

NOTE FOR FILE

Liaison File

1. F6 [redacted] and I met DCI Barry Moss and DI Trevor Butler in F6 [redacted]'s office on 22 July 1980.

2. This was a routine meeting and we dealt with the following matters:-

a. [redacted] Programme. F6 [redacted] opened the meeting by saying that we had run into a problem with our [redacted] computerisation programme. Registry needed identification of individuals before they could be fed into the programme. A name, [redacted], had been thrown out, for whom we did not have a date of birth. DI Butler rang his office and checked that they had a date of birth, but was told that one could not be traced, and the nearest they could get according to their index was c. 1944. DCI Moss said that they would look further into their records and see if they could come up with more information. There then followed a discussion on their sources and on checking a supposed current list in our file, it was discovered that several had now been terminated and others added. DCI Moss agreed to give us a complete list of all their sources and that this could be up-dated as people left and new ones arrived. We explained to them our system of distribution of their reports, stressing that the source of the information was known only to established experienced desk officers in charge of the targets of interest.

b. Liaison File At the request of Sys, I asked if the information contained in the paragraph on the Troops Out Movement could be passed to liaison partners. There was no obvious reason why the information could not be passed to one liaison partner, but there was discussion about the appropriateness of passing it to another liaison partner. It all depended on which Department it was intended that the information be sent.

It was obvious from the report that the SDS source had attended a closed meeting. DCI Moss said that he would like to consult source before making a decision. He said that his source was at present on leave and would be due back in mid August. This was agreed and Sys was informed accordingly.

/...

- c. Liaison File. At the request of F7 [REDACTED], I asked if more information could be obtained on the Revolutionary Labour League, and any personalities involved. DCI Moss and DI Butler said that this was an interesting organisation. A further report was on its way to us and would arrive in the next few weeks. The group is producing a manifesto and also changing its name. It is going to be called the Revolutionary Marxist Tendency and receives its financial backing from a South African. DI Butler said it was pretty certain that they would identify the individual in the near future. I said that any further information on [REDACTED] and other leading personalities would be very welcome. DI Butler said that [REDACTED] was just one member of the group and that in fact the South African was the leader.
- d. London Workers Group. At the request of [REDACTED] Sys, I mentioned that there had been a meeting on 6 February but we had not received a report. [REDACTED] the SDS source had been present. DCI Moss said that he would look into the matter but thought that if we had not received a report, their source could not have attended. I did not press the point. DI Butler asked if we were interested in the group and I said that we were. He said that in that case he would let us have all the information that they have on the LWG.
- e. Waltham Forest SWP. I told DCI Moss and DI Butler that a debrief had been given to the then DCI, Mike FERGUSON, on 6 November 1979 and we would like to know if their source had any comments on the queries raised. DCI Moss said he would check their records.
- f. SWP. Whilst on the subject of the SWP, DCI Moss said that their source is shortly to be producing details of membership, mainly from the London area and this would give us about 90% of the membership in the area, although there would be lists of some out of town people. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] It was agreed that there was no purpose served in [REDACTED]. It was agreed that when SDS sent in their lists, we would compare them [REDACTED] and then decide whether the efforts were being duplicated. DCI Moss said that their source achieved these results at some risk to himself, and would be much happier if the source did not have to

/...

take this risk.

- g. The Leveller. I briefed DCIMoss and DI Butler on the present state of the Leveller and said that there had been a change of emphasis and political alignment within the Collective. I promised to keep them in touch with developments and in reply to DI Butler's question, [REDACTED] There was no purpose therefore in SDS running a further source.
- h. Freedom Collective. DI Butler asked if it was possible for us to provide a brief on the Freedom Collective. He said that he had a new source currently building up his cover. He was a University graduate and wanted to write. DI Butler had at first thought of the Leveller [REDACTED] this was obviously not a proposition at present. In fact, he said, the source had himself suggested the Leveller, but as an alternative they had thought about the Freedom Collective. I told him that I would discuss the matter with the appropriate desk and revert back.

After this useful discussion, we took DCI Moss and DI Butler to the bar and gave them beer and sandwiches for lunch.

[REDACTED]
Fb

1 August 1980