douglas applied on 16 December 2015 to be designated as a core participant. For reasons that cannot now be established, his application was not dealt with by the Inquiry then or subsequently. On 30 October 2020, he renewed his application. On 17 December 2020, the Inquiry requested further information from him. After a short delay, he provided that information on 14 February 2021 and indicated his willingness to instruct Matt Foot of Birnberg Peirce to represent him. On 10 August 2021 the Inquiry wrote to Matt Foot to invite him to assist Mr Douglas to make a formal application. He did so on 4 October 2021. This is the ruling made in response to that application.
2. Mr Douglas was a central figure in Globalise Resistance, a group infiltrated by HN118 and which was the subject of frequent reporting by him. It is possible that he can bring a different perspective to bear on Globalise Resistance and on the deployment of HN118 from the other central figure already designated as a core participant, Guy Taylor. Mr Douglas states that, between 2001 and 2004, he considered HN118 to be a friend and campaigned with him. He also states that HN118 attempted to incite him to undertake actions which would have led to his arrest on two occasions. Issues such as these must be investigated with, if possible, the assistance of participants in the events which gave rise to them to permit the Inquiry to fulfil its terms of reference. Mr Douglas is well placed to assist and willing to do so.
3. If his application to have been designated as a core participant had been dealt with promptly by the Inquiry when it was first made on 16 December 2015, he would undoubtedly have been so designated even though the initial deadline for applying had passed. He fulfils the requirements of rule 5 (2) (a) and (b) of the Inquiry Rules. It is not his fault that his application was not dealt with promptly and his late designation will not disrupt the investigation of Tranche Three.
4. For those reasons, I will designate Noel Douglas as a core participant in Category [K].

## UNDERCOVER POLICING INQUIRY

5. I will designate Matt Foot, who also represents Guy Taylor, as his recognised legal representative.
6. I have been provided with information by Matt Foot about his means which I accept. He will need to retain an experienced solicitor to permit him to participate effectively in the Inquiry. His means are not such that it would be reasonable to expect him to defray the cost of doing so. I will make an award of costs in his favour under section 40 (2) of the Inquiries Act 2005 on the same terms as those which apply to the other Inquiry clients of Matt Foot.

### **Richard Roques**

7. On 6 October 2021 Richard Roques applied to be designated as a core participant in Category [K]. His application states that between 1982 and 1996 he participated in a number of campaigning groups: City of London Anti-Apartheid Movement ('CLAAG'), the Revolutionary Communist Group ('RCG') and the Trafalgar Square Defence Campaign and participated in long-running demonstrations outside South Africa House and the Poll Tax riot on 31 March 1990 and was arrested at both. He says that he remembers "Mark Kerry" (HN90), who was deployed for a short time in 1988, at the start of his service in the Special Demonstration Squad ('SDS'), into CLAAG. HN90's cover name was published by the Inquiry in 2018.
8. A quick search of the electronic records held by the Inquiry has revealed a limited number of reports by undercover officers in which Richard Roques is named, usually as a participant in well attended events. The reports do not suggest that he was personally a target of the deployments or of particular interest to those receiving them.
9. As explained in paragraph 19 of the [ruling on the application of Sally Beggs](#) to be designated as a core participant, the fact that this application is made late has a practical consequence. The task of collating and tagging intelligence reports provided by SDS undercover officers in Tranche Two has already been substantially completed. The belated identification of copies of the intelligence reports in which late applicants are named and the submission of them through the process of redaction for public interest and privacy purposes is time-consuming and disruptive. It is not a task which can sensibly be undertaken except for very good reason. There is no such reason in this case. Although Richard Roques has a legitimate interest in the subject matter of the Inquiry it is not of such significance as to justify designating him now as a core participant.

### **Fabian Tompsett**

10. Fabian Tompsett applied on 18 February 2020 to be designated as a core participant in Category [K]. His application states that he was politically active from the 1970s in several groups with an anarchist bent which were infiltrated and/or reported on by undercover officers. He also encountered EN12 (Mark Kennedy) during “Dissent!” planning meetings in London and Nottingham and was taken by him to the demonstrations at the G8 summit at Gleneagles in 2005. He states that he was unaware of the existence of the Inquiry until shortly before he made his application.
11. The Inquiry has retrieved reporting on him by undercover officers whose deployments will be considered in Tranche Two. For the reasons explained in the ruling on the application of Richard Roques (above, at paragraph 9), the identification, collation and processing of the documents in which he is named can now only be undertaken for very good reason. There is none in this case. Although he has a legitimate interest in the subject matter of Tranche Two it is not of such significance as to justify designating him now as a core participant. Other participants in the groups in which he was politically active have already been designated as core participants and should provide the evidence which the Inquiry needs about them to fulfil its terms of reference.
12. The Inquiry has retrieved reporting by EN12 on a person identified by him as “Fabian Thompson”, who is almost certainly Mr Tompsett. The Inquiry will publish a selection of documents relating to the deployment of EN12 and the groups which he infiltrated in Tranche Four. This should permit Mr Tompsett to understand the nature of EN12’s deployment and the activities undertaken by him during it, including those affecting Mr Tompsett, before EN12 gives evidence. By then, the Inquiry will have served documents relating to other core participants affected by the deployment of EN12 and hopes to have received written evidence from them. This should permit the Inquiry to fulfil its terms of reference in relation to the deployment of EN12 without further evidence from Mr Tompsett. If it does not, the Inquiry may seek evidence from him at that stage.

### **Jane Hickman and Rebecca Johnson**

13. On 20 July 2021 I [designated](#) both applicants as core participants in Category [K] and designated Mike Schwarz of Hodge Jones and Allen as their recognised legal representative. I have been provided with evidence of their means. They are not such that it will be reasonable to require them to fund the

## UNDERCOVER POLICING INQUIRY

cost of retaining his services to enable them to participate effectively in the Inquiry out of their own means. I therefore make an award of costs in their favour under section 40 (2) of the Inquiries Act 2005 on the same terms as those of his other Inquiry clients.

28 October 2021

Sir John Mitting  
Chairman, Undercover Policing Inquiry